

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF Berkeley  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2019CP0800092

The Oaks At Riverside South Property Owners Association, Inc et al  
PLAINTIFF(S)

John Doe Contractors & Subcontractors 1-20 et al  
DEFENDANT(S)

RECEIVED

Nov 27 2024

SC Court of Appeals

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Plaintiff The Oaks at Riverside South Property Owners Association, Inc's Motion to Alter or Amend was filed on October 21, 2024 and properly noticed the same day. "The purpose of Rule 59(e), SCRPC, to alter or amend the judgment is to request the trial judge to reconsider matters properly encompassed in a decision on the merits." Arnold v. State, 309 S.C. 157, 172, 420 S.E.2d 834, 842 (1992). "A party may wish to file such a motion when she believes the court has misunderstood, failed to fully consider, or perhaps failed to rule on an argument or issue, and the party wishes for the court to reconsider or rule on it." Elam v. South Carolina Dept. of Transp., 361 S.C. 9, 24, 602 S.E.2d 772, 780 (2004). This Court hereby DENIES Plaintiff's motion without the necessity of a hearing and decided on the record and briefs. Rule 59(f), SCRPC; Pollard v. City of Florence, 314 S.C. 397, 401-02, 444 S.E.2d 534, 536 (Ct. App. 1994).

ORDER INFORMATION

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 11/05/2024 .

Phillips Masonry Contractors Inc  
Michael A Phillips  
Mike Phillips  
Carriage Hill Associates of Charleston LLC  
John Doe Contractors & Subcontractors 1-20  
Mike Phillips  
J Moura Construction, Llc  
John Doe Contractors & Subcontractors 10-20

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Fileers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

---



Berkeley Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** The Oaks At Riverside South Property Owners Association, Inc ,  
plaintiff, et al VS Di Associates, Llc , defendant, et al  
**Case Number:** 2019CP0800092  
**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/Jennifer B. McCoy #2764