

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

May 09 2023

SC Court of Appeals

Appeal from Richland County

Honorable Clifton Newman, Circuit Court Judge

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

NATHANIEL DAVID ROWLAND,

APPELLANT.

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2021-000822

RECORD ON APPEAL

LARA M. CAUDY
Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent
Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, SC 29211-1589
(803) 734-1330

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

ALAN WILSON
Attorney General

DONALD J. ZELENKA
Deputy Attorney General

MELODY J. BROWN
Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General

WILLIAM EDGAR SALTER, III
Senior Assistant Attorney General

Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211-1549
(803)734-6305

BYRON E. GIPSON
Solicitor, Fifth Judicial Circuit

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

INDEX

INDEX	i
PRETRIAL HEARING TRANSCRIPT DATED JULY 16, 2021.....	1
MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE OBTAINED FROM TRAFFIC STOP PURSUANT TO THE FOURTH AMENDMENT	3
TESTIMONY (IN CAMERA)	
JEFFREY KRAFT	
Direct Examination by Ms. Goode	14
Cross-Examination by Mr. Goldberg.....	19
Examination by the Court	24
Re-Direct Examination by Ms. Goode.....	26
Re-Cross Examination by Mr. Goldberg	27
MOTION TO DESIGNATE AS COURT’S EXHIBIT SEARCH WARRANT AND EMAILS RECEIVED FROM CITY OF COLUMBIA	32
TESIMONY (IN CAMERA)	
JEFFREY KRAFT (RECALLED)	
Further Direct Examination by Ms. Goode.....	36
Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Goldberg.....	42
RULING BY THE COURT DENYING MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE OBTAINED FROM TRAFFIC STOP.....	46
MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF HANDWRITING COMPARISONS	47
TESTIMONY (IN CAMERA)	
JOHN A. JAMIESON	
Direct Examination by Ms. Pinnock.....	48
NORAH RUDIN (VIA WEBEX)	
<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Ms. Pinnock	51
Direct Examination by Ms. Pinnock.....	53
Cross-Examination by Mr. Goldberg.....	114
RYAN DEWANE	
<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Mr. Goldberg.....	123
Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	124
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	136

Examination by the Court	137
Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	141
MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF HANDWRITING COMPARISONS	158
TESTIMONY (IN CAMERA)	
JOHN A. JAMIESON	
Direct Examination by Ms. Pinnock	159
Voir Dire Examination by Ms. Gaston	167
Cross-Examination by Ms. Gaston	170
Re-Direct Examination by Ms. Pinnock	172
COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 3 (EMAIL WITH ATTACHMENTS)	193
COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 6 (PHOTOGRAPH OF QUESTIONED DOCUMENT)	196
COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 7 (KNOWN DOCUMENTS OF DEFENDANT – CAPITAL WASTE SERVICES PERSONNEL FILE)	197
COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 8 (KNOWN DOCUMENTS OF DEFENDANT – FEDEX EMPLOYEE RECORDS)	241
TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL DATED JULY 19-23, 26-27, 2021	265
OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. GIPSON	268
OPENING STATEMENT BY MS. GOODE	279
TESTIMONY	
GREGORY CORBISHLEY	
Direct Examination by Mr. Gipson	287
TEAGAN BERRY	
Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston	308
AMANDA MOSKAITIS	
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	324
MARCUS WILLIAMS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Gipson	332
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	341
ANDREW YI	
Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston	342

ANDER LEE	
Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	357
Cross-Examination by Mr. Pillinger	371
HENDLEY O. MORRIS, JR.	
Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	376
Cross-Examination by Mr. Pillinger	389
THOMAS HUCKABY	
Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	392
Cross-Examination by Ms. Goode	405
RENEWED MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE OBTAINED FROM TRAFFIC STOP PURSUANT TO THE FOURTH AMENDMENT	407
RULING BY THE COURT.....	408
JEFFREY KRAFT	
Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	409
Cross-Examination by Mr. Pillinger	432
Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	435
JUSTIN NISCIA	
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	436
OBJECTION TO BODY CAMERA FOOTAGE	442
RULING BY THE COURT.....	443
TESTIMONY	
JUSTIN NISCIA (CONTINUED)	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Pillinger	451
JAMES NUNEZ	
Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston.....	454
Cross-Examination by Mr. Pillinger	466
TRAVIS MYERS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Gipson.....	467
BRIAN HUDAK	
<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Mr. Gipson	476
<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Ms. Goode.....	481
Direct Examination by Mr. Gipson.....	482

JAMES FISHER	
Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston.....	489
RENEWED MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE OBTAINED FROM TRAFFIC STOP PURSUANT TO THE FOURTH AMENDMENT	492
RULING BY THE COURT.....	492
CAREY SHEALY	
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	495
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	499
MARIA HOWARD	
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	502
Cross Examination by Ms. Goode	551
Re-Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson.....	582
Re-Cross Examination by Ms. Goode	588
EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE BY STIPULATION	590
TODD SCHENK	
Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	590
Cross-Examination by Ms. Goode.....	670
Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	702
OSHAMAR WILLIAMS	
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	706
Cross-Examination by Mr. Pillinger	727
DALILIA JAZIC CIRENCIONE	
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	731
Cross-Examination by Ms. Goode.....	781
ALLISON FITZGERALD	
Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston.....	794
RENEWED MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF HANDWRITING COMPARISONS	796
RULING BY THE COURT.....	808
TESTIMONY	
ALLISON FITZGERALD (CONTINUED)	
Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston resumes.....	811
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	818

JAMES A. JAMIESON

<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Ms. Gaston	820
Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston.....	822
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	834
Re-Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston.....	839

