



ELLOREE A. GANES
Partner
DIRECT DIAL: (843) 577-1208
E-MAIL: Elloree.ganes@hoodlaw.com

December 19, 2024

RECEIVED
Dec 19 2024
SC Court of Appeals

Via E-Filing

Catherine S. Harrison, Chief Deputy Clerk
The South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

Re: Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson, Pro Se v. Hanahan Police Department
C/A No. and Jurisdiction: 2022-CP-08-02508, Berkeley CP
Appellate Case No. 2023-001837
HLF File No. 1.361

Dear Ms. Harrison:

As the Court's records will show, on December 11, 2024, the Respondents filed and served a motion to strike the Appellant's Record on Appeal and to dismiss the appeal on the ground that the Record on Appeal (submitted on December 2, 2024) was not timely served within the time required under Rule 210(a), SCACR. In the alternative, the Respondents moved the Court for an order to compel the Appellant to comply with Rules 210, SCACR, regarding the Record on Appeal. The Respondents now have received a copy of a letter from Appellant together with another Record on Appeal dated December 12, 2024. The Respondents submit this letter to address the Appellant's newest submissions to the Court related to the pending motion.

Respondents submit that this newly submitted Record on Appeal still is untimely, and it does not address any of the deficiencies noted in the pending motion. This "new" Record on Appeal is basically unchanged from the prior document filed on December 2, 2024, and it still does not comply with Rule 210, SCACR, because it does not contain any of the materials as designated by the parties, and it contains other materials/information that were not even designated.

In his letter of December 12, 2024, the Appellant represents that he suffers from a disability that, under S.C. Code § 15-3-40, should excuse his failures to comply with the Rules. However, that statute does not apply in this instance and does not provide a basis for the Appellant's noncompliance. The law requires that Appellant comply with the Appellate Court Rules because the Court of Appeals cannot consider an appeal from the trial court's decision without a Record that contains at least the most basic, essential documents necessary for appellate review. The deficient, non-compliant Record prevents the Respondents from completing their final brief and impedes the Court's ability to conduct an adequate and fair review.

Please advise if the Court deems it necessary that Respondents file a formal motion to strike this newly submitted Record on Appeal. Otherwise, we await the Court's ruling on the pending motion.

HOOD LAW FIRM, LLC

Catherine S. Harrison, Chief Deputy Clerk
The South Carolina Court of Appeals
Page Two
December 19, 2024

Kind regards,

Yours truly,

/s/ Ellore A. Ganes

Ellore A. Ganes

EAG/mde

cc [*via E-Mail & U.S. Mail*]: Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson (Creyolab@gmail.com)