

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Supreme Court

RECEIVED

Dec 16 2024

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The Honorable Jean H. Toal  
Acting Circuit Court Judge

Supreme Court Case Nos. 2024-001423 and 2024-000916  
Circuit Court Case No. 2023-CP-40-01759

John A. Tibbs and Margaret B. Tibbs, ..... Plaintiffs,

v.

3M Company; 4520 Corp., Inc.; A.O. Smith Corporation; A.W. Chesterton Company; ABB Inc.; Air & Liquid Systems Corporation; AIW-2010 Wind Down Corp.; Amentum Environment & Energy, Inc.; Anchor/Darling Valve Company; Armstrong International, Inc.; Asbestos Corporation Limited ASCO, L.P.; Atlas Asbestos Co.; Atlas Turner, Inc.; AWT Air Company, Inc.; Bahnson, Inc.; Banner Industries International, Inc.; Banner Industries, LLC; Banner Industries of N.E., Inc.; Barretts Minerals Inc.; Beaty Investments, Inc.; Bechtel Corporation; The Bonitz Company; Brand Insulations, Inc.; BW/IP Inc.; Canvas CT, LLC; Cape PLC; Carboline Company; CB&I Laurens, Inc.; Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.; Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc.; Copes-Vulcan, Inc.; Covil Corporation; Crane Instrumentation & Sampling, Inc.; Crosby Valve, LLC; Daniel International Corporation; Davis Mechanical Contractors, Inc.; Dezurik, Inc.; Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC; Duke Energy Corporation; Eaton Corporation; Ellington Insulation Company, Inc.; Emerson Electric Co.; Fisher Controls International LLC; Flame Refractories, Inc.; Flowserve Corporation; Flowserve US Inc.; Fluor Constructors International; Fluor Constructors International, Inc.; Fluor Daniel Services; Fluor Enterprises, Inc.; FMC Corporation; Foster Wheeler Energy Corporation; Gardner Denver Nash, LLC; General Boiler Casing Company, Inc.; General Cable Corporation; General Cable Industries, Inc.; General Electric Company; Gould Electronics Inc.; Goulds Pumps, Incorporated; Goulds Pumps LLC; Great Barrier Insulation Co.; Grinnell LLC; Hajoca Corporation; Howden North America Inc.; HPC Industrial Services, LLC; IMO Industries Inc.; ITT LLC; Joy Global Underground Mining LLC; K-Mac Services Incorporated; Metropolitan Life Insurance Company; Mine Safety Appliances Company, LLC; MP Supply, Inc.; The Nash Engineering Company; Occidental Chemical Corporation; Paramount Global;

Patterson Pump Company; PECW Holding Company; Pfizer Inc.; Piedmont Insulation, Inc.; Plastics Engineering Company; Presnell Insulation Co., Inc.; Redco Corporation; Riley Power Inc.; Rockwell Automation, Inc.; RSCC Wire & Cable LLC; Schneider Electric USA, Inc.; Sequoia Ventures Inc.; Spirax Sarco, Incl; SPX Corporation; Stafford Insulation Company; Standard Insulation Company of N.C., Inc.; Starr Davis Company, Inc.; Starr Davis Company of S.C., Inc.; Sterling Fluid Systems (USA) LLC; TE Wire & Cable, LLC; Thermo Electric Company, Inc.; Union Carbide Corporation; Valves and Controls US, Inc.; Velan Valve Corp.; Viking Pump, Inc; Vistra Intermediate Company LLC; The William Powell Company; Wind Up, Ltd.; Yuba Heat Transfer LLC; and Zurn Industries, LLC, ..... Defendants,

of which

Asbestos Corporation Limited is the..... Appellant,

and

Cape PLC, individually and as successor in interest to Cape Asbestos Company Limited, by and through its duly appointed Receiver Peter D. Protopapas,..... Third-Party Plaintiff/ Respondent,

v.

