

RECEIVED

OCT 11 2024

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

In The Supreme Court

Appeal From Lexington County

s/R.E. Hood, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2022CP3201998

Charles Patterson

Respondent

v.

Andria Bucknor

Appellant

Record on Appeal

October 11, 2024

Andria Bucknor
Post Office Box 14134
Augusta Ga 30919

RECEIVED

OCT 11 2024

SC Court of Appeals

1. 1A-2C(Complaint form and Voluntary Statement of Incident)
2. 3A-3B(Complaint against Lt. Govan for leaving evidence against Patterson)
3. 4A-4B(Complaint against Lt. Govan & Meagan Dabkowski)
4. 6A-6C(Serving each party from Lower Court)
5. 7A-7K(Pictures of Damaged Car)
6. 8A-8F(Bruises to my body)
7. 9A-9D(Text before & after incident him agreeing to see if he could borrow money to take care of damages)
8. 10A-10G(Closeout receipt, Bill for Damages, Statement from Bank showing Hertz taking fees)
9. 10H(Registration for car)
10. 11A-11L(Notice of Appeal, Proof of Service to lower court,disposition of case, complaint for Noone couldn't direct me to proper area, order from lower court, court noticed never received,appeal stating they have mailed to wrong address)
11. 12(Record 5A-5I(Medical Record from incident)
12. of patterson check in voluntary for incident)
13. 13A-13G(Brady V. Maryland)
14. 14A-14I(motion to order transcript outside deadline, order submitted to higher court,proof of service to Lisa Comer,South Carolina Office of Court Administration, Transcript Request Form , Granted order for case being file at no charge,cover page for informa pauperis and pauperis)
15. 15A-15F(Initial Briefing of Appellant, Designation of Matter)
16. 16A-16B((I am requesting that courts of appeal subpoena Video of Confession and Voluntary Statement-police department are withholding(Lexington Police Department, Sgt Howell(I sent video to.) and Lt.Govan(prepared warrant);subpoena both parties that was involved in receiving evidence and preparing warrant.

October, 11 2024

Andria Bucknor

Post Office Box 14134

Augusta Ga 30919

1A



Lexington County Sheriff's Department Citizens Complaint Form

NAME: _____ PHONE (HOME) _____

DATE OF BIRTH: _____ PHONE (WORK) _____

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP: _____

DATE / TIME OF INCIDENT 2:30 - 2:40

LOCATION OF INCIDENT 504 One ...

DATE OF COMPLAINT June 09, 2001

DRIVER'S LICENSE # _____ STATE _____ SS # _____

NAMES OF DEPUTY(S) INVOLVED (IF KNOWN)

None
None

DETAILS - (Please relate (in your words) the complaint, including names, times, locations, witnesses and any other factual, supporting information.)

When I returned home ...
... I saw ...
... I had ...
... I wanted to press ...
... And the end I also ...
... wanted to press charges ...
before they loaded me upon ambulance.

PAGE 1 OF _____ PAGE (s)

Signature

PSD (white)

CITIZEN (canary)

VOLUNTARY STATEMENT

Page 1 of 2 pages.

Deputy Kramer rec'd on my report stating that she saw no injuries on me after ^{injuries} showing on my neck, eye, nose area, arm area. I also showed her the knife that Charles used.

I have read this page, initialed corrections or changes, if any, and received a copy of this page. I certify that the facts contained herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

[Signature]
Signature of person giving voluntary statement

Sworn to before me this 09 day of June 2001.

Notary Public of South Carolina



Lexington County Sheriff's Department

12A



VOLUNTARY STATEMENT (Not Under Arrest)

CASE No.: 21012147

Andria Buckner Social Security Number _____, am not under arrest, nor am I being

detained for any criminal offenses concerning the events I am about to make known to _____
Title _____ First, Initial and Last Name NP

I volunteer the following information of my own free will, for whatever purposes it may serve. I am 39 years of age, and my birthdate is 11/21/81. I live at _____

and can be reached at _____ Home Phone Number _____ Work Phone Number 256-268-5873 Cell Phone Number

Alternate Contact Name _____ Home Phone Number _____ Work Phone Number _____ Cell Phone Number

Alternate Contact Name _____ Home Phone Number _____ Work Phone Number _____ Cell Phone Number

8081
On the night of June 26, early morning of the 27th of June, I was approaching 804 Oak Bluff Dr. where I left the vehicle I was driving. I saw Charles damage the car (Chovy) breaking out windows. I yelled to him what are you doing while I was getting close. He went in house and closed door. I got my phone out of car where I left it and that's when I called police. I knocked on house door for Charles to come out and finally open door. The dispatch told me to stay on phone until deputy showed up. Deputy shows up and I told him what happen at club and when I got to house I saw Charles tearing up car. Officer Moore then later turn to me and say this look like an ex did this. I thought he was referring to one of my ex. I told him nobody don't know that. He says no his ex. I'm like what are you talking about. He did it. I saw him doing it. I also asked Charles in front of officer why did he do it.

I have read each page of this statement consisting of 1 page(s), each page of which bears my signature, and corrections, if any, bear my initials, and I certify that the facts contained here in are true and correct.

Dated at LEX this 29 day of June 2021

[Signature]
Signature of person giving voluntary statement.

VOLUNTARY STATEMENT

2B

Page 2 of 3 pages.

Moore went over and talked to Charles and
 came back to me and gave me a car seat
 I told him that I wanted to press charges
 against Charles. After deputy cleared the
 scene Charles was bothering me so I asked him
 why did he tear up the car when I was in that
 car. He tells me that I caused everything
 that happen to my car. I told him he was terrible for
 what he did he began approaching grabbing on me.
 While I was in kitchen he choked me when I
 kept trying to walk off he kept coming after me.
 I went in one of bedroom he came in and after
 me talking about ~~me~~
 you got mad at me. He choked me again and pushed
 me in my side I push him away from me and
 he fell on a brown mattress. I left and when he
 came behind me began choking me around back
 in living room. I started to bleed in to back some to
 back. He asked why is he crying like I crying
 he hit me across my left temple directly to my
 eye in my right eye which left a black mark in
 my eye. I went in kitchen to get curry because
 he was blocking door he came toward me and
 grab my neck choking me. When he was
 kissing and ended up by refrigerator which he reached
 in and grab a knife putting knife into my neck
 talking about he will kill me. Which puncture my skin
 in like different areas. I was able to work him to
 stop. HE CRIED

I have read this page, initialed corrections or changes, if any, and received a copy of this page. I certify that the facts contained herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature of person giving voluntary statement

Sworn to before me this ___ day of ___ 20__.

Notary Public of South Carolina

(3) 2g
VOLUNTARY STATEMENT

Page 3 of 3 pages.

He went in bedroom and then when I called the station back Krippl came back and I told her about the punching, chucking and Charles pulling a knife out on me putting it to my back puncturing my skin in a couple of different places. I told her that I wanted to press charges. I also showed her the knife that he used. She asked if I wanted to be checked out by EMS. I told her no I will get a ride to hospital if I choose to. Before EMS came in I said NO more. When EMS came on I told NO service was needed. Officer insisted on that I needed to go I said I was fine. I ended conversation that they were here to help. I got up went in bathroom to take a shower. WHILE TAKING SHOWER SOMEONE KNOCKED ON DOOR I answered to a female asking what was I doing I told her I'm taking a shower that I didn't want to go and didn't need them. I didn't hear nothing I ended my shower and I came out and I was sitting I got to go. But why she say because I just I have to go forth on. While dressing my self there another knock I answer it's a guy telling me to come out and while he's talking the door is being forced to open. I came out and officers forced me to go. Forced me on a restrained chair. (man handed me on chair)

I have read this page, initialed corrections or changes, if any, and received a copy of this page. I certify that the facts contained herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature of person giving voluntary statement

Sworn to before me this ___ day of ___ 20__.

Notary Public of South Carolina

3A



Deason Attorney / Gibson Pca
Joel

LEXINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CITIZEN'S COMPLAINT FORM

NAME: Andrea Buckener PHONE (HOME) 803 923

DATE OF BIRTH: _____ PHONE (WORK) 4093

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP: _____

DATE / TIME OF INCIDENT June 8, 2002 ~~June 10, 2002~~

LOCATION OF INCIDENT before ~~at~~

DATE OF COMPLAINT 6/16/2002

DRIVER'S LICENSE # _____ STATE _____ SS # _____

NAMES OF DEPUTY(S) INVOLVED (IF KNOWN)

Terry Gowan

DETAILS - (Please relate (in your words) the complaint, including names, times, locations, witnesses and any other factual, supporting information.)

Im writing this because Mr. Gowan failed to provide evidence intentionally to the discovery for someone that stole a vehicle up that I was driving (property of Hertz Car Rental) and for assault that was done to me. He has been awarded by this situation over six months. He's had plenty of time to investigate and provide necessary material to further case & investigation to do so. Since he refused to do so. The day of court which was June 8, 2002

PAGE 1 OF _____ PAGE (s)

[Signature]
Signature

PSD (white)


CITIZEN (canary)

CITIZEN'S COMPLAINT FORM

PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGE(s)

My case was not heard out and my
evidence against the person that
committed the crime was not admissable
to court because Govan fail to provide
information before court in a timely
manner.

Lined area for additional text or notes.


Signature

PSD (white)

CITIZEN (canary)



LEXINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CITIZEN'S COMPLAINT FORM

NAME: Andrea Buckner PHONE (HOME) 803 923

DATE OF BIRTH: _____ PHONE (WORK) 4093

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP: _____

DATE / TIME OF INCIDENT June 10, 2009 245-3:15

LOCATION OF INCIDENT _____

DATE OF COMPLAINT _____

DRIVER'S LICENSE # _____ STATE _____ SS # _____

NAMES OF DEPUTY(S) INVOLVED (IF KNOWN)

Terry Gowan
meagan Dabkowski

DETAILS - (Please relate (in your words) the complaint, including names, times, locations, witnesses and any other factual, supporting information.)

