

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED
NOV 22 2024
SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT
The Honorable Crystal M. Rookard, Administrative Law Judge
Case No. 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP

103329

Bernard Jackson, #210745

APPELLANT


v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections

RESPONDENT

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Bernard Jackson, #210745 appeals the order of the Honorable Crystal M. Rookard, dated October 14, 2024 and received by Appellant on October 16, 2024 in the above referenced case.

1st 

Bernard Jackson #210745
pro-se Litigant
TYRCI-Unit 10- Rm. #211
200 Prison Road
Enoree, SC 29335

Other Counsel of Record:

A. Todd Darwin, SC Bar #7032
ATTY for SCDC
101 W. Saint John Street, Suite 210
Spartanburg, SC 29304

Received

NOV 05 2024

Tyger River Mailroom

RECEIVED

NOV 22 2024

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT
The Honorable Crystal M. Rookard, Administrative Law Judge
Case No. 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP

Bernard Jackson, #210745

APPELLANT

v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections

RESPONDENT

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Bernard Jackson, #210745 certify that I have served the NOTICE OF APPEAL on the Respondent by depositing a copy of the same in the U.S. Mail, Postage pre-paid on November, 5, 2024, addressed to their Counsel of Record, A Todd Darwin, SC Bar #7032, 101 W. Sait John Street, Suite 200, Spartanburg, SC 29304 and the Clerk of the S.C. Administrative Law Court, 1205 Pendleton Street, Suite 224, Columbia, SC 29201.

1/s/ Bernard Jackson

Bernard Jackson #210745
pro-se Litigant
TYRCI-Unit 10- Rm. #211
200 Prison Road
Enoree, SC 29335

Received

NOV 05 2024

Lower River Mailroom

Bernard Jackson #210745
Tyger River Correctional Institution
Unit 10 Rm. #211
Enoree, SC 29335

RECEIVED
NOV 22 2024
SC Court of Appeals

Honorable Clerk of Court
S.C. Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

RE: Bernard Jackson, #210745 v. South Carolina Department of
Corrections, Case No.23-ALJ-04-0553-AP

Dear Clerk:

Enclosed please find for filing is a NOTICE OF APPEAL in the
above case.

Also enclosed are the following:


- (1) Proof of service of the Notice of Appeal on the Respondent
and the Administrative Law Court.
- (2) A copy of the order which is to be challenged on appeal.
- (3) The filing fee of \$250.00 paid out to the South Carolina
Court of Appeals.

.Thank you. Received

NOV 05 2024

Tyger River Institution

Sincerely,



Bernard Jackson

Pro se litigant

Cc:Administrative Law Court
1205 Pendleton Street, Suite 224
Columbia, SC29201


A.Todd Darwin
101 W. Sait Jehn Street, suite 200
Spartanburg, SC 29304

Bernard Jackson #210745
Tyger River Correctional Inst.
Unit 10 - Apt #211
200 Prison Road
Endonee, SC 29335

RECEIVED

NOV 22 2024

SC Court of Appeals
Honorable Clerk of Court
S.C. Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211



Received

NOV 15 2024

RECEIVED MAIL ROOM



GREENVILLE SC 296
15 NOV 2024 PM 4 L

LEGAL MAIL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Bernard Jackson, #210745

Docket No. 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP
Grievance No. PCI 0501-23

Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections,

Respondent.

ORDER

RECEIVED
NOV 22 2024
SC Court of Appeals

This matter is before the South Carolina Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) pursuant to a Notice of Appeal filed November 13, 2023, by Bernard Jackson (Appellant), an inmate incarcerated with the South Carolina Department of Corrections (Department). Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal challenging the Department's determination that his grievance was untimely under SCDC Policy ADM-15.13, section 12.1. This matter was assigned to the Honorable Milton Kimpson on December 1, 2023, and reassigned to the undersigned on May 3, 2024. On October 1, 2024, the parties filed a Stipulation of Dismissal, signed by Appellant and outside counsel for the Department. The stipulation states in full:

The parties hereto hereby stipulate to the dismissal, with prejudice, of this action and all claims that have or could have been asserted by Appellant against Respondent in the above-captioned matter. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