MICHAEL MOSKAL

<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Ms. Gaston	840
Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston.....	843
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	853
Re-Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston.....	857

DAWN CLAYCOMB

Direct Examination by Ms. Gaston.....	858
Cross-Examination by Ms. Goode.....	876

DAVID B. DOVE

<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Ms. Sampson.....	880
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	884
Cross-Examination by Ms. Goode.....	918
Re-Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson.....	926
Re-Cross Examination by Ms. Goode	927

DANNY CONYERS

Direct Examination by Mr. Gipson.....	929
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	944
Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Gipson.....	946

DESTINY CUTTINO

Direct Examination by Mr. Gipson.....	948
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	957

ERIC GRABSKY

<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Ms. Sampson.....	961
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	964
Cross-Examination by Ms. Goode.....	1011
Re-Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson.....	1019

KIMBERLY MEARS

<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Mr. Goldberg.....	1023
Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	1025
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	1043
Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	1049
Re-Cross Examination by Ms. Pinnock.....	1050

VERONA HERRERA	
Voir Dire Examination by Ms. Sampson	1050
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	1053
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	1064
MOTION TO SUPPRESS DNA EVIDENCE	1067
RULING BY THE COURT.....	1078
RACHEL NGUYEN	
<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Ms. Sampson.....	1083
Direct Examination by Ms. Sampson	1086
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	1091
RYAN DEWANE	
<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Mr. Goldberg.....	1092
Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	1094
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	1165
Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	1218
THOMAS R. BEAVER	
<i>Voir Dire</i> Examination by Mr. Gipson	1226
Direct Examination by Mr. Gipson.....	1232
Cross-Examination by Ms. Goode.....	1279
Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Gipson.....	1291
JUSTIN A. MARTIN	
Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	1294
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pinnock	1337
STATE RESTS	1339
MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT.....	1340
DEFENSE RESTS	1341
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. GOLDBERG	1342
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MS. PINNOCK	1384
JURY CHARGE	1412
VERDICT	1428
POLLING OF THE JURY.....	1429

RENEWAL OF ALL MOTIONS AND MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL.....	1431
RULING BY THE COURT.....	1432
SENTENCING	1442
COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 2 (MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY RELATING TO HANDWRITING COMPARISON).....	1445
COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 3 (MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY RELATED TO DNA SAMPLES).....	1453
INDICTMENTS	1526
SENTENCE SHEETS	1532
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL	1538

**THE FOLLOWING EXHIBITS ARE ON FILE WITH THIS COURT:
COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 4 (DVD OF BODY CAMERA); COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 5
(DVD OF DASH CAMERA); COURT’S EXHIBIT NO. 6 (PHOTOGRAPH OF
QUESTIONED DOCUMENT)**

- The LR for Proposition Set III was calculated to confirm that the mixture could be explained by the contribution of DNA from all individuals listed under Hp. Due to the disparity in the individual LRs between the contributors for this item, it is recommended to put more weight on the results of Proposition Set II rather than the results of Proposition Set III when considering Nathaniel Rowland as a possible contributor to the mixture.

- **Proposition Set IV:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
- Hp = The person of interest and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to this mixture: Xavier McFadden, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming.

108.3.3 Swabs from entire exterior (as submitted) of three gloves from miscellaneous contents removed from bag from Item 108 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#1")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set I:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 2.2 septillion (2.2E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.



• **Proposition Set II:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
- Hp = Nathaniel Rowland and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 5.2 quintillion (5.2E18) times more likely if Nathaniel Rowland and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.

• **Proposition Set III:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
- Hp = Samantha Josephson, Nathaniel Rowland, and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
- Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 2.6 quattuordecillion (2.6E45) times more likely if Samantha Josephson, Nathaniel Rowland, and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.

• **Proposition Set IV:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
- Hp = The person of interest and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to this mixture: Xavier McFadden, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming.

108.3.4 Swabs from interior (as submitted) of glove marked A from miscellaneous contents removed from bag from Item 108 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#1")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.



• **Proposition Set I:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
- Hp = Samantha Josephson and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 2.9 septillion (2.9E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.

• **Proposition Set II:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
- Hp = The person of interest and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to this mixture: Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming.

108.3.5 Swabs from interior (as submitted) of glove marked B from miscellaneous contents removed from bag from Item 108 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#1")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming are excluded as possible contributors.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.

• **Proposition Set:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.
- Hp = Samantha Josephson and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
- Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.



- The DNA profile is approximately 3.5 septillion (3.5E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture than if two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.

108.3.6 Swabs from interior (as submitted) of glove marked C from miscellaneous contents removed from bag from Item 108 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#1")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set I:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 2.3 septillion (2.3E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- **Proposition Set II:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
 - Hp = Xavier McFadden and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 20 (1/4.9E-2) times more likely if four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if Xavier McFadden and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- **Proposition Set III:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
 - Hp = Nathaniel Rowland and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.



- An uninformative statistical result was obtained. No conclusion can be made regarding Nathaniel Rowland as a possible contributor to the mixture under the listed propositions.

• **Proposition Set IV:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
- Hp = The person of interest and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.

- Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to this mixture: Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming.

124.1 Swabs from grip side of handle on Item 124 (Pocket knife labeled, "COON HUNTER", from multicolored bag by bed)

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set I:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.
 - Hp = Maria Howard and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 5.5 quintillion (5.5E18) times more likely if Maria Howard and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture than if two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- **Proposition Set II:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.
 - Hp = The person of interest and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.



• Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to the mixture: Samantha Josephson, Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming.