Im writing this because of the rudeness
unprofessional behavior for Mr. Gowan
& Ms. Meagan calling me out of my
name Mr. Gowan called me a "crazy ASS girl"
on June 8, 2009. Ms. Meagan called me
"you stupid little BITCH" I don't appreciate
them calling me out of my name
especially when I have had my enclosed
pain and I've been begging the system
to hold this person accountable for
their criminal act. Everyone from the
Officer that did the report up to Sheriff


PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGE (s)

[Signature]
Signature

PSD (white)

CITIZEN (canary)

Major for Sheriff has not tried
to do anything but tell me my evidence
don't matter. All the way
up to Golan that took case and
failed to provide evidence to make
case be heard fairly



Signature

PSD (white)

CITIZEN (canary)

5A



Lexington Medical Center

2720 Sunset Boulevard • West Columbia, SC 29169
(803) 791-2000 • www.lexmed.com

6/9/2022

1 of 1

Guarantor Information

Andrea Buckener
204 Oakglenn Dr
GASTON, SC 29053

Guarantor ID: 1020863

This is not a bill. This is an itemization of services for:

Patient Name: Andrea Buckener
Hospital Account: 205228000
Department/Location: LMC Emergency Department

Admission Date: 06/27/21
Discharge Date: 06/27/21

Visit Coverages:

SELF PAY
SELF PAY
SELF PAY

Hospital Charges

Service Date	Rev Code	Procedure Code	Description	Qty	Amount
06/27/2021	0450	19000209	HC EMERGENCY ROOM VISIT LEVEL 4	1	1,677.00
06/27/2021	0981	60000328	HC PR.EMERGENCY ROOM VISIT LEVEL 4	1	1,140.00
Total hospital charges:					2,817.00

5B

LEXINGTON MEDICAL CENTER

Buckener, Andrea
MRN: M003323731, DOB: 11/21/1981, Sex: F
Adm: 6/27/2021, D/C: 6/27/2021

06/27/2021 - ED in LMC Emergency Department

ED Provider Note

ED Provider Notes by Garrick, Benjamin G., MD at 6/27/2021 0712

History

Chief Complaint

Patient presents with

- Medical Clearance
BROUGHT BY EMS. POLICE CALLED TO HOME SEVERAL TIMES FOR DOMESTIC DISTURBANCE.
- Extremity pain/injury
SMALL SKIN TEAR TO L FOREARM DONE POSSIBLY BY EMS PTA.

39-year-old female who presents allegedly for domestic dispute. Patient states similar strength to break into her house and that was the person that live there. She states that she would like to speak with the police. She is refusing to speak with me any further. She declines wanting any care at this time.

History provided by: **The patient**

Alleged Assault

Type of assault: **physical**

Type of assault: **denies.**

Pain location: **denies.**

Severity: **denies.**

Associated symptoms: **no fever and no vaginal bleeding**

History reviewed. No pertinent past medical history.

History reviewed. No pertinent surgical history.

History reviewed. No pertinent family history.

Social History

Social History Narrative:

- Not on file

Social History

Tobacco Use

- Smoking status: **Unknown If Ever Smoked**

Substance Use Topics

- Alcohol use: **Yes**
Comment: USED 1-1/2 BOTTLES OF WINE TONIGHT
- Drug use: **Not on file**

Review of Systems

Constitutional: Negative for chills and fever.

HENT: Negative for congestion.

Eyes: Negative for visual disturbance.

Respiratory: Negative for cough, chest tightness and shortness of breath.

Cardiovascular: Negative for chest pain

11

50

LEXINGTON MEDICAL CENTER

Buckener, Andrea
MRN: M003323731, DOB: 11/21/1981, Sex: F
Adm: 6/27/2021, D/C: 6/27/2021

06/27/2021 - ED in LMC Emergency Department (continued)

ED Provider Note (continued)

Gastrointestinal: Negative for abdominal pain.
Genitourinary: Negative for difficulty urinating, vaginal bleeding and vaginal discharge.
Musculoskeletal: Negative for gait problem.
Skin: Negative for rash.
Neurological: Negative for seizures.
Psychiatric/Behavioral: Positive for agitation.

Physical Exam

Initial ED Vital Signs:

Temp: (PT REFUSES ALL VITALS)

Last Documented Vital Signs This Encounter:

Temp: (PT REFUSES ALL VITALS)

Height: 5' 8" (172.7 cm)
Weight: 77.1 kg (170 lb)

height is 5' 8" (1.727 m) and weight is 77.1 kg (170 lb).

Physical Exam

Vitals and nursing note reviewed.

Constitutional:

General: She is not in acute distress.
Appearance: She is well-developed.

HENT:

Head: Normocephalic and atraumatic.
Mouth/Throat:
Mouth: Mucous membranes are moist.
Pharynx: Oropharynx is clear.

Eyes:

Extraocular Movements: Extraocular movements intact.

Cardiovascular:

Rate and Rhythm: Normal rate and regular rhythm.

Pulmonary:

Effort: Pulmonary effort is normal. No respiratory distress.
Breath sounds: Normal breath sounds.

Abdominal:

General: There is no distension.

Musculoskeletal:

General: No swelling or deformity. Normal range of motion.
Cervical back: Normal range of motion and neck supple.

Skin:

General: Skin is warm and dry.

(9)

LEXINGTON MEDICAL CENTER

Buckener, Andrea
MRN: M003323731, DOB: 11/21/1981, Sex: F
Adm: 6/27/2021, D/C: 6/27/2021

06/27/2021 - ED in LMC Emergency Department (continued)

ED Provider Note (continued)

Findings: No rash.

Neurological:

General: No focal deficit present.

Mental Status: She is alert.

Comments: **Seems to be oriented; able to recount details of tonight that she will reveal; She is speaking calmly; Declining exam or further history;**

ED Course

Orders Placed This Encounter

- CBC and Differential
- CMET
- ETOH Level
- Drug Abuse Profile, Urine
- Urine hCG Qualitative
- Urinalysis
- TSH
- Acetaminophen level
- Salicylate Level
- SARS-COV-2 Antigen FIA with Reflex to COVID (RAPID), PCR
- Immediate Treatment Certification
- Consult to Social Work - Mental Health Assessment
- ECG 12 lead

Procedures

No orders to display

Labs

- CBC AND DIFFERENTIAL
- COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC PANEL
- ETHANOL
- DRUGS OF ABUSE PROFILE
- URINE HCG (QUAL.)
- URINALYSIS
- TSH
- ACETAMINOPHEN LEVEL
- SALICYLATE LEVEL
- SARS-COV-2 ANTIGEN FIA WITH REFLEX TO COVID (RAPID), PCR

5E

LEXINGTON MEDICAL CENTER

Buckener, Andrea
MRN: M003323731, DOB: 11/21/1981, Sex: F
Adm: 6/27/2021, D/C: 6/27/2021

06/27/2021 - ED in LMC Emergency Department (continued)

ED Provider Note (continued)

ED Discharge Orders (From admission, onward)

None

ED Prescriptions

None

Diagnosis:

Final diagnoses:

Alleged assault (Primary)

Follow Up Instructions:

LMC Emergency Department
2720 Sunset Boulevard
West Columbia South Carolina 29169
803-791-2350

If symptoms worsen

See your primary care provider within 1 week for re-evaluation as needed;

Disposition: Discharge
Departure Condition: Stable

LMC ED MDM

LEXINGTON MEDICAL CENTER

Buckener, Andrea

MRN: M003323731, DOB: 11/21/1981, Sex: F

Adm: 6/27/2021, D/C: 6/27/2021

06/27/2021 - ED in LMC Emergency Department (continued)

ED Provider Note (continued)

mdm as below;

ED Course as of Jun 27 0837

Sun Jun 27, 2021

0759 Patient is calm and at this time is declining any physical exam or work-up. She states that she is fine and that she does not wish to be evaluated.

[BG]

0800 There was some concern for intoxication on scene and domestic violence. See the patient

[BG]

0800 for evaluation.

[BG]

0835 Patient denies any SI HI or AVH. She is alert and oriented is refusing care at this time. I feel that she has capacity to make this decision. I discussed with the social worker is also evaluate the patient agrees that the patient has capacity for decision-making and has not required time exam she does not wish. She is speaking in full sentences no respiratory distress or external sign of trauma from exam unable to obtain from the doorway.

[BG]

0835 Offered the forensic nurse examiner patient has declined. She has a friend that is coming to pick her up and has a safe discharge plan. She will be discharged

[BG]

0835 and is encouraged to return if she would like an exam or has any other concerns

[BG]

ED Course User Index

[BG] Garrick, Benjamin G., MD

Garrick, Benjamin G., MD

06/27/21 0838

Electronically signed by Garrick, Benjamin G., MD at 06/27/21 0838

ED Notes

ED Notes by Paynter, Erin N, RN at 6/27/2021 0616

06/27/2021 - ED in LMC Emergency Department (continued)

ED Notes (continued)

Bed: 1-16
Expected date:
Expected time:
Means of arrival:
Comments:
Lex 16: neck bruising/restrained

Electronically signed by Paynter, Erin N, RN at 06/27/21 0616

ED Triage Notes by Shughart, Linda, RN at 6/27/2021 0623

PT IS FROM HOME. POLICE WAS CALLED MULTIPLE TIMES DURING THE NIGHT FOR DOMESTIC DISPUTE. ON FINAL VISIT POLICE REQUESTED EMS BRING PT TO HOSPITAL FOR EVALUATION OF NECK PAIN AFTER BEING CHOKED. PT BECAME HOSTILE AND COMBATIVE. EMS BROUGHT PT IN RESTRAINED. POS AT BEDSIDE ON ARRIVAL PAT DOWN DONE. PT REFUSING TO ANSWER MOST QUESTIONS BUT IS NOT COMBATIVE.

Electronically signed by Shughart, Linda, RN at 06/27/21 0626

ED Notes by Shughart, Linda, RN at 6/27/2021 0632

PT IS CALM BUT SITTING ON EDGE OF STRETCHER. PSO AT BEDSIDE TO DISCUSS HOW ITC WORKS.

Electronically signed by Shughart, Linda, RN at 06/27/21 0647

ED Notes by Bell, Jennifer S at 6/27/2021 0632

Pts belongings include:
Black book bag containing:
Smart phone green case
Phone charger
Pink soap box
Pair of jeans
Towel
Washcloth
Pink shorts
2 socks
Bra 3
Leggings 3
Panties
Mask
Underwear
T-shirt
Pink bag of toiletries includes toothbrush, panties, deodorant, body wash, shampoo.
Front pocket contains sticker, sheriff dept. Card, ginger candy, glove, nail polish.
Shirt green
Shorts gray
Shoes brown

LEXINGTON MEDICAL CENTER

5H

Buckener, Andrea
MRN: M003323731, DOB: 11/21/1981, Sex: F
Adm: 6/27/2021, D/C: 6/27/2021

06/27/2021 - ED in LMC Emergency Department (continued)

ED Notes (continued)

Electronically signed by Bell, Jennifer S at 06/27/21 0645

ED Notes by Shughart, Linda, RN at 6/27/2021 0647

DR BOOZER AT BEDSIDE. PT REMAINS CALM AND COOPERATIVE BUT CONSTANTLY ASKS "WHY ARE YOU DOING THIS? I DON'T WANT AN EVALUATION. I WANT TO GO HOME."
AFTER MUCH DISCUSSION PT SLOWLY IS CHANGING INTO PAPER SCRUBS. CLOTHING BAGGED AND REMOVED FROM ROOM. PT CHANGED HER OWN MENSTRUAL PAD. PT THEN SQUATTED OVER A HAT THAT WAS ON THE FLOOR, URINATED IN IT AND THEN THREW IT INTO THE TRASH CAN.

