

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Terron Dizzley, Petitioner,

v.

Erin Bailey, et al., Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2024-001999


RECEIVED

DEC 17 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

ORDER

Petitioner has filed a notice of appeal, which we construe as a petition for a writ of certiorari. Because Petitioner failed to file a petition for rehearing from the Court of Appeals' final decision and because the remittitur has now been sent pursuant to Rule 221 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), Petitioner's petition for a writ of certiorari is stricken and dismissed. See Rule 242(a), SCACR (providing this Court will only review a final decision of the Court of Appeals); Rule 242(c), SCACR (providing a decision is not final for the purposes of review until a petition for rehearing or reinstatement has been acted on by the Court of Appeals); *Stogsdill v. S.C. Dep't of Health & Human Servs.*, 415 S.C. 568, 784 S.E.2d 669 (2016) (stating the sending of the remittitur ends appellate jurisdiction over a case).


FOR THE COURT

C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina
December 2, 2024

cc: Samuel F. Arthur, III
James Matthew Johnson