128.1.1 Cutting from towel from miscellaneous contents removed from bags of Item 128 (black bag from trashcan "10299010")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Maria Howard and Oshamar Williams are excluded as possible contributors.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set I:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 3.9 septillion (3.9E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture than if two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- **Proposition Set II:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.
 - Hp = The person of interest and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to this mixture: Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, and Derod Fleming.

128.1.2 Cutting from pillowcase from miscellaneous contents removed from bags of Item 128 (black bag from trashcan "10299010")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.



- Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming are excluded as possible contributors.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as single source.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson contributed the DNA profile.
 - Hd = An unidentified unrelated individual contributed the DNA profile.
- The DNA profile is approximately 200 billion (2.0E11) times more likely if Samantha Josephson contributed the profile than if an unidentified unrelated individual contributed the profile.

128.1.3 Cutting from paper towel roll from miscellaneous contents removed from bags of Item 128 (black bag from trashcan "10299010")

RESULTS:

- The DNA profile developed is insufficient for interpretation.

128.2 Swabs from open edge of black trash bag and handles of two interior bags from Item 128 (black bag from trashcan "10299010")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set I:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 10 (1.0E1) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.



• Proposition Set II:

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.*
 - *Hp = Maria Howard and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
 - *Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *The DNA profile is approximately 12 billion (1.2E10) times more likely if Maria Howard and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*

• Proposition Set III:

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.*
 - *Hp = Samantha Josephson, Maria Howard, and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.*
 - *Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *The DNA profile is approximately 4.9 trillion (4.9E12) times more likely if Samantha Josephson, Maria Howard, and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *The LR for Proposition Set III was calculated to confirm that the mixture could be explained by the contribution of DNA from all individuals listed under Hp. Due to the disparity in the individual LRs between the contributors for this item, it is recommended to put more weight on the results of Proposition Set I rather than the results of Proposition Set III when considering Samantha Josephson as a possible contributor to the mixture.*

• Proposition Set IV:

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.*
 - *Hp = Nathaniel Rowland and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
 - *Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *The DNA profile is approximately 5 (1/2.0E-1) times more likely if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if Nathaniel Rowland and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*



• **Proposition Set V:**

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.*
- *Hp = Marcus Dewayne Williams and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *The DNA profile is approximately 22 (1/4.5E-2) times more likely if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if Marcus Dewayne Williams and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*

• **Proposition Set VI:**

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.*
- *Hp = Xavier McFadden and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *An uninformative statistical result was obtained. No conclusion can be made regarding Xavier McFadden as a possible contributor to the profile under the listed propositions.*

• **Proposition Set VII:**

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.*
- *Hp = Oshamar Williams and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *An uninformative statistical result was obtained. No conclusion can be made regarding Oshamar Williams as a possible contributor to the profile under the listed propositions.*

• **Proposition Set VIII:**

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.*
- *Hp = Derod Fleming and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *Derod Fleming is excluded as a contributor to the mixture under the listed propositions.*



128.3 Swabs from stain on interior of "DOLLAR GENERAL" bag from Item 128 (black bag from trashcan "10299010")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming are excluded as possible contributors.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as single source.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson contributed the DNA profile.
 - Hd = An unidentified unrelated individual contributed the DNA profile.
- The DNA profile is approximately 6.8 septillion (6.8E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson contributed the profile than if an unidentified unrelated individual contributed the profile.

128.4 Swabs from stain on interior of "IGA" bag from Item 128 (black bag from trashcan "10299010")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming are excluded as possible contributors.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as single source.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson contributed the DNA profile.
 - Hd = An unidentified unrelated individual contributed the DNA profile.



• *The DNA profile is approximately 6.8 septillion (6.8E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson contributed the profile than if an unidentified unrelated individual contributed the profile.*

129.1 Cutting from roll of toilet paper (from inside trashcan "10299010")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming are excluded as possible contributors.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as single source.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson contributed the DNA profile.
 - Hd = An unidentified unrelated individual contributed the DNA profile.
- The DNA profile is approximately 6.8 septillion (6.8E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson contributed the profile than if an unidentified unrelated individual contributed the profile.

129.2 Swab from interior core of roll of toilet paper (from inside trashcan "10299010")

RESULTS:

- The DNA profile developed is a mixture of at least five individuals.
- Due to the complexity of this mixture, no further interpretation will be offered.

130.1.1 Cutting from left side hem area of tan shirt - removed from Item 130 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#2")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Xavier McFadden, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming are excluded as possible contributors.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.



• Proposition Set I:

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 4.1 septillion (4.1E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture than if two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.

• Proposition Set II:

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.
 - Hp = Nathaniel Rowland and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 13 (1/7.4E-2) times more likely if two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if Nathaniel Rowland and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.

130.1.2 Swab from scraping neck area of tan shirt - removed from Item 130 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#2")**RESULTS:**

- A DNA profile was developed.
- Due to the complexity of the profile and the inability to determine a number of contributors, no further interpretation will be offered.

130.2 Swabs from handles of Item 130 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#2")**RESULTS:**

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.



• Proposition Set I:

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.*
- *Hp = Samantha Josephson and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *The DNA profile is approximately 1.7 septillion (1.7E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture than if two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*

• Proposition Set II:

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.*
- *Hp = Nathaniel Rowland and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *An uninformative statistical result was obtained. No conclusion can be made regarding Nathaniel Rowland as a possible contributor to the mixture under the listed propositions.*

• Proposition Set III:

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.*
- *Hp = Derod Fleming and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *The DNA profile is approximately 66 (1/1.5E-2) times more likely if two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if Derod Fleming and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.*

• Proposition Set IV:

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.*
- *Hp = The person of interest and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*



• Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to the mixture: Xavier McFadden, Maria Howard, Marcus Dewayne Williams, and Oshamar Williams.