Electronically signed by Shughart, Linda, RN at 06/27/21 0649

ED Notes by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 6/27/2021 0710

Assumed care. Patient resting in stretcher in paper scrubs. Patient is refusing all care. She is alert, unable to really determine orientation because patient refusing to answer orientation questions but she reports "I am coherent". She appears angry as she reports she was already brought here against her will and injured on the way here. Respirations even and non-labored, no distress noted.

Electronically signed by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 06/27/21 0721

ED Notes by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 6/27/2021 0721

Per MD patient may have phone to make a phone call to family.

Electronically signed by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 06/27/21 0721

ED Notes by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 6/27/2021 0745

Patient on phone.

Electronically signed by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 06/27/21 0835

ED Notes by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 6/27/2021 0815

MSS in at bedside.

Electronically signed by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 06/27/21 0835

ED Notes by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 6/27/2021 0830

Patient denies any SI/HI. Patient was cleared for discharge and is changing back into her clothing in room.

51

06/27/2021 - ED in LMC Emergency Department (continued)

ED Notes (continued)

Electronically signed by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 06/27/21 0842

ED Notes by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 6/27/2021 0843

Patient ambulatory out with steady gait. Patient did not want to wait for d/c instructions.

Electronically signed by Graham, Kimberly B, RN at 06/27/21 0843

Other Orders

Consult

Consult to Social Work - Mental Health Assessment (Completed)

Electronically signed by: **Boozer, Harriet, MD on 06/27/21 0637**
Ordering user: Boozer, Harriet, MD 06/27/21 0637
Authorized by: Boozer, Harriet, MD
Frequency: STAT Once 06/27/21 0635 - 1 occurrence
Quantity: 1

Status: Completed

Ordering provider: Boozer, Harriet, MD
Ordering mode: Standard
Class: Hospital Performed
Instance released by: Boozer, Harriet, MD (auto-released)
6/27/2021 6:37 AM

Questionnaire

Question	Answer
Reason For Social Work Consult	Mental Health Assessment

6A

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Andria Buchner

Appellant

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

vs.

Swansea Magistrate Court

Respondent

Case # CP 3201498

Personally appeared before me, the undersigned appellant, who being duly sworn says that (s)he served the NOTICE OF APPEAL in this action on

Swansea Magistrate Court personally,

(Name of party served)

at 500 Charlie Rast Rd Swansea SC

(Street Address)

6/16/2022

(Date of Service)

Sworn to before me on 20 day
of June, 2022

Notary Signature

My commission expires



[Signature]
Appellant Signature

[Signature]

2022 JUN 20 PM 4: 57
LISA M. COHER
CLERK OF COURT
LEXINGTON SC

FILED

6B

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Andria Budnick)

Appellant)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

vs.)

Charles Patterson)

Case # CF 3201998

~~Charles Patterson~~

Personally appeared before me, the undersigned appellant, who being duly sworn says that (s)he served the NOTICE OF APPEAL in this action on

Charles Patterson

(Name of party served)

personally,

at 5310 Farraw Rd Columbia Sc

(Street Address)

on

6/12/2022

(Date of Service)

Sworn to before me on 20 day
of June, 2022

[Signature]
Notary Signature

My commission expires



[Signature]
Appellant Signature

[Signature]

2022 JUN 20 PM 4: 57
LISA M. COHER
CLERK OF COURT
LEXINGTON SC

FILED

60

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Andria Buckner)

Appellant)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

vs.)

lt. Goonan)

Respondent)

Case # CP 3201990

Personally appeared before me, the undersigned appellant, who being duly sworn says that (s)he served the NOTICE OF APPEAL in this action on

Captain Howard / Lt. Goonan personally,

(Name of party served)

at 102 Airport Rd Pelion SC on

(Street Address)

6/16/2022
(Date of Service)

Sworn to before me on 20 day
of June, 2022

[Signature]
Notary Signature

My commission expires



Appellant Signature


[Signature]

LISA M. COMER
CLERK OF COURT
LEXINGTON SC


2022 JUN 20 PM 4:57


FILED






910






(7E)







(78)









TK


T-Mobile LTE

5:45 PM



22986518377_Photo...








88



June 30, 2021
4:33 PM

Edit




30





3E







(803) 201-6860

6/26/21, 2:31 PM

Hello what are doing

6/27/21, 2:21 AM

Come and get your car

Whoever you fucking with in the club they can have u

6/28/21, 4:34 PM

I will call you later

6/28/21, 8:22 PM

What ever man you are with that picked you up please have him come get this car I'm calling them now

Enter a message...



INSTALL

4B

(803) 201-6860

7/6/21, 9:57 AM

Good morning my love

Morning

7/7/21, 9:54 AM

Good morning

Morning

Charles while you're at work you need to come with another option so I can have a ride..you caused all this to where I don't have a car to drive. Not trying to mean or anything. Now I feel like you're obligated to fix this!

Enter a message...

9c

(803) 201-6860

ride..you caused all this to where I don't have a car to drive. Not trying to mean or anything. Now I feel like you're obligated to fix this!

Can. You borrow money and pay it back when you get your taxes.

I will see if I can

7/7/21, 3:45 PM

Can you get water please

Can you get water please

7/8/21, 5:54 AM

You're fake as....talking about you love me..you want to

Enter a message...



Are you online? 🗨️

INSTALL

910

(803) 201-6860

7/19/21, 9:23 PM

I don't appreciate how you keep treating me before and after all the pain and hurt you have caused me!

I don't appreciate how you keep treating me before and after all the pain and hurt you have caused me!

And you have not maned up and apologized since you tore up my ride and tried to kill..and you thinking about some sex. I know you getting my messages but you can ignore. I can expect that!

As if I did harm and wrong to

Enter a message...



Shipt
Options for every diet.



10A

HERTZ
SUCKTOR, ANDRIA
2 RR RR 045623600
VIN# 1G1LF558L416297
CLW# 11C9736N9

COMPANY RATE 17 @ \$ 18.24 /DAY \$ 310.08

RENT RATE 31 @ \$ 18.24 /DAY \$ 565.44

SUBTOTAL T \$ 875.52

CHARGES ADDED DURING RENTAL
LDW DECLINED \$
PDW DECLINED \$
LIS DECLINED \$
PAI,PEC DECLINED \$

SERVICE CHARGES/TAXES

TAX 11.00 % ON EST. TAXABLE TTL \$875.52 \$ 96.31
COMPANY CHARGE \$ 344.19
CUSTOMER CHARGE \$ 627.64
CHARGED ON: VI XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX0535 \$ 627.64
CUSTOMER BALANCE \$ 0.00

FOR EXPLANATION OF THE ABOVE CHARGES,
PLEASE ASK A REPRESENTATIVE OR GO TO
WWW.HERTZ.COM/CHARGEEXPLAINED

VEHICLE: 02298/651837720 CHEVY LIC: IL FP117921
RENTED: AUGUSTA HLE 05/26/2021 15:03
AUGUSTA HLE 07/12/2021 23:59

103



Viking Billing Service Remittance Information

Include the following claim number on all payments: OY6510

Claims Representative: Bailey Lyback

Claims Representative's Phone number: 8004889316

Claims Representative's email: blyback@vikingservice.com

Send any communication or release to:

The claim for damage is based on the following:

Vehicle Damage: \$	3596.39
Deductible: \$	0.00
Administrative Fee: \$	150.00
Diminished Value: \$	421.62
Loss of Use: \$	0.00
<u>Towing: \$</u>	<u>0.00</u>
Total Due: \$	4168.01

Mail Payments to:
Viking Billing Service
PO Box 59207
Minneapolis, MN 55459

Send Certified or Overnight Mail to:
Viking Billing Service
10050 Crosstown Cir, Suite 300
Eden Prairie, MN 55344

** Note: DHL and UPS do not deliver to PO Boxes

0000906077 BUCKNOR, ANDRIA L Transaction Summary

10/08/2021

Post Date	ID	Eff Date	Transaction	Trans Amt	Balance Chg	Int/Pnlty	Fees	New Balance	Description	Prev Available
10/08/2021	S 0072	10/08/2021	Transfer Dep...	95.00	95.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	From Share 0001	-95.00
10/08/2021	S 0001	10/08/2021	Withdrawal T...	95.00	-95.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	To Share 0072	95.00
10/08/2021	S 0001	10/08/2021	Deposit	95.00	95.00	0.00	0.00	95.01		-94.99
07/31/2021	S 0001	07/31/2021	Fee Withdra...	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	Incor Addr Fee	0.00
%% Card 15 #0535 Date 07/12/21 1 1193529787 5 3357 HERTZ #0563509 AUGUSTA GA										
07/16/2021	S 0072	07/16/2021	Debit Card W...	125.00	-125.00	0.00	0.00	-95.00	SIGNATURE DEBIT	30.00



SRP FEDERAL CREDIT UNION
 P.O. BOX 6730
 NORTH AUGUSTA, S.C. 29861-6730

USD

SRP

FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

P.O. Box 6730
North Augusta, SC 29861-6730

Monthly Statement

Statement Date	5/31/21
Statement Period	5/01/21 - 5/31/21
Account Number	0000906077
Routing and Transit	253278090

Member Services

- Online Banking www.srpfcu.org
- Mailing Address
SRP Federal Credit Union
PO Box 6730
North Augusta, SC 29861-6730
- Phone Center
803-278-4851
800-237-9829
- Hours of Operation
Mon - Tue: 8:30 am - 5:30 pm
Wed: 8:30 am - 12:00 pm
Thur - Fri: 8:30 am - 6:00 pm

ANDRIA LESA BUCKNOR
2911 BELWOOD DR
AUGUSTA, GA 30909

Account Balance Summary

Total Shares

PRIMARY SAVINGS 0001	\$0.01
BASIC CHECKING 0072	\$46.10
Total Balance	\$46.11

PRIMARY SAVINGS

Share ID: 0001

Share Summary

Balance Forward on 5/01	\$0.01
Ending Balance on 5/31	\$0.01
Dividends Paid Year-to-Date	\$0.00

Transaction History

Post Date	Transaction Description	Balance Change	New Balance
5/31	Incor Addr Fee		\$0.01
5/31	Ending Balance		\$0.01

BASIC CHECKING

Share ID: 0072

Share Summary

Balance Forward on 5/01	N/A
Ending Balance on 5/31	\$46.10
Dividends Paid Year-to-Date	\$0.00

FIND YOUR SCHOOL

srpfcu.org



SRP

FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

10E

FOR CONSUMER ACCOUNTS ONLY

In Case of Errors or Questions About Your Electronic Transfers.

