

From: [Steven Edward Buckingham](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Cc: [Bill Coates](#); [Marwan S. Zubi](#); [Hines, Russell](#); [Brown, Stephen L.](#); [Kirk Moorhead](#)
Subject: Poly-Med v. Research Park // Response to Letter of December 30
Date: Monday, December 30, 2024 2:06:51 PM
Attachments: [24.12.23 Amended NOA.pdf](#)
[24.12.23 Combined Atts A-H Condensed.pdf](#)
[Amended Notice of Appeal Poly-Med Inc. v. Research Park LLC.msg](#)

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Good afternoon: I am in receipt of a letter sent by the Court of Appeals from earlier this afternoon requesting a statement as to whether a further order of the trial court was expected. It is not.

I have attached three documents to this email: (1) an amended notice of appeal that I submitted via email on Monday, December 23; (2) the combined exhibits that were submitted with the amended notice of appeal; and (3) the email by which I transmitted these filings.

As explained in the amended notice, on Friday afternoon, December 20, the trial court entered the final comprehensive order—which did not decide anything new, but stated in a concise fashion the result of all prior orders entered in the case. On Monday morning, December 23, I submitted the attached documents for filing, to include the amended notice of appeal which included the December 20 order.

I hope this helps clarify the situation. Thank you.

Steve Buckingham