130.3 Swabs from stain along open edge of Item 130 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#2")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming are excluded as possible contributors.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- Proposition Set:
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as single source.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson contributed the DNA profile.
 - Hd = An unidentified unrelated individual contributed the DNA profile.
- The DNA profile is approximately 6.8 septillion (6.8E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson contributed the profile than if an unidentified unrelated individual contributed the profile.

130.4 Swabs from stain on interior of cardboard roll from Item 130 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#2")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming are excluded as possible contributors.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- Proposition Set:
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as single source.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson contributed the DNA profile.
 - Hd = An unidentified unrelated individual contributed the DNA profile.



- *The DNA profile is approximately 6.8 septillion (6.8E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson contributed the profile than if an unidentified unrelated individual contributed the profile.*

131.1.1. Cutting from right cuff of shirt - removed from Item 131 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#3")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set I:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 5.5 billion (5.5E9) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- **Proposition Set II:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
 - Hp = Nathaniel Rowland and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 10 trillion (1.0E13) times more likely if Nathaniel Rowland and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- **Proposition Set III:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson, Nathaniel Rowland, and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.



- The DNA profile is approximately 370 undecillion (3.7E38) times more likely if Samantha Josephson, Nathaniel Rowland, and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.

- **Proposition Set IV:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
- Hp = The person of interest and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.

• Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to this mixture: Xavier McFadden, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming.

131.1.1. Swab from scraping neck area of shirt - removed from Item 131 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#3")
2

RESULTS:

- The DNA profile developed is a mixture of at least five individuals.
- Due to the complexity of this mixture, no further interpretation will be offered.

131.1.2. Cutting from left thigh area of pants - removed from Item 131 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#3")
1

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set I:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 3.1 septillion (3.1E24) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.



• **Proposition Set II:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
 - Hp = Nathaniel Rowland and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 55 (5.5E1) times more likely if Nathaniel Rowland and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.

• **Proposition Set III:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson, Nathaniel Rowland, and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 9.9 octillion (9.9E27) times more likely if Samantha Josephson, Nathaniel Rowland, and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture than if three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The LR for Proposition Set III was calculated to confirm that the mixture could be explained by the contribution of DNA from all individuals listed under Hp. Due to the disparity in the individual LRs between the contributors for this item, it is recommended to put more weight on the results of Proposition Set II rather than the results of Proposition Set III when considering Nathaniel Rowland as a possible contributor to the mixture.

• **Proposition Set IV:**

- The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from three individuals.
 - Hp = The person of interest and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to this mixture: Xavier McFadden, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Maria Howard, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming.



131.1.2. Swab from scraping waistband of pants - removed from Item 131 (white plastic "FAMILY
2 DOLLAR" bag, bag "#3")

RESULTS:

- The DNA profile developed is a mixture of at least five individuals.
- Due to the complexity of this mixture, no further interpretation will be offered.

131.1.2. Hair from clothing (shirt and pants) - removed from Item 131 (white plastic "FAMILY
3 DOLLAR" bag, bag "#3")

RESULTS:

- No analysis performed.

131.2 Swabs from handles of Item 131 (white plastic "FAMILY DOLLAR" bag, bag "#3")

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set I:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.
 - H_p = Maria Howard and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
 - H_d = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 92 billion ($9.2E10$) times more likely if Maria Howard and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture than if two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- **Proposition Set II:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from two individuals.
 - H_p = The person of interest and an unidentified unrelated individual contributed to the mixture.
 - H_d = Two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.



- Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to the mixture: Samantha Josephson, Xavier McFadden, Nathaniel Rowland, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming.

139.1 Swabs from foot bed and under strap of black and red "Nike" flip flop (from trunk of Chevrolet Impala)

RESULTS:

- The DNA profile developed is a mixture of at least five individuals.
- Due to the complexity of this mixture, no further interpretation will be offered.

140.1 Swab from scraping interior of black beanie "FIELD GRADE" with red writing "Cold-Blooded" (from trunk of Chevrolet Impala)

RESULTS:

- A DNA profile suitable for comparison was developed.
- Likelihood Ratios (LR) for this profile were calculated using STRmix™.
- **Proposition Set I:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
 - Hp = Samantha Josephson and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 210,000 (2.1E5) times more likely if Samantha Josephson and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- **Proposition Set II:**
 - The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.
 - Hp = Nathaniel Rowland and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
 - Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.
- The DNA profile is approximately 11 octillion (1.1E28) times more likely if Nathaniel Rowland and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.



• **Proposition Set III:**

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.*
- *Hp = Samantha Josephson, Nathaniel Rowland, and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *The DNA profile is approximately 1.1 undecillion (1.1E36) times more likely if Samantha Josephson, Nathaniel Rowland, and two unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*

• **Proposition Set IV:**

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.*
- *Hp = Maria Howard and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *The DNA profile is approximately 3 (1/2.9E-1) times more likely if four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture than if Maria Howard and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*

• **Proposition Set V:**

- *The DNA profile was interpreted as a mixture originating from four individuals.*
- *Hp = The person of interest and three unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *Hd = Four unidentified unrelated individuals contributed to the mixture.*
- *Under the listed propositions, the following individuals are excluded as contributors to the mixture: Xavier McFadden, Marcus Dewayne Williams, Oshamar Williams, and Derod Fleming.*

140.2 *Hair from black beanie "FIELD GRADE" with red writing "Cold-Blooded" (from trunk of Chevrolet Impala)*

140.2.1 *Hair from black beanie*

RESULTS:

- *No DNA profile was developed.*



140.2.2 Hair from black beanie

RESULTS:

- No DNA profile was developed.

