

William Smith #161838

AKA. William Kinard

V Appellant

South Carolina DEPT of Parole
DEFENDER.

South Carolina Supreme Court

9-12-24

Docket NO: 24-ALJ-15-0013-AP

Notice of Appeal to Final order

I Appellant William Smith #161838 - AKA. William Kinard Clear Cut Claim that South Carolina Department of Parole Release Service is DePriving Appellant William Smith his, Revoked hearing of Enitail Parole status unjustied unlawfully, pursuant to the Statute 17-27-20 (A)(5)(B) Code Ann. Because he has Not Broken Any State, Federal or local ~~laws~~. All charge From his 8-9-22. Arrest has Been Dismiss By the Marlboro County Solicitor on 1-10-24. and This the Reason for the Revoke or Parole Violation. When the case and charge Become Dismiss, then all Violation has Been Dismiss Because he has Not Been convicted of Any crime in the Court of law, And for this Reason his Parole is suppose to have Been Remstate. the Appellant was ^{out} on Parole For 6 year 9 month and 20 Days, The S.C. D. PPP. is in Violation of 17-27-20 (A)(5)(B) By Classifying this as a "routinedenial of Parole, when the Appellant, was out on Parole. And the Denied of Due Process of Protection of the law, 14 Amendment is Violated. SEE. Heck v. Humphrey 512 U.S. 477 (1994)

RECEIVED

OCT 22 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

SEE. Kern V. State, 345 S.C. 183, 547 S.E.2d 494 (2001)

The Circuit Court, Charleson County B. Markley Dennis JR. Granted Relief and Reinstated Parole on grant Partic Cross Petition for Certiorari, The Supreme Court Waller J. Held that Petitioner was eligible for parole Under Cocain trafficking Statute in Effect in 1985.

Wherefore, in accordance with the heck v. humphrey 512 U.S. 477 (1994) statute And the 17-27-20 (A)(5)(B) Unlawfully holding Appellant on a Parole Violation For Being arrest for 5 charge on 8-9-22. (That have Been Dismiss By the Marlboro County Solicitor) on 1-10-24. (Show By his proof of Evidence) Fact of law he is Innocent, He has not Been convicted of Any Crime, he has not Pleas guilty. And he has not Been found guilty in the Court of Law. And he has No Broken No State, Federal or local Laws. To have his Parole Violated or Revoked. No Kind of Violation of Any New crime has accord, to unlawfully to keep holding him in S.C.D.C. Appellant seek to be Release and Reinstated Back on parole upon a Due process Standing, Release from S.C.D.C. and Reinstated Back on parole and Return Back into the Community A.S.A.P. Because he is Being held on lawfully for Parole Violation Where there is not Law of Any crime Being committed All charge has Been Dismiss By the Marlboro Solicitor on 1-10-24. And the ALC had Jurisdiction over the loss of the State-created liberty interests. Because Appellant was out Free on parole 6 year 9 months and 20 Days. And the Record Show this What the state S.C. Dept of Parole use the arrest, that has Been Dismiss to Revoked his parole. When the charge got Dismiss the Violation Reason for Violation or Revoked got Dismiss, and the Appellant, should Be Reinstated Back on parole

Slezak V. S.C.D.C 361 S.C. 327, 605 SE2d 506, 508 (2004)
State created liberty interest, unlawfully holding Appellant
When charge have been Dismiss, and S.C. Dept of Parole is
Using the arrest from 8-9-22. as the Reason to Revoke
and Violate his parole. When he was 'out Free for
6 year 9 month and 20 day. And, the Refuse to correct
the Record and update the information and is using the
Arrest Record, to hold the Appellant, When the New
Record, Show the case and charge from 8-9-22 have
been Dismiss and he is clear and innocent.
But is being unlawful held, which is a Violation of
of Statute code Ann. 17-27-20 (A)(5)(B). And No one want
to correct the matter of law, the Appellant is Innocent
And he, Showing his Burden of Proof and Evidence, See a
Copy Exhibit. A Disposition of charge being Dismiss all
5-charge from 8-9-22. Then See the Exhibit B. Copy the
parole warrant, the parole violation paper and copy of
Exhibit. C. the Administrative Summary from the hearing
Tape on 11-23-22. C) and at this hearing I spoke up and
Explain I was innocent then, and Mevin D. Suber told
me if I Beat the charge, that My parole would be
Reinstated. That has not happen, I am being unlaw
fully held (A Violation of the State Law) 17-27-20
(A)(5)(B). By the S.C. Dept of Parole Release Service.
And ALC. Refuse to correct the MISAKE and order
Appellant Reinstated Back on parole or Send case
to Circuit Court to have it Done on P.C.R.
S.C. Dept of Parole is Violate the (3) law 17-27-20 (A)(5)(B).

William Smith #161838
AKA. William Kinard
V Appellant

S.C. DEPARTMENT of
Parole, Pardon, Probation
Release Service
DEFENDER

9-10-2024

Docket NO. 24-ALJ-15-0013-AP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

William Smith #161838
AKA. William Kinard
LEE Cor Inst - F3A2134
995 WIDOCKY HWY
Bishopville S.C. 29010

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby that I William Smith #161838 - AKA. William Kinard on this
Day 9-10-2024 in City Bishopville^{S.C.} Server a copy of the Forgoing
Notice of Appeal to the ~~APPEAL~~ of Administrative Law Court Final
Order. All Parties to this matter by depositing same in the
U.S. Mail Postage Agency Serviced.

①. South Carolina Supreme Court
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia, S.C.
29211

②
To, South Carolina Administrative Law Court
Edger A. Brown Building
1205 Pendlen Street, Suite 224
Columbia, S.C. 29201