

RECEIVED

Nov 21 2024

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM HORRY COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Dale E. Van Slambrook, S.C. Circuit Court Judge #2781

Appellate Case No. 2024-001734

Olga Teslenko, Appellant,

v.

Joe Kocsis,
Carpet King & Flooring, Respondents.

**LETTER TO THE HONORABLE APPELLATE COURT CLERK
CORRECTING THE DEFICIENCY IN APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
TRANSPORTATION**

November 21, 2024

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

In response to your notice of the deficiency in my motion for transportation, please find below the list of the specific exhibits admitted at trial in the magistrate court and then filed with the circuit court as exhibits omitted in lower court's return, with a short description of the exhibit:

1. South Carolina Residential Construction Standards, pp. 1 – 2, two pages total (official South Carolina Residential Construction Standards, the Seal page, and the Table of Contents page).
2. South Carolina Residential Construction Standards, Resilient Flooring Standards, pp. 36 – 40, five pages total (official South Carolina Residential Construction Standards, the full chapter on Resilient Flooring Standards).

3. Tony Jones Flooring Inspections, report, not paginated, 47 pages total (the independent inspection report as it was provided to Plaintiff, the text, 2 pages, and the report photographs, 45 pages).
4. <https://www.fcits.org/find-inspector.php>, Inspector Details, p. 1 of 1, one page total (the screenprint of Tony Jones FCITS certifications printed off of FCITS.org).
5. SHAW FLOORS, What To Expect And How To Prepare For Resilient Flooring; not paginated, one page total (the first page of Plaintiff's own summation document All Instructions.pdf which is described in Plaintiff's statement on p. 1 as combining Shaw installation instructions, adhesive specifications and material specifications, for convenience).
6. SHAW FLOORS, LVP Prime Plank 0616v Residential Resilient Direct Glue Profile; not paginated, one page total (the second page of Plaintiff's own summation document All Instructions.pdf which is described in Plaintiff's statement on p. 1 as combining Shaw installation instructions, adhesive specifications and material specifications, for convenience).
7. SHAW Customer Support (continued); not paginated, one page total (the third page of Plaintiff's own summation document All Instructions.pdf which is described in Plaintiff's statement on p. 1 as combining Shaw installation instructions, adhesive specifications and material specifications, for convenience).
8. Shaw Floors, Installation, Installation Guidelines for Residential Resilient Direct Glue, pp. 1 – 7, seven pages total (official Shaw Floors installation instructions document downloaded off shawfloors.com, Revision: 04012022).
9. Philadelphia Commercial, Specification, Shaw 200 Resilient Adhesive, pp. 1 - 5, five pages total (Official Shaw Inc. 200 Resilient Adhesive specification document, Revision: 09052023).
10. Carpet King shipping label for Shaw 200 TPS 4G, one page (photograph of the shipping label off the glue bucket used).
11. Shaw 200 Bucket Label, Back-1, one page (photograph of the manufacturer's label off the glue bucket).
12. Shaw 200 Bucket Label, Back-2, one page (photograph of the manufacturer's label off the glue bucket).
13. 11.17.2023 Carpet King warranty email and Plaintiff's reply, pp. 1 - 3, three pages total (Gmail printout of the electronic communication).
14. NFCA, Properly Prepared Concrete Slab, one page (photograph of a properly prepared concrete slab downloaded off of the National Floor Covering Association manual, the full version of which is included in the flashdrive).

15. NFCA, Inadequate Adhesive Transfer, one page (photograph of a properly prepared concrete slab downloaded off of the National Floor Covering Association manual, the full version of which is included in the flashdrive).
16. Flashdrive, (the physical flash drive, which is a copy of the original flash drive admitted by the magistrate court)

The above list enumerates the exhibits admitted by the magistrate court in exactly the same format in which they were admitted by the magistrate court, and then filed with the circuit court. Regrettably, these exhibits were not properly labeled or paginated. Please let me know if this list corrects the deficiency in my motion for transportation. Thank you very much for notifying me of this deficiency and allowing me the opportunity to correct it.

Sincerely,
s/ Olga Teslenko
Olga Teslenko
100 Fountain Pointe Ln, Unit 103,
Myrtle Beach, South Carolina 29579,
Telephone: (510) 388-2780,
Email: osenochen@gmail.com,
Appellant.