

Clayton L Jennings
PLAINTIFF(S)

Paul Hulse et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Statement of judgment on the following page.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 10/02/2024 .

Jane Does 1-14
John Does 1-14

RECEIVED
Dec 30 2024
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

This matter was before the Court on September 25, 2024. Carter Massingill appeared on behalf of Plaintiff and Jessica Carroll appeared on behalf of Defendant Desa Ballard. Plaintiff's complaint was filed May 24, 2024. Defendant Ballard's motion to dismiss was filed June 21, 2024. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on July 22, 2024, and Defendant filed a motion to dismiss amended complaint on August 6, 2024. Therefore, Defendant Ballard's motion to dismiss filed on June 21, 2024 is moot.

Based on a review of the complaint, briefs, and hearing oral arguments, Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's amended complaint is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Clayton L Jennings vs. Paul Hulsey , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2024CP2303271

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

Jessica A. Salvini

Clayton L Jennings
PLAINTIFF(S)

Paul Hulse et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter was before the Court on September 25, 2024. Carter Massingill appeared on behalf of Plaintiff and Jessica Carroll appeared on behalf of Defendants Stacey Grist and Margaret Miniard. Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's amended complaint is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 10/02/2024 .

Jane Does 1-14
John Does 1-14

RECEIVED
Dec 30 2024
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Clayton L Jennings vs. Paul Hulse , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2024CP2303271

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

Jessica A. Salvini

Clayton L Jennings
PLAINTIFF(S)

Paul Hulse et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Statement of judgment on the following page.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 10/02/2024 .

Jane Does 1-14
John Does 1-14

RECEIVED
Dec 30 2024
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

This matter was before the Court on September 25, 2024. Carter Massingill appeared on behalf of Plaintiff and Haley Hubbard appeared on behalf of Defendants. Plaintiff's complaint was filed May 24, 2024. Defendants Hulseley and Durand's motion to dismiss was filed July 15, 2024. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on July 22, 2024, and Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on August 6, 2024. Therefore, Defendants Hulseley and Durand's motion to dismiss filed on July 15, 2024 is moot.

Based on a review of the complaint, briefs, and hearing oral arguments, Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's amended complaint is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Clayton L Jennings vs. Paul Hulse , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2024CP2303271

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

Jessica A. Salvini

Clayton L Jennings
PLAINTIFF(S)

Paul Hulse et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Statement of judgment on the following page.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 10/02/2024 .

Jane Does 1-14
John Does 1-14

RECEIVED
Dec 30 2024
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

This matter was before the Court on September 25, 2024. Carter Massingill appeared on behalf of Plaintiff and Jessica Carroll appeared on behalf of Defendants. Plaintiff's complaint was filed May 24, 2024. Defendants Thomason and Puriefoy's motion to dismiss was filed June 21, 2024. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on July 22, 2024, and Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on July 30, 2024. Therefore, Defendants Thomason and Puriefoy's motion to dismiss filed on June 21, 2024 is moot.

Based on a review of the complaint, briefs, and hearing oral arguments, Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's amended complaint is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Clayton L Jennings vs. Paul Hulse , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2024CP2303271

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

Jessica A. Salvini