Telephone us toll-free at 1-800-237-9829 or write us at SRP Federal Credit Union, P.O. Box 6730, North Augusta, SC 29861-6760 as soon as you can if you think your statement or receipt is wrong, or if you need more information about a transfer listed on the statement or receipt. We must hear from you no later than sixty days after we send the first statement on which the problem or error appeared.

1. Tell us your name and account number.
2. Describe the error or the transfer you are unsure about and explain as clearly as you can why you believe it is an error or why you need more information.
3. Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than ten business days to do this, we will credit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

Augusta: (803) 278-4851 North Augusta: (803) 278-4851 Aiken: (803) 278-4851 Barnwell: (803) 259-2781
Edgefield: (803) 637-6620

Toll-Free: 1-800-237-9829
www.srpfcu.org

To report cards lost or stolen:

During business hours call the numbers above. After business hours call the numbers below.

For Visa Credit Cards call 1-800-449-7728 and for Visa Debit Cards and Visa Check Cards call 1-800-523-4175.



107

SRP
FEDERAL CREDIT UNION
P.O. Box 6730
North Augusta, SC 29861-6730

Monthly Statement

Statement Date 7/31/21
Statement Period 7/01/21 - 7/31/21
Account Number 0000906077
Routing and Transit 253278090

BASIC CHECKING

Share ID: 0072

Transaction History

Post Date	Transaction Description	Balance Change	New Balance
7/01	Deposit POS #000097207577 POS Cash App*Cash Out Visa Direct CA	\$30.00	\$30.00
7/16	Withdrawal Debit Card SIGNATURE DEBIT 7/12 1 1193529787 5 HERTZ #0563509 AUGUSTA GA	-\$125.00	-\$95.00
7/31	Ending Balance		-\$95.00

Totals Section

Total Dividends Paid Year-to-Date \$0.00



FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

P.O. Box 6730

North Augusta, SC 29861-6730

Monthly Statement

Statement Date 5/31/21
 Statement Period 5/01/21 - 5/31/21
 Account Number 0000906077
 Routing and Transit 253278090

BASIC CHECKING

Share ID: 0072

Transaction History

Post Date	Transaction Description	Balance Change	New Balance
5/04	Deposit Debit Card Cash App*Cash Out Visa Direct CA Date 05/04/21 1 0000354041 5 4829 ATM INQ SRP BURNETTOWN DU 2521 JEFFERSON DAV WARRENVILLE SC	\$50.00	\$50.00
5/05	Withdrawal at ATM #000000000061 ATM SRP BURNETTOWN DU 2521 JEFFERSON DAV WARRENVILLE SC ATM INQ SRP BURNETTOWN 2521 JEFFERSON DAV WARRENVILLE SC	-\$40.00	\$10.00
5/18	Deposit Debit Card Cash App*Cash Out Visa Direct CA Date 05/18/21 1 0000391365 5 4829	\$24.74	\$34.74
5/20	Withdrawal at ATM #000000005700 ATM I. CHOI CORP-93682 2419 PEACH ORCHARD AUGUSTA GA	-\$22.50	\$12.24
	Withdrawal ATM Trans Fee ATM I. CHOI CORP-93682 2419 PEACH ORCHARD AUGUSTA GA	-\$2.00	\$10.24
5/24	Withdrawal Debit Card SIGNATURE DEBIT ATM INQ SRP DAVIS RD 125 DAVIS RD MARTINEZ GA 5/21 2 1141219138 3 TACO BELL #24675 MARTINEZ GA	-\$10.14	\$0.10
5/26	Deposit at ATM #000000007507 ATM SRP DAVIS ROAD 125 DAVIS ROAD MARTINEZ GA ATM INQ SRP TOBACCO ROAD 2215 TOBACCO ROAD AUGUSTA GA	\$56.00	\$56.10
5/31	Withdrawal Basic Chk Fee	-\$10.00	\$46.10
5/31	Ending Balance		\$46.10

Totals Section

Total Dividends Paid Year-to-Date	\$0.00
-----------------------------------	--------

MANNE

2020 Illinois Registration Identification Card

Jesse White, Illinois Secretary of State

ERTP 06/30/2020 : 9042407985 : 182.00 E

FP117921

HERTZ VEHICLES LLC
2170 S MANNHEIM RD
DES PLAINES, IL 60018


Vehicle Year 2020	Vehicle Make CHEVROLET	VIN 1G1JF5SB6L4112922
Weight or CCs	Body Style SEDAN	Application Type FLEET PLATE
Axles	Leased/Rental Unit Number	File Number 016
County	County	County COOK
Drivers License Number(s) or FEIN(s)	Expiration Date JUNE 30, 2020	Plate Number FP117921
Renewal Fee		FLEET

10#

11A

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

In The Supreme Court



AUG 08 2023

APPEAL FROM LEXINGTON COUNTY

SC Court of Appeals

Court of Common Pleas

s/R.E. Hood #2164, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2022CP3201998

The State,

Respondent,

Charles Pritcherson

v.

Andria Bucknor,

Appellant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Andria Bucknor appeals the order of the Honorable R.E. Hood dated July 3, 2023, which affirmed his conviction in the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS. Appellant received written notice of the order on July 23, 2023.

August 8, 2023

Andria Bucknor
Post Office Box 14134
Augusta, GA 30919

Overture E. Walker
1527 Blanding Street
Columbia, Sc.

(113)

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

In The Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM LEXINGTON COUNTY

Court of Common Pleas

s/R.E. Hood #2164, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2022CP3201998

The State,

Respondent,

v.

Andria Bucknor,

Appellant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Andria Bucknor appeals the order of the Honorable R.E. Hood dated July 3, 2023, which affirmed his conviction in the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS. Appellant received written notice of the order on July 23, 2023.

August 7, 2023

Andria Bucknor
Post Office Box 14134
Augusta, GA 30919

Overture E. Walker
1527 Blanding Street
Columbia, Sc.

110

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

In The Supreme Court

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2023
SC Court of Appeals

Appeal From Lexington County

s/R.E. Hood, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2022CP3201998

Overture E. Walker, Attorney for

Charles Patterson

Respondent,

v.

Andria Bucknor

Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal On Charles Patterson by depositing a copy of it on August 9, 2023, to his attorney Overture E. Walker at 1527 Blanding Street Columbia Sc. 29201.

August 9, 2023

Andria Bucknor

Post Office Box 14134

Augusta, Ga. 30919

11D

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2023 Jul 03 10:34 AM - LEXINGTON - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2022CP3201998

Andria Buckner
PLAINTIFF(S)

Charles Lewis Patterson
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter was originally before the Court on Tuesday, June 6th, 2023 at 9:30 a.m. upon Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. In an order filed on June 7th, 2023, this Court determined that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was granted due to a failure to prosecute. Plaintiff Andrea Buckner filed a Motion to Reconsider on June 8th, 2023 on the grounds of lack of notice. This Court confirmed with the Clerk of Court that this Plaintiff received notice at the address that she provided and confirmed with the Clerk's office. Therefore, this Court finds that this Motion to Reconsider should be and therefore is denied. This action is dismissed.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 07/03/2023 .

Charles Lewis Patterson for Charles Lewis Patterson
Andria Buckner for Andria Buckner
Charles Lewis Patterson for Charles Lewis Patterson
Andria Buckner for Andria Buckner

RECEIVED

JUL 08 2023

Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Hilria Budnor

4/17/2023

104
11E

to whom this concern, I showed up for court today and really couldn't get no help on ~~where~~ ^{which} room my case was being held in. I ask deputy on first floor and was sent to third floor & fourth floor. I asked around I was sent to second floor and asked deputy on that floor. He told me to check Board. I didn't see my name. I checked first floor. I was directed to go to records. I really couldn't get any accurate location for case. In the process of checking each floor I was told that there was only two cases being held for the third and fourth floor. I was able to get a name for one of the person wearing a red jacket (deputy/cable). In the process and prior to coming in I never received court notice advising me of what court my case was being held in, ~~where~~ called numerous times prior to me going to court and could not get any answer.

I would like all this to be taken into ^{at} consideration so I will be able to get another court date to have my case to be heard. I also found out about my case a few days ago which was so sudden and abrupt for me.

116

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2023 Jun 07 1:02 PM - LEXINGTON - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2022CP3201998

Andria Buckner
PLAINTIFF(S)

Charles Lewis Patterson
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other Failure to prosecute
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter was before the Court on Tuesday, June 6th, 2023 at 9:30 a.m. upon Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Present representing the Defendant was Overture Walker. Plaintiff was not present. Due to a failure to prosecute, this Court determines that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss should be and therefore is granted. This action is dismissed.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 06/07/2023 .

Charles Lewis Patterson for Charles Lewis Patterson
Andria Buckner for Andria Buckner
Charles Lewis Patterson for Charles Lewis Patterson
Andria Buckner for Andria Buckner

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Handwritten initials and a scribble.

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCF.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2023 Jun 07 1:02 PM - LEXINGTON - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2022CP3201998

111

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2023 Jun 07 1:02 PM - LEXINGTON - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2022CP3201998



Lexington Common Pleas

Case Caption: Andria Buckner VS Charles Lewis Patterson
Case Number: 2022CP3201998
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ R.E. Hood #2164

STATE OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

NOTICE OF MOTION SCHEDULING

May 11, 2023



Motion "MOTMOT - Civil Appeal Hearing" for Case: 2022CP3201998 - Andria Buckner VS Charles Lewis Patterson has been added to the following Motions Roster:

163 - In Person Hearing Week of June 5, 2023

This hearing of this motion has been scheduled for 6/6/2023 at 9:30 AM.