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE BEING RETURNED WITHOUT ANALYSIS AND HAVE NOT BEEN INVENTORIED:

- 27.1 Two (2) swabs taken from crushed area of Item 27 (One (1) beer can bottle from bushes near Black Bottom Rd.)
- 28.1 Two (2) swabs taken from crushed area of Item 28 (One (1) beer can "Budlight" from near path near victim near farmers access rd.)
- 29 One (1) cigarette butt from Black Bottom Rd.
- 30 One (1) cigarette butt from farmer access Rd.
- 32.1 Two (2) swabs taken Item 32 (One (1) white plastic spray bottle from rear driver seat of Chevrolet Impala)
- 32.2 Two (2) swabs taken from textured neck of Item 32 (One (1) white plastic spray bottle from rear driver seat of Chevrolet Impala)
- 33.1 Two (2) swabs taken from bottom of Item 33 (One (1) white and blue "CLOROX" bleach germicidal wipes container from the trunk of the Chevrolet Impala)
- 33.2 Two (2) swabs taken from flip top of Item 33 (One (1) white and blue "CLOROX" bleach germicidal wipes container from the trunk of the Chevrolet Impala)
- 34.1 Two (2) swabs taken from Item 34 (One (1) clear plastic spray bottle from rear driver seat of Chevrolet Impala)
- 34.2 Two (2) swabs taken from spray trigger on Item 34 (One (1) clear plastic spray bottle from rear driver seat of Chevrolet Impala)
- 35.1 Two (2) swabs taken from bottom of Item 35 (One (1) white "TOP JOB" bleach bottle from rear passenger floor board of Chevrolet Impala)
- 35.2 Two (2) swabs taken from textured area of cap on Item 35 (One (1) white "TOP JOB" bleach bottle from rear passenger floor board of Chevrolet Impala)
- 36.1 Two (2) swabs taken near 'W' in "WAHL" on Item 36 (One (1) set of "Wahl" hairclippers from underneath front passenger seat)



- 36.2 *Two (2) swabs taken from buttons on Item 36 (One (1) set of "Wahl" hairclippers from underneath front passenger seat)*
- 37.1 *Two (2) swabs taken from bottom of Item 37 (One (1) white "YANKEE CANDLE" bag from trunk of Chevrolet Impala)*
- 37.2 *Two (2) swabs taken from handles of Item 37 (One (1) white "YANKEE CANDLE" bag from trunk of Chevrolet Impala)*
- 40.1 *Two (2) swabs taken from outer edges of Item 40 (One (1) roll of pink Duct Tape from tan backpack in trunk of Chevrolet Impala)*
- 65 *Two (2) swabs from the dashboard of Chevrolet Impala*
- 66 *Two (2) swabs from center console of Chevrolet Impala*
- 67 *Two (2) swabs from rear side of center console of Chevrolet Impala*
- 68 *Two (2) swabs from center roof light switch of Chevrolet Impala*
- 69 *Two (2) swabs from driver side roof liner of Chevrolet Impala*
- 70 *Two (2) swabs from interior of rear passenger side window of Chevrolet Impala*
- 71 *Two (2) swabs from front passenger seat headrest of Chevrolet Impala*
- 72 *Two (2) swabs from rear passenger seat of Chevrolet Impala*
- 73 *Two (2) swabs from rear passenger side bottom of door frame of Chevrolet Impala*
- 74 *Two (2) swabs from front passenger seat interior seat rail of Chevrolet Impala*
- 75 *Two (2) swabs from side of driver seat headrest of Chevrolet Impala*
- 76 *Two (2) swabs from rear driver side seat of Chevrolet Impala*
- 77 *Two (2) swabs from driver seat seat buckle receiver of Chevrolet Impala*
- 78 *Two (2) swabs from rear passenger side tire of Chevrolet Impala*
- 79 *Two (2) swabs from rear passenger side rim of Chevrolet Impala*
- 88 *One (1) blood spot from Samantha Josephson*
- 89 *Known head hairs from Samantha Josephson*
- 90 *Known pubic hairs from Samantha Josephson*



- 91 *Pubic hair combings from Samantha Josephson*
- 92 *Vaginal swab(s) from Samantha Josephson*
- 93 *Rectal swab(s) from Samantha Josephson*
- 94 *Buccal swab from Samantha Josephson*
- 95 *One (1) paper cup from Nathaniel Rowland from Interview Room 5*
- 96 *One (1) paper cup from Nathaniel Rowland from Interview Room 5*
- 98 *Said to contain Swab from handcuffs*
- 99 *Said to contain Swab from table*
- 100 *Suspected hair from Nathaniel Rowland*
- 101 *Suspected hair from the blue and white jersey from trunk of Chevrolet Impala*
- 102 *One (1) white straw wrapper with suspected hair from left chest pocket of leather jacket "ROBERT COMSTOCK"*
- 105 *One (1) white and blue sheet from black trashbag from trashcan "10299010" in back yard from [REDACTED] Mountainbrook Dr. Unit C*
- 121 *Panties in a white plastic bag that was recovered in the wood outside*
- 122 *Suspected hair from black pants of Samantha Josephson*
- 125.1 *Swabs from sole of right shoe of pair of pink "Adidas" size "9K" shoes - from closet underneath stairs from Maria Howard's residence*
- 127 *One (1) gray pillow case from pillow on right side of bed "INTERIORS BY DESIGN" from Maria Howard's bedroom*



NOTES:

DNA analysis was performed using Short Tandem Repeat (STR) PCR DNA analysis.

