

IN THE SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Patrick B. Walker, )  
Applicant )  
 )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
 )  
State of South Carolina, )  
Respondent )

Request for reinstatement  
upon leave of the court SCACR  
Rule 260; and Rule 245; 243

case no. 2024-001144

Introduction

Now into the court comes Applicant in accord with SCACR Rule 260; 245; and 243. Also SCRE Rule 201

The applicant now moves this Hon. Court for reinstatement due to excuseable neglect and procedure error. On Oct. 3, 2024 the Court in a letter instructed applicant he cannot file any action without first asking permission from this court. On Nov. 14, 2024 Applicant submitted to the Court a request letter in accord with SCACR Rule 243 and SCRE Rule 201. In a letter dated Nov. 21, 2024 the Court responded, the remittitur was sent in this case on Oct. 21, 2024 ending appellate jurisdiction over case, and no action will be taken on the letter. The Court's ambiguous language has only confused applicant. Further applicant has never been served notice of remittitur being filed ending this court's jurisdiction over case. Appellant requested proof of services from the Court, the clerk's office confirmed notice was never served to applicant On Nov. 26, 2024 the clerk's office sent a copy of the remittitur to applicant. Applicant respectfully and humbly request that the Court reinstate his pro se case in its original jurisdiction to prevent a further manifest injustice and undue prejudice to applicant.

I pray that this Court grants my request!!!