The IN PERSON motions roster for the week of June 5, 2023 with Judge Hood has been published to the web. Please check the roster for the date and time of your hearing. If this motion hearing is no longer nccd please email Mona Huggins at mhuggins@lex-co.com.

These hearings are in person. If you are in need of a continuance, it must be filed no later than May 24, 2023. Please report to the Marc Westbrook Judicial Center, 205 East Main Street, Lexington SC 29072 for your hearing. We ask that you arrive 15 minutes prior to your scheduled court time to make sure you are in the correct courtroom on time.

Handwritten notes:
110
DIRECTOR
FILED
JUNE 11 2023
LEXINGTON COUNTY

Mail Notice To:
<p>Andria Buckner 3301 Wrightsboro Road Box 14134 Augusta, GA 30909</p>

Court Info:
<p>Common Pleas Lexington County Judicial Center 205 East Main Street Lexington, SC 29072-9072</p>

If you have any questions regarding the scheduling of this motion, please contact the courts at:

(803) 785-8212

Respectfully,

Lisa M. Comer
Clerk of Court

I, Andria Buckner ^{reconsider} am writing this ^{July 11K}

In concern to my case that was dismissed on June 6, 2023. I am writing this asking and pleading that this case be brought back in front of a judge. I did not receive any notice for the court hearing. Previous before the hearing was mailed to the wrong address. So, its possible that there could have been a mistake again. This case is very vital to me where there has been damage done to me that needs to be resolved. So, I am asking you, the honorable judge to reconsider, please, to hear this case. I never received court notice. I also was emailed that the case was heard at 11:30 but later displayed that the case was a 9:30 am. I have called about three or four numbers in the court house which the

kept answering it is a cell phone going to a voicemail. It also was seeming like someone was answering and not saying anything. Please TAKE this into reconsideration if granted, can court notice be sent certified so there is a record

785 8212
785 2865
785 2385

Sincerely,

Andrii Bucichor

(18)

User: SWILLEYV

LEXINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

10/29/2021 09:

INMATE PROPERTY RECORD

Booking #: 115595

Inmate name: PATTERSON, CHARLES LEWIS



Item	Bin	Qty	Value	Date Recv.	Received From	Officer
BLACK WALLET	0741	1		10/29/2021	PATTERSON, CHARLES	KEYES, L. E. (E73556)
SCDL 00827XXXXXX	0741	1		10/29/2021	PATTERSON, CHARLES	KEYES, L. E. (E73556)
ELECTRONICS, CELLPHONE BLK CASE	0741	1		10/29/2021	PATTERSON, CHARLES	KEYES, L. E. (E73556)
BLACK SHIRT	0741	1		10/29/2021	PATTERSON, CHARLES	KEYES, L. E. (E73556)
BLACK PANTS	0741	1		10/29/2021	PATTERSON, CHARLES	KEYES, L. E. (E73556)
BLACK SHOES	0741	1		10/29/2021	PATTERSON, CHARLES	KEYES, L. E. (E73556)
MSDE RED CAP	0741	1		10/29/2021	PATTERSON, CHARLES	KEYES, L. E. (E73556)

435977.115595

I hereby agree with the above inventory of my property that has been taken from me for storage and authorized the inspection of my mail for the duration of my incarceration at the LEXINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S

X _____
Inmate's Signature Date



Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963)

Annotation

PRIMARY HOLDING

The government's withholding of evidence that is material to the determination of either guilt or punishment of a criminal defendant violates the defendant's constitutional right to due process.

Syllabus

U.S. Supreme Court

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963)

Brady v. Maryland

No. 490

Argued March 18-19, 1963

Decided May 13, 1963

373 U.S. 83

[Read More](#) ▾

Opinions



U.S. Supreme Court

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963)

Brady v. Maryland

No. 490

Argued March 18-19, 1963

Decided May 13, 1963

373 U.S. 83

CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND

Opinion of the Court by MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS, announced by MR. JUSTICE BRENNAN.

Petitioner and a companion, Boblit, were found guilty of murder in the first degree and were sentenced to death, their convictions being affirmed by the Court of Appeals of Maryland. 220 Md. 454, 154 A.2d 434. Their trials were separate, petitioner being tried first. At his trial, Brady took the stand and admitted his participation in the crime, but he claimed that Boblit did the actual killing. And, in his summation to the jury, Brady's counsel conceded that Brady was guilty of murder in the first degree, asking only that the jury return that verdict "without capital punishment." Prior to the trial, petitioner's counsel had requested the prosecution to allow him to examine Boblit's extrajudicial statements. Several of those statements were shown to him, but one dated July 9, 1958, in which Boblit admitted the actual homicide, was withheld by the prosecution, and did not come to petitioner's notice until after he had been tried, convicted, and sentenced, and after his conviction had been affirmed.

Petitioner moved the trial court for a new trial based on the newly discovered evidence that had been suppressed by the prosecution. Petitioner's appeal from a denial of that motion was dismissed by the Court of Appeals without prejudice to relief under the Maryland

[85]

Post-Conviction Procedure Act. 222 Md. 442, 160 A.2d 912. The petition for post-conviction relief was dismissed by the trial court, and, on appeal, the Court of Appeals held that suppression of the evidence by the prosecution denied petitioner due process of law, and remanded the case for a retrial of the question of punishment, not the question of guilt. 226 Md. 422, 174 A.2d 167. The case is here on certiorari, 371 U.S. 812. [Footnote 1]

The crime in question was murder committed in the perpetration of a robbery. Punishment for that crime in Maryland is life imprisonment or death, the jury being empowered to restrict the punishment to life by addition of the words "without capital punishment." 3 Md. Ann. Code, 1957, Art. 27, § 413. In Maryland, by reason of the state constitution, the jury in a criminal case are "the Judges of Law, as well as of fact." Art. XV, § 5. The question presented is whether petitioner was denied a federal right when the Court of Appeals restricted the new trial to the question of punishment.

[86]

We agree with the Court of Appeals that suppression of this confession was a violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The Court of Appeals relied, in the main, on two decisions from the Third Circuit Court of Appeals *United States ex rel. Almeida v. Baldi*, 195 F.2d 815, 33 A.L.R.2d 1407, and *United States ex rel. Thompson v. Dye*, 221 F.2d 763 which, we agree, state the correct constitutional rule.

This ruling is an extension of *Mooney v. Holohan*, 294 U. S. 103, 112, where the Court ruled on what nondisclosure by a prosecutor violates due process:

"It is a requirement that cannot be deemed to be satisfied by mere notice and hearing if a state has contrived a conviction through the pretense of a trial which in truth is but used as a means of

depriving a defendant of liberty through a deliberate deception of court and jury by the presentation of testimony known to be perjured. Such a contrivance by a state to procure the conviction and imprisonment of a defendant is as inconsistent with the rudimentary demands of justice as is the obtaining of a like result by intimidation."

In *Pyle v. Kansas*, 317 U. S. 213, 215-216, we phrased the rule in broader terms:

"Petitioner's papers are inexpertly drawn, but they do set forth allegations that his imprisonment resulted from perjured testimony, knowingly used by the State authorities to obtain his conviction, and from the deliberate suppression by those same authorities of evidence favorable to him. These allegations sufficiently charge a deprivation of rights guaranteed by the Federal Constitution, and, if proven, would entitle petitioner to release from his present custody. *Mooney v. Holohan*, 294 U. S. 103. "

[87]

The Third Circuit, in the *Baldi* case, construed that statement in *Pyle v. Kansas* to mean that the "suppression of evidence favorable" to the accused was itself sufficient to amount to a denial of due process. 195 F.2d at 820. In *Napue v. Illinois*, 360 U. S. 264, 269, we extended the test formulated in *Mooney v. Holohan* when we said: "The same result obtains when the State, although not soliciting false evidence, allows it to go uncorrected when it appears." *And see Alcorta v. Texas*, 355 U. S. 28; *Wilde v. Wyoming*,. *Cf. Durley v. Mayo*, 351 U. S. 277, 285 (dissenting opinion).

We now hold that the suppression by the prosecution of evidence favorable to an accused upon request violates due process where the evidence is material either to guilt or to punishment, irrespective of the good faith or bad faith of the prosecution.

The principle of *Mooney v. Holohan* is not punishment of society for misdeeds of a prosecutor, but avoidance of an unfair trial to the accused. Society wins not only when the guilty are convicted, but when criminal trials are fair; our system of the administration of justice suffers when any accused is treated unfairly. An inscription on the walls of the Department of Justice states the proposition candidly for the federal domain: "The United States wins its point whenever justice is done its citizens in the courts." [Footnote 2] A prosecution that withholds evidence on demand of an accused which, if made avail-

[88]

able, would tend to exculpate him or reduce the penalty helps shape a trial that bears heavily on the defendant. That casts the prosecutor in the role of an architect of a proceeding that does not comport with standards of justice, even though, as in the present case, his action is not "the result of guile," to use the words of the Court of Appeals. 226 Md. at 427, 174 A.2d at 169.

The question remains whether petitioner was denied a constitutional right when the Court of Appeals restricted his new trial to the question of punishment. In justification of that ruling, the Court of Appeals stated:

"There is considerable doubt as to how much good Boblit's undisclosed confession would have done Brady if it had been before the jury. It clearly implicated Brady as being the one who wanted to strangle the victim, Brooks. Boblit, according to this statement, also favored killing him, but he wanted to do it by shooting. We cannot put ourselves in the place of the jury, and assume what their views would have been as to whether it did or did not matter whether it was Brady's hands or Boblit's hands that twisted the shirt about the victim's neck. . . . [I]t would be 'too dogmatic' for us to say that the jury would not have attached any significance to this evidence *in considering the punishment of the defendant Brady.*"

"Not without some doubt, we conclude that the withholding of this particular confession of Boblit's was prejudicial to the defendant Brady. . . . "

"The appellant's sole claim of prejudice goes to the punishment imposed. *If Boblit's withheld*

confession had been before the jury, nothing in it could have reduced the appellant Brady's offense below murder in the first degree. We therefore see no occasion to retry that issue." 226 Md. at 429 430, 174 A.2d at 171. (Italics added.)

[89]

If this were a jurisdiction where the jury was not the judge of the law, a different question would be presented. But since it is, how can the Maryland Court of Appeals state that nothing in the suppressed confession could have reduced petitioner's offense "below murder in the first degree"? If, as a matter of Maryland law, juries in criminal cases could determine the admissibility of such evidence on the issue of innocence or guilt, the question would seem to be foreclosed.

