

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Ed Medford, in his individual capacity, and on behalf of those similarly situated, Respondent,

v.

Deepak Israni; R Cucamonga, LLC; PAC R Cucamonga LP; Pacifica Skylyn, LLC, d/b/a Pacifica Senior Living Skylyn, Etros, LLC; and Matthew Arledge, Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2022-001210

ORDER

Appellants have filed a petition for rehearing, requesting this court to rehear its case, or, in the alternative, to permit Appellants to supplement the record on appeal with excerpts from Respondent's deposition, which occurred after the order on appeal before this court. Respondent filed a return to the petition for rehearing and a "Motion to Address Appellants' Violations of SCACR," arguing this court should strike or dismiss sections of Appellants' petition for rehearing that discuss or seek to insert new material into the record, post-opinion, that was not part of the record before the circuit court. After careful consideration, Appellants' motion to supplement the record is denied and Respondent's motion to strike the portion of the petition for rehearing that addresses the proposed supplemental material is granted.

Further, after careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.

H. B. Wood C.J.

Stephen P. McConnell J.

[Signature] J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:
Jonathan Gamble Roquemore, Esquire
Joshua Daniel Shaw, Esquire
Paul Edward Allen, Jr., Esquire
Patrick E. Knie, Esquire
Sam Mitchell Slade, Jr., Esquire

FILED
Nov 21 2024