

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
v.

Douglas Thompson, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-212427

ORDER

Appellant has filed a motion asking this Court to relieve his appointed counsel and allow him to proceed pro se. After careful consideration, Appellant's motion is denied. *See State v. Roberts*, 364 S.C. 583, 589, 614 S.E.2d 626, 629 (2005) (denying the appellant's motion to proceed pro se, and noting appellate counsel has no duty to raise every non-frivolous issue presented by the record and any mistake appellate counsel makes in determining viable issues for briefing can be resolved on post-conviction relief). Because Appellant's motion to relieve counsel is denied, this court declines to act on Appellant's remaining pro se requests. *See Miller v. State*, 388 S.C. 347, 697 S.E.2d 527 (2010); *Jones v. State*, 348 S.C. 13, 558 S.E.2d 517 (2002); *State v. Stuckey*, 333 S.C. 56, 508 S.E.2d 564 (1998); *Foster v. State*, 298 S.C. 306, 397 S.E.2d 907 (1989).


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

LaNelle Cantey DuRant
Mark Reynolds Farthing

FILED

9/18/13 AT