But Maryland's constitutional provision making the jury in criminal cases "the Judges of Law" does not mean precisely what it seems to say. [Footnote 3] The present status of that provision was reviewed recently in *Giles v. State*, 229 Md. 370, 183 A.2d 359, *appeal dismissed*, 372 U. S. 767, where the several exceptions, added by statute or carved out by judicial construction, are reviewed. One of those exceptions material here is that "Trial courts have always passed, and still pass, upon the admissibility of evidence the jury may consider on the issue of the innocence or guilt of the accused." 229 Md. at 383, 183 A.2d at 365. The cases cited make up a long line going back nearly a century. *Wheeler v. State*, 42 Md. 563, 570, stated that instructions to the jury were advisory only, "except in regard to questions as to what shall be considered as evidence." And the court "having such right, it follows of course, that it also has the right to prevent counsel from arguing against such an instruction." *Bell v. State*, 57 Md. 108, 120. *And see Beard v. State*, 71 Md. 275, 280, 17 A. 1044, 1045; *Dick v. State*, 107 Md. 11, 21, 68 A. 286, 290. *Cf. Vogel v. State*, 163 Md. 267, 162 A. 705.

[90]

We usually walk on treacherous ground when we explore state law, [Footnote 4] for state courts, state agencies, and state legislatures are its final expositors under our federal regime. But, as we read the Maryland decisions, it is the court, not the jury, that passes on the "admissibility of evidence" pertinent to "the issue of the innocence or guilt of the accused." *Giles v. State*, *supra*. In the present case, a unanimous Court of Appeals has said that nothing in the suppressed confession "could have reduced the appellant Brady's offense below murder in the first degree." We read that statement as a ruling on the admissibility of the confession on the issue of innocence or guilt. A sporting theory of justice might assume that, if the suppressed confession had been used at the first trial, the judge's ruling that it was not admissible on the issue of innocence or guilt might have been flouted by the jury just as might have been done if the court had first admitted a confession and then stricken it from the record. [Footnote 5] But we cannot raise that trial strategy to the dignity of a constitutional right and say that the deprivation of this defendant of that sporting chance through the use of a

[91]

bifurcated trial (*cf. Williams v. New York*, 337 U. S. 241) denies him due process or violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Affirmed.

Separate opinion of MR. JUSTICE WHITE.

1. The Maryland Court of Appeals declared, "The suppression or withholding by the State of material evidence exculpatory to an accused is a violation of due process" without citing the United States Constitution or the Maryland Constitution, which also has a due process clause. [Footnote *] We therefore cannot be sure which Constitution was invoked by the court below, and thus whether the State, the only party aggrieved by this portion of the judgment, could even bring the issue here if it desired to do so. *See New York City v. Central Savings Bank*, 306 U.S. 661; *Minnesota v. National Tea Co.*, 309 U. S. 551. But, in any event, there is no cross-petition by the State, nor has it challenged the correctness of the ruling below that a new trial on punishment was called for by the requirements of due process. In my view, therefore, the Court should not reach the due process question which it decides. It certainly is not the case, as it may be suggested, that, without it, we would have only a state law question, for, assuming the court below was correct in finding a violation of petitioner's rights in the suppression of evidence, the federal question he wants decided here still remains, namely, whether denying him a new trial on guilt as well as punishment deprives him of equal protection. There is thus a federal question to deal with in this Court, *cf. Bell v. Hood*, 327 U. S. 678,

[92]

wholly aside from the due process question involving the suppression of evidence. The majority opinion makes this unmistakably clear. Before dealing with the due process issue, it says, "The question presented is whether petitioner was denied a federal right when the Court of Appeals restricted the new trial to the question of punishment." After discussing at some length and disposing of the suppression matter in federal constitutional terms, it says the question still to be decided is the same as it was before: "The question remains whether petitioner was denied a constitutional right when the Court of Appeals restricted his new trial to the question of punishment."

The result, of course, is that the due process discussion by the Court is wholly advisory.

2. In any event, the Court's due process advice goes substantially beyond the holding below. I would employ more confining language, and would not cast in constitutional form a broad rule of criminal discovery. Instead, I would leave this task, at least for now, to the rulemaking or legislative process after full consideration by legislators, bench, and bar.

3. I concur in the Court's disposition of petitioner's equal protection argument.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN, whom MR. JUSTICE BLACK joins, dissenting.

I think this case presents only a single federal question: did the order of the Maryland Court of Appeals granting a new trial, limited to the issue of punishment, violate petitioner's Fourteenth Amendment right to equal protection? [Footnote 1] In my opinion, an affirmative answer would

[93]

be required if the Boblit statement would have been admissible on the issue of guilt at petitioner's original trial. This indeed seems to be the clear implication of this Court's opinion.

The Court, however, holds that the Fourteenth Amendment was not infringed because it considers the Court of Appeals' opinion, and the other Maryland cases dealing with Maryland's constitutional provision making juries in criminal cases "the Judges of Law, as well as of fact," as establishing that the Boblit statement would not have been admissible at the original trial on the issue of petitioner's guilt.

But I cannot read the Court of Appeals' opinion with any such assurance. That opinion can as easily, and perhaps more easily, be read as indicating that the new trial limitation followed from the Court of Appeals' concept of its power, under § 645G of the Maryland Post Conviction Procedure Act, Md.Code, Art. 27 (1960 Cum.Supp.) and Rule 870 of the Maryland Rules of Procedure, to fashion appropriate relief meeting the peculiar circumstances of this case, [Footnote 2] rather than from the view that the Boblit statement would have been relevant at the original trial only on the issue of punishment. 226 Md. at 430, 174 A.2d at 171. This interpretation is indeed fortified by the Court of Appeals' earlier general discussion as to the admissibility of third-party confessions, which falls short of saying anything that is dispositive

[94]

of the crucial issue here. 226 Md. at 427-429, 174 A.2d at 170. [Footnote 3]

Nor do I find anything in any of the other Maryland cases cited by the Court (ante, p. 89) which bears on the admissibility *vel non* of the Boblit statement on the issue of guilt. None of these cases suggests anything more relevant here than that a jury may not "overrule" the trial court on questions relating to the admissibility of evidence. Indeed, they are by no means clear as to what happens if the jury in fact undertakes to do so. In this very case, for example, the trial court charged that, "in the final analysis the jury are the judges of both the law and the facts, and the verdict in this case is *entirely* the jury's responsibility." (Emphasis added.)

Moreover, uncertainty on this score is compounded by the State's acknowledgment at the oral argument here that the withheld Boblit statement would have been admissible at the trial on the issue of guilt. [Footnote 4]

In this state of uncertainty as to the proper answer to the critical underlying issue of state law, and in view of the fact that the Court of Appeals did not, in terms,

13F

address itself to the equal protection question, I do not see how we can properly resolve this case at this juncture. I think the appropriate course is to vacate the judgment of the State Court of Appeals and remand the case to that court for further consideration in light of the governing constitutional principle stated at the outset of this opinion. *Cf. Minnesota v. National Tea Co.*, 309 U. S. 551.

Footnotes

[Footnote 1]

Neither party suggests that the decision below is not a "final judgment" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1257(3), and no attack on the reviewability of the lower court's judgment could be successfully maintained. For the general rule that "Final judgment in a criminal case means sentence. The sentence is the judgment" (*Berman v. United States*, 302 U. S. 211, 212) cannot be applied here. If, in fact, the Fourteenth Amendment entitles petitioner to a new trial on the issue of guilt as well as punishment, the ruling below has seriously prejudiced him. It is the right to a trial on the issue of guilt "that presents a serious and unsettled question" (*Cohen v. Beneficial Industrial Loan Corp.*, 337 U. S. 541, 547) that "is fundamental to the further conduct of the case" (*United States v. General Motors Corp.*, 323 U. S. 373, 377). This question is "independent of, and unaffected by" (*Radio Station WOW v. Johnson*, 326 U. S. 120, 126) what may transpire in a trial at which petitioner can receive only a life imprisonment or death sentence. It cannot be mooted by such a proceeding. See *Largent v. Texas*, 318 U. S. 418, 421-422. *Cf. Local No. 438 v. Curry*, 371 U. S. 542, 549.

[Footnote 2]

Judge Simon E. Sobeloff, when Solicitor General, put the idea as follows in an address before the Judicial Conference of the Fourth Circuit on June 29, 1954:

"The Solicitor General is not a neutral; he is an advocate, but an advocate for a client whose business is not merely to prevail in the instant case. My client's chief business is not to achieve victory, but to establish justice. We are constantly reminded of the now classic words penned by one of my illustrious predecessors, Frederick William Lehmann, that the Government wins its point when justice is done in its courts."

[Footnote 3]

See *Dennis*, Maryland's Antique Constitutional Thorn, 92 U. of Pa.L.Rev. 34, 39, 43; Prescott, Juries as Judges of the Law: Should the Practice be Continued, 60 Md.St.Bar Assn.Rept. 246, 253 254.

[Footnote 4]

For one unhappy incident of recent vintage see *Oklahoma Packing Co. v. Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co.*, 309 U. S. 4, that replaced an earlier opinion in the same case, 309 U.S. 703.

[Footnote 5]

"In the matter of confessions, a hybrid situation exists. It is the duty of the Court to determine from the proof, usually taken out of the presence of the jury, if they were freely and voluntarily made, etc., and admissible. If admitted, the jury is entitled to hear and consider proof of the circumstances surrounding their obtention, the better to determine their weight and sufficiency. The fact that the Court admits them clothes them with no presumption for the jury's purposes that they are either true or were freely and voluntarily made. However, after a confession has been admitted and read to the jury, the judge may change his mind and strike it out of the record. Does he strike it out of the jury's mind?"

Dennis, Maryland's Antique Constitutional Thorn, 92 U. of Pa.L.Rev. 34, 39. See also *Bell v. State*, *supra*, 57 Md. at 120; *Vogel v. State*, 163 Md. at 272, 162 A. at 706 707.

[Footnote *]

Md.Const., Art. 23; *Home Utilities Co., Inc., v. Revere Copper & Brass, Inc.*, 209 Md. 610, 122 A.2d 109; *Raymond v. State ex rel. Szydlowski*, 192 Md. 602, 65 A.2d 285; *County Comm'rs of Anne Arundel County v. English*, 182 Md. 514, 35 A.2d 135; *Oursler v. Tawes*, 178 Md. 471, 13 A.2d 763.