The following kits/loci were utilized in the analysis of this case:

GlobalFiler™ Express: D3S1358, vWA, D16S539, CSF1PO, TPOX, Yindel, AMELOGENIN, D8S1179, D21S11, D18S51, DYS391, D2S441, D19S433, TH01, FGA, D22S1045, D5S818, D13S317, D7S820, SE33, D10S1248, D1S1656, D12S391, D2S1338

GlobalFiler™: D3S1358, vWA, D16S539, CSF1PO, TPOX, Yindel, AMELOGENIN, D8S1179, D21S11, D18S51, DYS391, D2S441, D19S433, TH01, FGA, D22S1045, D5S818, D13S317, D7S820, SE33, D10S1248, D1S1656, D12S391, D2S1338

Yfiler™ Plus: DYS576, DYS389I, DYS635, DYS389II, DYS627, DYS460, DYS458, DYS19, Y GATA H4, DYS448, DYS391, DYS456, DYS390, DYS438, DYS392, DYS518, DYS570, DYS437, DYS385 a/b, DYS449, DYS393, DYS439, DYS481, DYF387S1, DYS533

Italics indicate additional items and/or result(s).

Any remaining evidence and/or packaging will be returned to the requesting agency.

The verbal scales listed in the tables below were implemented by SLED for use with STRmix™ results only. Verbal scales are designed to assist in conveying the weight of likelihood ratios.

Supported Proposition	Likelihood Ratio	Verbal Wording
First proposition (Hp) is supported against the alternative proposition (Hd)	1	Uninformative
	2 to 99	Weak support
	100 to 9,999	Moderate support
	10,000 to 999,999	Strong support
	≥ 1,000,000	Very strong support

Supported Proposition	Likelihood Ratio	Verbal Wording
The alternative proposition (Hd) is supported against the first proposition (Hp)	1	Uninformative
	2 to 99	Moderate support for Hd
	≥100	Exclusion

Reported likelihood ratios are based on the stated propositions. Alternate propositions may require calculation of additional likelihood ratios. If interpretation based on propositions other than those reported is needed, please contact the analyst listed below and allow up to 30 business days for calculation and reporting of additional likelihood ratios.



SLED LAB No. L19-06797
December 05, 2019

Page 67 of 67

Definitions:

Proposition: A statement, based on case circumstances and genetic results, that expresses an opinion

Hp: First proposition

Hd: Alternative proposition

Likelihood ratio: The comparison of the probabilities of Hp and Hd

This report contains the conclusions, opinions and interpretations of the analyst whose signature appears below.

Technical records supporting the conclusions in this report are available upon request. Afford sufficient time for production.



Ryan O. DeWane

Forensic Scientist



WITNESSES

(S) Kristin Grant

- State Law Enforcement Division

DOCKET NO. 2019GS4002450

The State of South Carolina

County of

Richland

After being fully advised as to my legal rights, I hereby waive presentment to the Grand Jury.

Defendant

I hereby appear in my own proper person and plead guilty to the within indictment or to

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APRIL TERM 2019

74

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2019A4010201357

Defendant

**THE STATE
vs.**

Witness:

C.C.C. PLS. AND G.S.

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

Nathaniel David Rowland

TRUE BILL

[Signature]
Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: **APR 18 2019**

VERDICT

**Indictment for
MURDER**

SC Code: 16-03-0010
CDR Code: 0116

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date:

**CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
OF ORIGINAL FILED,
C.C.C.P.&G.S.,
RICHLAND COUNTY
SOUTH CAROLINA**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on April 16, 2019, the Grand Jurors of Richland County present upon their oath:

MURDER

That Nathaniel David Rowland did in Richland County, on or about March 29, 2019, kill the victim, Samantha Josephson, with malice aforethought, either express or implied, by means of multiple sharp force injuries, and the victim did die as a proximate result thereof. All in violation of Section 16-03-0010, S. C. Code of Laws, 1976, as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
OF ORIGINAL FILED
Clarence W. McNeill
C.C.P.&G.S.
RICHLAND COUNTY
SOUTH CAROLINA

Byron E. Gipson

BYRON E. GIPSON, SOLICITOR

WITNESSES

(S) Kristin Grant

- State Law Enforcement Division

DOCKET NO. 2019GS4002453

The State of South Carolina

County of

Richland

After being fully advised as to my legal rights, I hereby waive presentment to the Grand Jury.

Defendant

I hereby appear in my own proper person and plead guilty to the within indictment or to

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APRIL TERM 2019

74

Defendant

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2019A4010201358

THE STATE vs.

Witness:

C.C.C. PLS. AND G.S.

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

Nathaniel David Rowland

Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date:

APR 18 2019

VERDICT

Guilty

Indictment for KIDNAPPING

SC Code: 16-03-0910
CDR Code: 0095

Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date: 7/27/21

SCANI

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)

INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on April 16, 2019, the
Grand Jurors of Richland County present upon their oath:

KIDNAPPING

That Nathaniel David Rowland did in Richland County on or about March
29, 2019, unlawfully seize, confine, inveigle, decoy, kidnap, abduct or carry
away one Samantha Josephson, without authority of law, in violation of
Section 16-03-0910, S. C. Code of Laws, 1976, as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the
statute in such case made and provided.



BYRON E. GIPSON, SOLICITOR

WITNESSES

(S)

Kristen Grant - SLED

DOCKET NO. 2019GS4002528

The State of South Carolina

County of

Richland

After being fully advised as to my legal rights, I hereby waive presentment to the Grand Jury.

