

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Legal Services Funding Corp., Plaintiff,

v.

Kevin J. Lane, Patricia E. Lane, Timothy J. Lane, and  
Matthew W. Lane, Respondents-Appellants,

AND

Patricia E. Lane, Kevin J. Lane, Timothy J. Lane, and  
Matthew W. Lane, Respondents-Appellants,

v.

Robert M. Lane, Richard Friedman, Third-Party  
Defendants,

of whom Robert M. Lane is the Appellant-Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2024-000660

---

## ORDER

---

In his notice of appeal, Appellant-Respondent stated he received written notice of entry of the order on appeal on March 12, 2024. On September 3, 2024, this court reinstated Appellant-Respondent's appeal and ordered Appellant-Respondent to provide a proof of service showing timely service of his notice of appeal substantially in the format shown by Form 7 in Appendix C to part II of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. On September 10, 2024, Appellant-Respondent provided a proof of service stating the notice of appeal was served on April 18, 2024. We dismiss this appeal because Appellant-Respondent failed to timely serve his notice of appeal. *See* Rule 203(b)(1), SCACR ("A notice of appeal shall be served on all respondents within thirty (30) days after receipt of written notice of entry of the order or judgment."); *Mears v. Mears*, 287 S.C. 168, 169, 337 S.E.2d

206, 207 (1985) ("Service of notice of intent to appeal is a jurisdictional requirement, and this Court has no authority to extend or expand the time in which the notice of intent to appeal must be served."). The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "3L L H" with a stylized flourish.

---

FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

**FILED**  
**Oct 11 2024**

---

cc:

Russell Pierce Patterson, Esquire  
Robert M. Lane