[Footnote 1]

I agree with my Brother WHITE that there is no necessity for deciding in this case the broad due process questions with which the Court deals at pp. 86-88 of its opinion.

[Footnote 2]

Section 645G provides in part:

"If the court finds in favor of the petitioner, it shall enter an appropriate order with respect to the judgment or sentence in the former proceedings, and any supplementary orders as to arraignment, retrial, custody, bail, discharge, correction of sentence, or other matters that may be necessary and proper."

Rule 870 provides that the Court of Appeals

"will either affirm or reverse the judgment from which the appeal was taken, or direct the manner in which it shall be modified, changed or amended."

[Footnote 3]

It is noteworthy that the Court of Appeals did not indicate that it was limiting in any way the authority of *Day v. State*, 196 Md. 384, 76 A.2d 729. In that case, two defendants were jointly tried and convicted of felony murder. Each admitted participating in the felony, but accused the other of the homicide. On appeal, the defendants attacked the trial court's denial of a severance, and the State argued that neither defendant was harmed by the statements put in evidence at the joint trial because admission of the felony amounted to admission of guilt of felony murder. Nevertheless, the Court of Appeals found an abuse of discretion and ordered separate new trials on all issues.

[Footnote 4]

In response to a question from the Bench as to whether Boblit's statement, had it been offered at petitioner's original trial, would have been admissible for all purposes, counsel for the State, after some colloquy, stated: "It would have been, yes."

HA

**MOTION TO ORDER TRANSCRIPT OUTSIDE DEADLINES
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

RECEIVED

JUN 26 2024

SC Court of Appeals

In The Court of Appeals

In The Supreme Court

Appeal From Lexington County

s/R.E. Hood, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2022CP3201998

Overture E. Walker, Attorney for

Charles Patterson

Respondent

v.

Andria Bucknor

Appellant

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal(motion to order transcript outside deadlines) On Charles Patterson by depositing a copy of it on September 11, 2023, in the postal mail certified to his attorney, Overture E. Walker at 1527 Blanding Street Columbia Sc. 29201.

September 11, 2023

Andria Bucknor

Post Office Box 14134

Augusta Ga 30919

145



Lexington Common Pleas

Case Caption: Andria Buckner VS Charles Lewis Patterson
Case Number: 2022CP3201998
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ R.E. Hood #2164

140

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

In The Supreme Court

Appeal From Lexington County

s/R.E. Hood, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2022CP3201998

Overture E. Walker, Attorney for

Charles Patterson

Respondent

Andria Bucknor

v.

Appellant

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal On Charles Patterson by depositing a copy of it on August 17, 2023 in the postal mail certified to Lisa Comer at 205 E. Main Suite 128 Lexington Sc. 29072

August 17, 2023

Andria Bucknor

Post Office Box 14134

Augusta Ga 30919

1410

**MOTION TO ORDER TRANSCRIPT OUTSIDE DEADLINES
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

In The Court of Appeals

In The Supreme Court

Appeal From Lexington County

s/R.E. Hood, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2022CP3201998

Overture E. Walker, Attorney for

Charles Patterson

Respondent

v.

Andria Bucknor

Appellant

I am requesting a motion to order a transcript after deadlines. I did reach out to the South Carolina Office of Court Administration a week ago to get transcripts but did not hear anything from them until September 11,2023.

September 11, 2023

Andria Bucknor

Post Office Box 14134

Augusta Ga 30919



South Carolina Judicial Branch

TRANSCRIPT REQUEST FORM

142

Pursuant to Rule 207 and 607 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, the transcribed paper copy is the official record of court proceedings. You may request a transcript by completing this form and emailing it to the Court Reporter/Transcriptionist and to South Carolina Court Administration at transcripts@sccourts.org. If WebEx or DCRP were used to capture the record, please indicate below and send the form to transcripts@sccourts.org.

Requestor's Information			
Full Name <i>Andria Bucknor</i>	Law Firm/Agency	Phone Number	
Email Address <i>professionalbusiness159</i>	Mailing Address <i>P.O. Box 14134 Augusta Ga 30919</i>		
Is the requestor a party in the case? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If no, does the requestor represent a party? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, name of party			
Transcript Information			
Docket Number	Full Case Caption (i.e. State v. John Doe or John Smith v. Jane Smith) <i>Andria Bucknor v. James Patterson</i>	Circuit <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date(s) of Proceeding <i>08/2022</i>	County <i>SWANSEA</i>	Appeal pending <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Presiding Judge <i>John C. Whitte</i>	Special Circumstances Is the hearing to be transcribed one of the following: <input type="checkbox"/> Termination of parental rights <input type="checkbox"/> Adoption <input type="checkbox"/> Any actions involving child custody/visitation.		
Opposing Counsel(s) (name and email address) <i>Overture E. Walker <i>overture@scbar.org</i></i>	Delivery Timeframe (check Rule 607 for current page rates) <input type="checkbox"/> Quote <input type="checkbox"/> Rough Draft <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Daily delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Expedited delivery (7 days) Due on/before: <input type="checkbox"/> Regular delivery (60 days)		
Court Reporter(s) <input type="checkbox"/> WebEx <input type="checkbox"/> DCRP	Delivery Method (additional fees may apply) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PDF / Email <input type="checkbox"/> Hard Copy/Priority Mail (\$50 + shipping) <input type="checkbox"/> PDF & Hard Copy/Priority Mail (\$50 + shipping)		
Portion of proceeding to be transcribed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Entire hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Voir dire by juror <input type="checkbox"/> Jury selection <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff's opening statement <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant's opening statement <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff's closing arguments <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant's closing arguments <input type="checkbox"/> Entire direct examination <input type="checkbox"/> Entire cross examination <input type="checkbox"/> Entire redirect <input type="checkbox"/> Examination of witness (W) by attorney (A) W: _____ A: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Ruling of the court	Responsible Payor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private / Self <input type="checkbox"/> Court Appointed Counsel <i>Appeals Attorney</i> <i>Email</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Other		
Next Hearing Date			

Requestor's Signature: *[Signature]*
(Typed name will serve as signature)

Date: *9/11/2023*

NOTE: Requests will be processed pursuant to Rule 207 and 607 of the SCACR. Rule 607(h) governs the fees for transcripts, which are not provided for free or at reduced rates to any party, regardless of indigent status. Please promptly submit your payment in the method of payment requested, in order for the transcript to be produced. In some cases, a deposit may be required before the transcript can be placed in the production queue. You may also request a quote before deciding to order. *If you need to cancel the transcript request for any reason, you are responsible for paying for the pages of the transcript that have already been completed at the time of the cancellation.*

If you are ordering a transcript pursuant to Rule 207(a)(1), SCACR, you must contemporaneously furnish all parties, the Office of Court Administration, and the clerk of the appellate court with copies of all correspondence with the court reporter or transcriptionist.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
COUNTY OF Lexington

Andria Bucknor
Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Patterson
Defendant.

FILED
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

2023 JUN -8 AM 9:57
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

LISA M. COMER
CLERK OF COURT
**MOTION AND AFFIDAVIT TO
PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

FILE NO. 2022CP3201998

I, ANDRIA BUCKNOR being duly sworn, state that I am the Plaintiff and that I do not have the funds available to pay the costs of filing and service in the present matter. I hereby request that the complaint be filed and service made without costs.

Sworn to and Subscribed before me
this 6 day of June, 2 2023
Monica Denise Higgins
Notary Public for South Carolina
My Commission expires 4-9-2031

[Signature]
Signature of Plaintiff or
Person Filing Complaint on Behalf of
Plaintiff

ORDER

- Leave is *granted* to proceed in forma pauperis without payment of the filing fee.
- Leave is *granted* to proceed in forma pauperis without payment of the service cost.
- Leave is *denied* to proceed in forma pauperis.

Dated: 6/15/23, 2 023
Lexington, South Carolina
Debra McCaslin
JUDGE/CLERK OF COURT

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF: The Court may assess costs against either party at hearing.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF LEXINGTON)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Andria Black)
Plaintiff)

**SHORT FORM
FINANCIAL DECLARATION**

vs)

Charles Patterson)
Defendant)

Docket No. _____

Address	
Age	
Occupation	<u>N/A</u> (Not employed temporarily)
Employer	(Due to HEALTH ISSUES)
Employer Address	

Gross Monthly Income Amount:

- Earnings (attach recent pay stubs) _____
- Overtime _____
- Social Security, VA Benefits
Workers Comp or Disability (SSI) _____
- Unemployment _____
- Alimony/Child Support _____
- Other (Specify) _____

(Add lines 1-6) Total Amount: 0

Monthly Expenses Amount:
(have proof of expenses available)

- Rent/Mortgage _____
- Utilities CK
- Cell phone/Phone CK
- Food _____
- Child Support/Alimony
(outside of this case) _____
- Child Care _____
- Car Payment _____
- Car Operating Expenses
(Insurance, gas, maintenance) _____
- Clothing _____
- Cable/Satellite TV/Internet _____
- Medical/Dental/Vision Expenses (self) _____
- Medical/Dental/Vision Expenses (child) _____
- Medical/Dental/Vision Insurance (self) _____
- Medical/Dental/Vision Insurance (child) _____
- Credit Card/Loan Payments _____
- Other (Specify) _____

(Add lines 1-16) Total Amount: 1500/mo

Assets Amount:

- Cash _____
- Money in Bank accounts
(Checking & Savings) _____
- IRA/401K/Pensions _____
- Other (Specify) _____

(Add lines 1-4) Total Amount: 0

How many other biological children in the home? _____
Name(s) and Date(s) of Birth, N/A

Sworn to before me this _____ day
of _____, 20____

Signature

Notary Public for South Carolina
My Commission Expires: _____

14A

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF

Lexington

FILED

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

* Andria Burknd

2022 JUN 10 PM 5:05

CIVIL ACTION COVERSHEET

Plaintiff(s)
LISA M. COMER
CLERK OF COURT
LEXINGTON SC

2022 CP 3201998

vs.

* Charles Patterson, Swansea
Defendant(s) Magistrate Court

*Submitted By: Andria Burknd
*Address: ~~21609 Edgelyield St~~
21609 Edgelyield St
GREENWOOD SC

SC Bar #: _____
*Telephone #: _____
Fax #: _____
Other: _____
E-mail: _____

NOTE: The coversheet and information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of docketing. It must be filled out completely, signed, and dated. A copy of this coversheet must be served on the defendant(s) along with the Summons and Complaint.

