

RECEIVED

Oct 17 2024

SC Court of Appeals

From: [Margie Brown](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Subject: Margie Brown Appeal Case No. 2023-CP-10-03712
Date: Thursday, October 17, 2024 7:06:00 PM

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

MARGIE BROWN

V

WILLIAM HOLMES

Case No. 2023-CP-10-03712

The plaintiff is seeking to Appeal the decision ordered by Judge Roy M. Young SR. On September 30/2024. The order states the case should be moved to small claims court. The value of the financed vehicle is 18,000.00 Small Claims Court in SC is 7,000.00. The Plaintiff never received notification of the Motion hearing dated September 26/2024. The Plaintiff updated her new mailing address beginning September 9/2024 via email to Clerk of Court employees. The Court wouldn't expect the letter emailed by the Plaintiff. The Mailed a certified letter with return receipt to the courts. The court updated the Plaintiff new address on Sept 20/2024.

Williams Holmes used deceptive business practice. On or around April 125/2023 the Plaintiffs car was towed to B&B auto for second opinion and an estimate only. The Plaintiff didn't know Me. Holmes Personally. The Plaintiff never met Mr. Holmes in person. The Plaintiff never signed any documentation. Giving her consent, to have

her car repaired. Mr. Holmes allegedly bought a used engine. For a 2013 Lexus E 350. For a complete stranger. Allegedly Mr. Holmes spent 3,500.00 for the used engine. Mr. Holmes was and has been completely unreasonable. After stealing the Plaintiff car and holding it ransom. On or around May 13/ 2023 the Plaintiff offered Mr. Holmes 3,000.00 The Plaintiff explained she was living Rondo, SC, the Plaintiff explained that she was being evicted and needed her car to get back and forth to work. The Plaintiff explained she worked in Charleston 45 miles from her home. The Plaintiff stated she would work two jobs to pay the remaining 1,500.00. Mr. Holmes refused. The Plaintiff was evicted on May 19/2024 due to the fact; Williams Holmes stole the Plaintiff's car and held it for ransom and caused the Plaintiff's lost earning. The Plaintiff was unable to work due to the fact William Holmes stole the Plaintiff's car and held it as ransom.

During May and June of 2023 Mr. Holmes told the Plaintiff that he had filed court documents for

a mechanic lien. Each time the Plaintiff asked the defendant, what court the alleged documents

were filed and what court. Mr. Holmes would get upset and refused to give the, Plaintiff the

name of his attorney. Or what court the alleged documents were filed in. After stealing the Plaintiff's car and holding it as ransom, and telling the Plaintiff, the value of the car is worth more than the repairs. He was planning on getting the title to the car and selling it. By filing a mechanic lien via text message. The Plaintiff filed a lawsuit in the Court of Common Plea. On August 1/2023 the defendant answered the Plaintiff complaint. William Holmes knew at all times on Oct 6 2023. That he was a defendant in a case in the Court of Common Plea. When he filed a mechanic lien on the Plaintiff's car. In North Area Magistrate Court #2. Misleading the courts to believe the Plaintiff owned the car. Williams Holmes never informed the Judge that the Plaintiff car was financed by West Lake Auto. William Holmes never contact West Lake Auto about the court date.

After stealing the Plaintiff's car and holding it as ransom. Holmes was never interested in any form of mediation or settlement he was only interested in getting the title to sell the car. In April of 2024. The Plaintiff received notification from the court of a mandatory mediation. The Plaintiff spoke with the assigned mediator David K. Haller, 604 Savannah Highway, Charleston, SC 29407, Phone (843) 849-6000 on or around April 12/2024. Who stated in his opinion. After looking at the documents. It would be a waste of every one's time. The plaintiff agreed. The plaintiff informed the courts in April/2024 that William Holmes used deceptive business practices. Was a deceiver the Plaintiff credit was ruined because of his thievery and deception. The

Plaintiff had never missed a car payment. The Plaintiff's credit score was 683. And in decent standing. The Plaintiff now has a debt of 18,000.00 for the car. The defendant stole and held the Plaintiff's car for ransom. The Plaintiff provided this documentation to the courts in April 2024