Anglo American PLC, individually and as successor in interest to Anglo American Corporation of South Africa Ltd.; DeBeers PLC; DeBeers Centenary AG; DeBeers Consolidated Mines Ltd.; DeBeers S.A.; DeBeers UK Ltd.; DeBeers Jewelers US, Inc.; Angle American US Holdings Inc.; Element Six US Corp.; Element Six Technologies US Corp.; Element Six Technologies (OR) Corp.; First Mode Holdings, Inc.; Platinum Guild International (USA) Jewelry Inc.; Forevermark US Inc.; Anglo American Crop Nutrients (USA), LLC; Charter Consolidated Ltd.; ESAB Corporation; Central Mining & Investment Corporation Ltd.; Cape Holdco Ltd.; The Law Debenture Corporation PLC; Cape Industrial Services Group Ltd.; Mohed Altrad; Altrad UK Ltd.; Cape UK Holdings Newco Ltd.; Altrad Services Ltd., f/k/a Cape Industrial Services Ltd.; Altrad Investment Authority SAS; Sparrows Offshore Group Ltd.; Hawk Bidco US Inc.; Arranco US, LLC; Sparrows Offshore, LLC; The Sparrows Group, LLC,..... Third-Party Defendants,

of which

Charter Consolidated Ltd.; ESAB Corporation; and Central Mining & Investment Corporation Ltd. are the ..... Appellants.

---

**CHARTER DEFENDANTS’ RETURN TO RECEIVER’S MOTION TO STRIKE**

---

Charter Consolidated Ltd.; ESAB Corporation; and Central Mining & Investment Corporation Ltd. (“Charter Defendants”) respectfully submit this return to Receiver’s motion to strike filed on December 6, 2024.<sup>1</sup> The Charter Defendants have two petitions for certiorari pending—Appellate Case Nos. 2024-000916 and 2024-001423—and two more filed contemporaneously herewith, all arising from this single case.

The Court needs to be aware of the recent happenings so that it can properly assess under Rule 242(b), SCACR, whether there are “special and important reasons” to exercise its discretion and grant certiorari review. In this light, Charter Defendants incorporated by reference and adopted all arguments and authorities raised by and/or included in Supplement to Altrad Defendants’ Petitions for a Writ of Certiorari filed in on November 24, 2024, in Appellate Case No. 2024-000916 and 2024-001499. Likewise, Charter Defendants incorporate by reference and adopt all arguments and authorities raised by and/or included in Altrad Defendants’ MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO THE RECEIVER’S MOTION TO STRIKE filed on December 16, 2024, in Appellate Case No. 2024-000916 and 2024-001499. *Cf.* Rule 208(b)(6), SCACR (“In cases involving more than one appellant or respondent, including cases consolidated for appeal, any number of parties may join in a single brief, and any party may adopt by reference all or any part of the brief of another.”). *See also Stanley Smith & Sons, Inc. v. Dumas*, 315 S.C. 30, 33, 431

<sup>1</sup> By continuing to prosecute this appeal, Charter Defendants do not waive, and expressly preserve, all defenses to the underlying action, including the defense of lack of personal jurisdiction and impropriety of the purported receiverships over CIHL and/or Cape PLC.

S.E.2d 595, 596 (Ct.App.1993) (the Court of Appeals took notice of the contents of the appellate record in another, unrelated case).

It is inescapable that there are “special and important reasons” why the Court should grant the Charter Defendants’ certiorari petitions and put an end to the receivership without delay. The motion to strike should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI LLP

By s/A. Victor Rawl, Jr.  
A. Victor Rawl, Jr. (SC 9261)  
Email: [vrawl@grsm.com](mailto:vrawl@grsm.com)  
677 King Street, Suite 450  
Charleston, SC 29403  
Telephone: (843) 278-5900

*Attorneys for Appellants Charter Consolidated Ltd.;  
ESAB Corporation; and Central Mining &  
Investment Corporation Ltd.*

December 16, 2024