DOCKETING INFORMATION (Check all that apply)

*If Action is Judgment/Settlement do not complete

- JURY TRIAL demanded in complaint.
- NON-JURY TRIAL demanded in complaint.
- This case is subject to ARBITRATION pursuant to the Court Annexed Alternative Dispute Resolution Rules.
- This case is subject to MEDIATION pursuant to the Court Annexed Alternative Dispute Resolution Rules.
- This case is exempt from ADR. (Proof of ADR/Exemption Attached)

NATURE OF ACTION (Check One Box Below)

- | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| <p>Contracts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Constructions (100) <input type="checkbox"/> Debt Collection (110) <input type="checkbox"/> General (130) <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of Contract (140) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud/Bad Faith (150) <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to Deliver/Warranty (160) <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Discrim (170) <input type="checkbox"/> Employment (180) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (199) <p>Inmate Petitions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> PCR (500) <input type="checkbox"/> Mandamus (520) <input type="checkbox"/> Habeas Corpus (530) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (599) <p>Special/Complex /Other</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental (600) <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile Arb. (610) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical (620) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (699) <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual Predator (510) <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent Restraining Order (680) | <p>Torts - Professional Malpractice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Dental Malpractice (200) <input type="checkbox"/> Legal Malpractice (210) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Malpractice (220) Previous Notice of Intent Case #
20 -NI- - <input type="checkbox"/> Notice/ File Med Mal (230) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (299) <p>Administrative Law/Relief</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstate Drv. License (800) <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial Review (810) <input type="checkbox"/> Relief (820) <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent Injunction (830) <input type="checkbox"/> Forfeiture-Petition (840) <input type="checkbox"/> Forfeiture-Consent Order (850) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (899) | <p>Torts - Personal Injury</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Conversion (310) <input type="checkbox"/> Motor Vehicle Accident (320) <input type="checkbox"/> Premises Liability (330) <input type="checkbox"/> Products Liability (340) <input type="checkbox"/> Personal Injury (350) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful Death (360) <input type="checkbox"/> Assault/Battery (370) <input type="checkbox"/> Slander/Libel (380) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (399) <p>Judgments/Settlements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Death Settlement (700) <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Judgment (710) <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate's Judgment (720) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor Settlement (730) <input type="checkbox"/> Transcript Judgment (740) <input type="checkbox"/> Lis Pendens (750) <input type="checkbox"/> Transfer of Structured Settlement Payment Rights Application (760) <input type="checkbox"/> Confession of Judgment (770) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Workers Compensation Settlement Approval (780) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (799) | <p>Real Property</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Claim & Delivery (400) <input type="checkbox"/> Condemnation (410) <input type="checkbox"/> Foreclosure (420) <input type="checkbox"/> Mechanic's Lien (430) <input type="checkbox"/> Partition (440) <input type="checkbox"/> Possession (450) <input type="checkbox"/> Building Code Violation (460) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (499) <p>* Appeals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Arbitration (900) <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate-Civil (910) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Magistrate-Criminal (920) <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal (930) <input type="checkbox"/> Probate Court (940) <input type="checkbox"/> SCDOT (950) <input type="checkbox"/> Worker's Comp (960) <input type="checkbox"/> Zoning Board (970) <input type="checkbox"/> Public-Service Comm. (990) <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Security Comm (991) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (999) |
|--|--|---|---|

* Submitting Party Signature: 

*Date: June 10 2022

Note: Frivolous civil proceedings may be subject to sanctions pursuant to SCRCF, Rule 11, and the South Carolina Frivolous Civil Proceedings Sanctions Act, S.C. Code Ann. §15-36-10 et. seq.

Dear Judge, while considering the MOTION & AFFIDAVIT TO: (141)
"Motion and Affidavit To Proceed 6-8-23
IN FORMA PAUPERIS" please be informed PROCEED
IN FORMA PAUPERIS
that I wasn't supposed to pay for this
case on June 6, 2023. The only reason
why I'm having to ask for this
case to be heard again because I
never received a notice. I tried calling
the court house like prior. I never
could seem to get someone on the
phone. The notices have been mailed
to the wrong address before. I am
having some health issue to where I'm
not able to work like I want to.

Please The Honorable Judge take all
of this into consideration. I literally
had to drive ^{over} an hour to get here to
handle this.

Andria
Buckner

15A

RECEIVED
NOV 20 2023

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

In The Supreme Court

Appeal From Lexington County

s/R.E. Hood Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2022CP3201998

Overture E. Walker, Attorney for

Charles Patterson

Respondent

Andria Bucknor

v.

Appellant

INITIAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT

NOV 27
September 2023

Andria Bucknor

Post Office Box 14134

Augusta Ga 30919

(15B)
11/28/2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of Issues on Appeal.....1

Statement of the Case.....1

Standard of Review.....1

Facts.....1

Arguments.....1

1.The court has messed up with the mailing of court hearings by sending notice to the wrong address so it's possible that could have been an error again.

2.Since the court could not provide me proof that notice was mailed to me, the decision should be overturned when it comes to bringing a case in front of a judge.

Conclusion.....2

15C

1/12/2023

1

This criminal act against me originated on June 27, 2021(exhibit 1A,1B 2A-2C). I was hanging out with Charles Patterson(about four weeks). Patterson and I went out to a social event which ended with him leaving me at the place we went to. I caught a ride to get back to Patterson resident where I had my car parked to get back witnessing him damaging my car(rental) there I was assaulted as well (exhibit 2A-2C) After months of trying to get the police department to hold Patterson accountable for the act. It was finally done after me providing a confession to the police department, the police department getting a written voluntary statement and verbal statement from Patterson. A warrant was finally discussed with Patterson to turn himself in which took place on October 29, 2021(exhibit 12).From June 27, 2021 I talked to a list of people down the list to try and resolve this but been nothing but brushed off. People telling me that i wasn't bruised and that patterson did not do any damages,and it didn't matter what i was saying because nothing was going to be done Once that was done i was granted with a court case in the swansea magistrate court(i don't recall the date of first hearing) which nothing was resolved because they did not include the assault(exhibit 2A-2C, 8A-8Ez) part in the case so i did not agree to anything. All that was discussed between the judge, attorney(overture walker), and Lt Govan was the registration and bill for damages to the car(exhibit 7A-7K, 9A-9D, 10A-10G. The information was also provided the day of court and months prior to Govan. Since nothing was resolved at that hearing it was set to have another hearing. It was set for me to come back. In the meantime I communicated with Govan about my case. He was supposed to be sending things in reference to my case to court and attorney. I requested a video and statement for Patterson and Govan refused. I was granted another hearing date which was June 8, 2022. Govan intentionally did not send over information to be added to rule five against patterson therefore which made it inadmissible which my case was thrown out because patterson was able to enter in non guilty plea(exhibit 13A-13G Brady v. Maryland). I then asked Govan to provide proof it was done and he couldn't and I filed a complaint for withholding information(exhibit 3A-3B). I questioned Govan outside the courthouse after his deceitful acts and asked why he didn't present the information we discussed. He told me because he didn't. I then reached out to Govan by phone to discuss further options; he told me that there was going to be nothing else done. And to overhear Govan calling me 'ONE CRAZY ASS GIRL" by him all because i was begging/still begging to hold the person accountable for their action then to tag his coworker into the conversation and hear Megan Dabkowski calling me "you stupid little bitch. After hearing those gestures I drove down over an hour to file a complaint(exhibit 4A-4B). I was told lies that day that people were out of town on family business for them to appear within minutes.

150

11/29/2023

1

STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL/STANDARD OF REVIEW

This appeal is brought from Lexington County Court of Common Pleas. It was brought to the appellate court for the lack of notice to appear for a court hearing that was on June 6, 2023. Previously on April 17, 2023 I had another hearing for the same case to where I showed up in the building and couldn't get any information on direction where the case was being heard. Therefore I missed the case. I filed a motion for the case to be brought back in front of a judge on April 17, 2023. I called for weeks to get an update on and was told there was none. Shortly after checking status I couldn't get through. I kept getting a voicemail as if calls had been rerouted elsewhere. I eventually decided to drive to the courthouse which is over an hour to find out that the case was heard on June 6, 2023. My first time of having any knowledge of the case for June 6, 2023 was on June 6, 2023 when I got an email from Ms. Mona Huggins the day of the hearing letting me know the case was held at 11:30 a.m. I drove down to the courthouse on June 8, 2023 and filed a complaint for the lack of notice to be at the hearing. I filed it with Mona Huggins and then to find out later it was denied and dismissed. I didn't receive notice for the court hearing on June 6, 2023 and is asking for it to be brought back in front of a judge to be heard. From the day the situation took place I have not been able to be heard and nothing has been done in an orderly fashion to make sure a person of interest has been charged for their criminal act against me.

FACTS

The Lexington County Court has mailed court documents to me at the wrong address. The Lexington County Court did not mail me out notice for the court hearing on June 6, 2023. The Lexington County Courthouse could not provide me proof that they mailed out hearing notice. I, Andria Bucknor did file a motion asking that case be brought back in front of a judge (exhibit 11k, 11L). I did receive an email on June 6, 2023 that the case was heard at 11:30 a.m. then to later be displayed it was at a different time (9:30am). The first time I saw a court notice for the hearing of June 6, 2023 was on June 8, 2023 (exhibit 11J) when Mona Huggins handed me a copy per my request.

Argument

Arguments

1. The court has messed up with the mailing of court hearings by sending notice to the wrong address so it's possible that could have been an error again. Which it is an error because I didn't receive notice at the address on file to send to.

2. Since the court could not provide me proof that notice was mailed to me, the decision should be overturned when it comes to bringing a case in front of a judge.

15E
11/28/2023

Conclusion

For the reasons stated this court should reverse the dismissal of the case and it be put on the docket for the case to be heard by a judge.

November 27, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

Andria Bucknor

P.O Box 14134

Augusta Ga 30919

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

In The Supreme Court

Appeal From Lexington County

s/R.E. Hood, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2022CP3201998

Overture E. Walker, Attorney for

Charles Patterson

Respondent

Andria Bucknor

Appellant

DESIGNATION OF MATTER TO BE INCLUDED IN THE MATTER
IN RECORD ON THE APPEAL

- 1. Exhibit 11D-11G
- 2. Exhibit 11I-11L

November 27, 2023

Andria Bucknor

Post Office Box 14134

Augusta Ga 30919