Defendant

hereby appear in my own proper person and plead guilty to the within indictment or to

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APRIL TERM 2019

74

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

DP19083

Defendant

Witness:

C.C.C. PLS. AND G.S.

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

THE STATE

vs.

Nathaniel David Rowland

Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date:

APR 18 2019

VERDICT

Guilty

Foreperson of Petit Jury

Date: 7/27/21

Indictment for
POSSESSION OF A WEAPON DURING THE
COMMISSION OF A VIOLENT CRIME

SC Code: 16-23-0490

CDR Code: 0549

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
OF ORIGINAL FILED
C.C.C.P.&G.S.
RICHLAND COUNTY
SOUTH CAROLINA

SCANNED

1532

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF Richland

STATE _____

VS.

Nathaniel David Rowland

AKA: _____

Race: BLACK Sex: M Age: 27

DOB: [REDACTED] SS# [REDACTED]

Address: Renegade Trl

City, State, Zip: New Zion, SC 29111-9179

DL#: [REDACTED] SID#: _____

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2019GS4002450

A/W#: 2019A4010201357

Date of Offense: 3/29/2019

S.C. Code § : 16-03-0010

CDR Code #: 0116

SENTENCE SHEET

*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No

In disposition of the above indictment comes now the Defendant who was CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

TO: Murder / Murder

in violation of § 16-03-0010 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0116

NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS Mandatory GPS §17-25-4
(CSC w/minor 1st or CSC w/minor 3rd

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand _____ (def.'s initials)

The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Goldberg, Dan

72620
SC Bar#

Defendant

[Signature]
PINNOCK, TRACEY
Attorney for Defendant

77518
SCB0003
SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections County Detention Center,

for a determinate term of Life Sentence days/months/years/Time Served Youthful Offender Act not to exceed _____ years

and/or to pay a fine of \$ _____; provided that upon the service of _____ days/months/years/Time Served and or payment

of \$ _____; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for _____

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

The sentence shall run

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: _____

The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code §24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by SCDOC. _____ days/months

To include time spent on monitored house arrest prior to trial and sentencing.

The Defendant Shall be Released from County Detention Center.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 922 and § 16-25-30 it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of § 16-25-20 or § 16-25-65 (Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
OF ORIGINAL FILED,
Janeetta Williams
C.C.C.P.&O.S.
RICHLAND COUNTY
SOUTH CAROLINA

SCANNED

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

PTUP after _____ months/years

And Other Terms Listed Below:

- Substance Abuse Counseling
- Completion of GED
- Random Drug/Alcohol Testing
- Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
- No Contact with Victim
- Domestic Violence Intervention Program
- Mental Health Counseling
- May serve W/E beginning: _____
- Sex Offender Registry pursuant to S.C. Code § 23-3-430
- Public Service Employment _____ days/hours
- Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.
- Other: _____

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered

Total: \$ _____ plus 20% fee: _____ \$ _____

Payment Terms: _____ Set by SCDPPPS

Recipient: _____

*Fine:

Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of \$ _____ Beginning _____		\$ _____
§14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5 %)		\$ _____
§14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge)	\$100	\$ 100.00
§14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge)	\$100	\$ _____
§56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)	\$12	\$ _____
§56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)	\$25	\$ _____
§14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)	\$25	\$ 25.00
§14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)	\$150	\$ _____
§34-11-70(b)and(c), and 34-11-90(c)and(d) (Admin Fraud Check Court Costs)	\$41	\$ _____
§50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee)	\$50	\$ _____
§56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)	\$40/ea	\$ _____
3% to County (if paid in installments)	TBD	\$ 3.75
<input type="checkbox"/> Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, Proviso requires \$500 be paid to Clerk during probation and shall be collected before any other fees.	\$500	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> § 17-3-30(B) Unpaid Application Fee to be paid to the Public Defender Fund	TBD	\$ _____
TOTAL		\$ 128.75

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk:

Jeanette McBride
Harris

Court Reporter:

Presiding Judge:

Piper Newell

Judge Code:

2127

Sentence Date:

July 29, 2021

SCANNED

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF Richland

STATE

VS.

Nathaniel David Rowland

AKA:

Race: BLACK Sex: M Age: 27

DOB: [REDACTED] SS#: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED] Renegade Trl

City, State, Zip: New Zion, SC 29111-9179

DL#: [REDACTED] SID#: [REDACTED]

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2019GS4002453

A/W#: 2019A4010201358

Date of Offense: 3/29/2019

S.C. Code § : 16-03-0910

CDR Code #: 0095

SENTENCE SHEET

*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No

In disposition of the above indictment comes now the Defendant who was CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

TO: Kidnapping / Kidnapping

in violation of § 16-03-0910 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0095

NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS Mandatory GPS §17-25-4
(CSC w/minor 1st or CSC w/minor 3rd

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand _____ (def.'s initials)

The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST:


Goldberg, Dan

72620
SC Bar#

Defendant


PINNOCK, TRACEY
Attorney for Defendant

77518
~~SCB0003~~
SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections County Detention Center,

for a determinate term of _____ days/months/years/Time Served Youthful Offender Act not to exceed _____ years

and/or to pay a fine of \$ _____; provided that upon the service of _____ days/months/years/Time Served and or payment of \$ _____; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for _____

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

The sentence shall run

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: _____

Life Sentence for Murder

The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code §24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by SCDOC. _____ days/months

To include time spent on monitored house arrest prior to trial and sentencing.

