



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

November 05, 2024

The Honorable Brice Garrett  
Courthouse  
305 E North St  
Greenville SC 29601-2121

## **REMITTITUR**

Re: Danny Rose v. Robert Rose (2)  
Lower Court Case No. 2022CP2304451  
Appellate Case No. 2023-001740

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

  
CLERK

Enclosure

cc: John Martin Foster, Esquire  
T. Hunt Reid, Esquire  
William Thomas Young, III, Esquire

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Danny Rose, Respondent,

v.

Robert Rose, Gloria Rose-Ruch, Mary Margaret Doll  
Rose, and John Does 1-99, Defendants,

Of whom Robert Rose, Gloria Rose-Ruch, and Mary  
Margaret Doll Rose are the Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2023-001740

---

## ORDER

---

On December 28, 2023, this court dismissed this appeal as interlocutory. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 14-3-330 (1976 & Supp. 2012) (providing this court has appellate jurisdiction to review interlocutory orders (1) involving the merits or (2) affecting a substantial right when the order "in effect determines the action and prevents a judgment from which an appeal might be taken or discontinues the action."); *Ex parte Wilson*, 367 S.C. 7, 12, 625 S.E.2d 205, 208 (2005) ("Any judgment or decree, leaving some further act to be done by the court before the rights of the parties are determined, is interlocutory and not final."); *Grosshuesch v. Cramer*, 377 S.C. 12, 30, 659 S.E.2d 112, 122 (2008) (explaining "discovery orders, in general, are interlocutory and are not immediately appealable"). Appellants subsequently filed a petition for rehearing. After careful consideration, the court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ C.J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ J.

*James E. Lockery*

A.J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

John Martin Foster, Esquire

T. Hunt Reid, Esquire

William Thomas Young, III, Esquire

**FILED**  
**Feb 16 2024**

RECEIVED

Oct 30 2024

SC Court of Appeals

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Danny Rose, Respondent,

v.

Robert Rose, Gloria Rose-Ruch, Mary Margaret Doll  
Rose, and John Does 1-99, Defendants,

Of whom Robert Rose, Gloria Rose-Ruch, and Mary  
Margaret Doll Rose are the Petitioners.

Appellate Case No. 2024-000423

---

ORDER

---

Based on the vote of the Court, the petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

FOR THE COURT

BY Patricia A. Howard  
CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina  
October 30, 2024

cc:  
T. Hunt Reid, Esquire  
William Thomas Young, III  
John Martin Foster  
The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings