

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Nationstar Mortgage, LLC d/b/a/ Mr. Cooper,
Respondent,

v.

Carolyn Brantley; The United States of America acting
by and through its agency, the Secretary of Housing and
Urban Development; The United States of America
acting by and through its agency, the Internal Revenue
Service; South Carolina Department of Revenue; and
T.N.S. LTD., LLC, Defendants, of which Carolyn Brantley is the Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2024-000039

RECEIVED

Nov 04 2024

SC Court of Appeals

OBJECTION TO UNKNOWN RESPONDENT AND PROPOSED FINDINGS NOTICE KNOWLEDGE OFFER

*Be it known this 4th day of November 2024,**

I, Carolyn Brantley, respectfully OBJECT to the unknown respondent's attempts to sway the court/Attorney General from recognizing the truth of justice I have presented, which personally affects me. I am a firsthand witness to the matters at hand, and the respondent's conjecture lacks valid testimony.

I assert that I am prejudiced and subject to a due process violation. In contrast to the unrecognized respondent, I am the "**natural person,**" also known as the "**consumer,**" "grantor," and "**witness,**" in support of the "**full faith and credit clause,**" **guaranteed at Title 15**

USC 1602(i). The respondent has failed to provide testimony to the contrary.

Furthermore, I affirm that the matter the respondent attempts to defend lacks standing, as it is not a sound party under South Carolina constitutional law, and they cannot provide valid testimony. **NUNC PRO TUNC.**

I have submitted this truth based on my firsthand account, independent of the United States or any headlined case-in-chief associate. I have responded in the nature of ***SWARE v. THE BOARD OF EXAMINERS*** and/or ***Haines v. Kerner.*** My statements herein are from the perspective of a firsthand witness, and **my testimony is true.**

My responses are supported by law, the South Carolina Constitution, and **SC Rule 501.**

Conclusion.

Wherefore, I find it in the best interest of the court/Attorney General for this case-in-chief to strike the respondent's frivolous October ___, 2024 filing, deeming it hearsay, in light of the evidence I have established formerly and now before the court.

Further, it is recommended that the Court/court/Attorney General establish a "Hard Look", concerning the facts I've presented at length.

Respectfully executed this 4th day of November 2024.

Carolyn Brantley
Appellant / Sui Juris
Office of the Carolyn Brantley
c/o:200 Oak Plantation Drive
Ridgeland, South Carolina near 29936.
cbran211@gmail.com
843.812.4724

RECEIVED
Nov 04 2024
SC Court of Appeals

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, CAROLYN BRANLEY, Petitioner/Settlor certify that I have this day served counsel for the opposing parties in the foregoing matter with a copy of this pleading by depositing in the United States Mail a copy of the same in a properly addressed envelope with adequate postage thereon.

Office of the Carolyn Brantley
c/o:200 Oak Plantation Drive
Ridgeland, South Carolina near 29936.
cbran211@gmail.com
843.812.4724

Thomas A. Shook, Esquire
Post Office Box 71727,
North Charleston, South Carolina 29415
ashook@finkellaw.com
843.577.5460

Rachel L. Ferguson, Esquire
4000 Faber Place Drive, Suite 450
North Charleston, SC 29405
rbailey@finkellaw.com

Date:11/04/2024

Petitioner's Signature:

Carolyn Brantley 11/04/2024

cc: Nationstar Mortgage, LLC d/b/a/ Mr. Cooper.
cc: Attorney General's Office
cc: Governor's Office
cc: State Bar of South Carolina
cc: South Carolina Insurance Commissioner