The Defendant Shall be Released from County Detention Center.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 922 and § 16-25-30 it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of § 16-25-20 or § 16-25-65 (Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

STATE VS

Nathaniel David Rowland

INDICTMENT/CASE#:

2019GS4002453

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

PTUP after _____ months/years

And Other Terms Listed Below:

- Substance Abuse Counseling
- Completion of GED
- Random Drug/Alcohol Testing
- Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
- No Contact with Victim
- Domestic Violence Intervention Program
- Mental Health Counseling
- May serve W/E beginning: _____
- Sex Offender Registry pursuant to S.C. Code § 23-3-430
- Public Service Employment _____ days/hours
- Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.
- Other: _____

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered

Total: \$ _____ plus 20% fee: _____ \$ _____

Payment Terms: _____ Set by SCDPPPS

Recipient: _____

*Fine:

Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of \$ _____ Beginning _____	\$	_____
§14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5 %)	\$	100.00
§14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge)	\$100	\$
§14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge)	\$100	\$
§56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)	\$12	\$
§56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)	\$25	\$
§14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)	\$25	\$ 25.00
§14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)	\$150	\$
§34-11-70(b)and(c), and 34-11-90(c)and(d) (Admin Fraud Check Court Costs)	\$41	\$
§50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee)	\$50	\$
§56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)	\$40/ea	\$
3% to County (if paid in installments)	TBD	\$ 3.75
<input type="checkbox"/> Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, Proviso requires \$500 be paid to Clerk during probation and shall be collected before any other fees.	\$500	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> § 17-3-30(B) Unpaid Application Fee to be paid to the Public Defender Fund	TBD	\$
TOTAL		\$ 128.75

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk:

Jeanette McBride *gpp*
Harris

Court Reporter:

Presiding Judge:

Cyber Newman
2127

Judge Code:

Sentence Date:

July 27, 2021

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

COUNTY OF Richland

STATE VS.

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2019GS4002528

Nathaniel David Rowland

A/W#: DP19083

AKA: _____

Date of Offense: 3/29/2019

Race: BLACK Sex: _____ Age: _____

S.C. Code § : 16-23-0490

DOB: _____ SS# _____

CDR Code #: 0549

Address: _____

City, State, Zip: _____

DL#: _____ SID#: _____

SENTENCE SHEET

*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No

In disposition of the above indictment comes now the Defendant who was CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

TO: Weapons / Poss. weapon during violent crime, if not also sentenced to life without parole or death

in violation of § 16-23-0490 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0549

NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS Mandatory GPS §17-25-4
(CSC w/minor 1st or CSC w/minor 3rd

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand _____ (def.'s initials)

The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST:

Goldberg, Dan 72620 SC Bar# Defendant PINNOCK, TRACEY 77518 SC Bar#
Attorney for Defendant

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections County Detention Center,

for a determinate term of 5 days/months/years/Time Served Youthful Offender Act not to exceed _____ years
and/or to pay a fine of \$ _____; provided that upon the service of _____ days/months/years/Time Served and or payment
of \$ _____; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for _____

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

The sentence shall run CONCURRENT or to life sentence for murder. CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: _____

The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code §24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by SCDOC.
_____ days/months

To include time spent on monitored house arrest prior to trial and sentencing.

The Defendant Shall be Released from County Detention Center.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 922 and § 16-25-30 it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of § 16-25-20 or § 16-25-65 (Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
OF ORIGINAL FILED,
Janette Williams
C.C.C. P.R.G.S.
RICHLAND COUNTY
SOUTH CAROLINA

SCANNED

STATE VS

Nathaniel David Rowland

INDICTMENT/CASE#:

2019GS4002528

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

PTUP after _____ months/years

And Other Terms Listed Below:

- Substance Abuse Counseling
- Completion of GED
- Random Drug/Alcohol Testing
- Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
- No Contact with Victim
- Domestic Violence Intervention Program
- Mental Health Counseling
- May serve W/E beginning: _____
- Sex Offender Registry pursuant to S.C. Code § 23-3-430
- Public Service Employment _____ days/hours
- Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.
- Other: _____

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered

Total: \$ _____ plus 20% fee: _____ \$ _____

Payment Terms: _____ Set by SCDPPPS

Recipient: _____

*Fine:

Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of \$ _____ Beginning _____		\$ _____
§14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5 %)		\$ _____
§14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge)	\$100	\$ <u>100.00</u>
§14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge)	\$100	\$ _____
§56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)	\$12	\$ _____
§56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)	\$25	\$ _____
§14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)	\$25	\$ <u>25.00</u>
§14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)	\$150	\$ _____
§34-11-70(b)and(c), and 34-11-90(c)and(d) (Admin Fraud Check Court Costs)	\$41	\$ _____
§50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee)	\$50	\$ _____
§56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)	\$40/ea	\$ _____
3% to County (if paid in installments)	TBD	\$ <u>3.75</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, Proviso requires \$500 be paid to Clerk during probation and shall be collected before any other fees.	\$500	\$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> § 17-3-30(B) Unpaid Application Fee to be paid to the Public Defender Fund	TBD	\$ _____
TOTAL		\$ <u>128.75</u>

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk:

Jessette McBride
Harris

Court Reporter:

Presiding Judge:

Citra Newell

Judge Code:

12129

Sentence Date:

July 27, 2021

SCANNED

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

Counsel for appellant certifies that this Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material and that this Record on Appeal complies to the best of my ability with the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled "Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings."

RECEIVED**May 09 2023****SC Court of Appeals**

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ Lara M. Caudy _____

Lara M. Caudy
Appellate DefenderSouth Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, SC 29211-1589

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

This 9th day of May, 2023.