Accordingly, by and with the consent of both parties, it is ordered and agreed that the appeal shall be dismissed. Because this order is dispositive of the appeal, the Court declines to consider Appellant's Motion to Compel.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that this appeal is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Crystal M. Rookard

The Honorable Crystal M. Rookard
South Carolina Administrative Law Judge

October 14, 2024
Columbia, South Carolina

Received

Page 1 of NOV 05 2024

Tyger River Mailroom

FILED

10/14/2024
SC Admin. Law Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Elizabeth Brown, hereby certify that I have this date served this Order upon all parties to this cause by depositing a copy hereof in the United States mail, postage paid, or by electronic mail to the address provided by the party(ies) and/or their attorney(s).

Elizabeth Brown

Elizabeth Brown
Judicial Law Clerk

October 14, 2024
Columbia, South Carolina

Received

NOV 03 2024

River Mailroom

Bernard Jackson, #210745
TYRRI - Unit 10 - Rm #211
200 Prison Road
Eunice, SC 29335


Administrative Law Court Division
Edgar A. Brown Building
1205 Pendleton Street, Suite 224
Columbia, SC 29201

RE: Bernard Jackson v. SCDC; 23-ALT-04-0553-AP

Dear Clerk,

Enclosed, please find Appellants MOTION TO COMPEL
and CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE in the above captioned case.

Sincerely,


Bernard Jackson, #210745
Pro-se litigant

CC: SCDC

Lake E. Summers, Esquire
Malone, Thompson & Summers, LLC
339 Heyward Street, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29201



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Docket No. : 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP

Grievance No. : PCI-0501-AP

Honorable Crystal M. Rookard

Bernard Jackson #210745

----- Appellant,

-vs-

South Carolina Department of Corrections

--- Respondent.

MOTION TO COMPEL

Bernard Jackson #210245
|ro-se
TYRCI U/10-A-211
200 Prison Road
Enoree, SC, 29335

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Bernard Jackson, #210745)
Appellant,)
)
vs,)
)
South Carolina Department)
of Corrections,)
Respondent.)

Docket No.: 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP
Grievance No.: PCI-0501-23

MOTION TO COMPEL

NOW comes the Appellant proceeding pro se, on a matter pending before the South Carolina Administrative Law Court (ALC) pursuant to an appeal filed by Bernard Jackson, #210745. (Appellant) on November 13, 2023 and assigned to Hon. Judge Kimpson on December 1, 2023 and reassigned by order of Ralph K. Anderson III to Hon. Crystal M. Rookard on May 3, 2024.

Thereafter, the Department filed a Motion for Extension of time to file the Record on Appeal on February 9, 2024.

According to the S.C. Administrative Law Court Rules, the record on Appeal is due seventy (70) days after the Notice of Assignment was filed on December 1, 2023. See S.C.A.L.C. Rule 59.

The Department filed a Motion to Extend Time on February 9, 2024 requesting a one hundred and eighty (180) day extension.

The Court denied the Department's Motion on May 21, 2024 by Hon. Crystal M. Rookard was reassigned on May 3, 2024 and ordered the Department to file the Record on Appeal within forty-five (45) days at the court's order or by July 5, 2024.

The Department motion to stay the proceedings for 180 days on July 5, 2024 which the court denied and ordered the Department to file the Record on appeal within 45 days of the order or by September 7, 2024.

Appellant filed a Motion to Dismiss by default on July 22, 2024 because the Department's failure to comply with Rules 59, 60, and 62 of S.C.A.L.C. and this court's scheduling orders.

Respondent's once again violated S.C.A.L.C. Rule 59 and Order of S.C.A.L.C. Judge, namely, Hon. Crystal M. Rookard.

S.C.A.L.C. Rule 52 clearly states:

"Upon motion of any party, or on its own motion, an Administrative Law Judge may dismiss an appeal or resolve the appeal adversely to the offending party for failure to comply with any these rules of procedure for appeals including the failure to comply with any of the time limits provided by this section (v)."

On August 22, 2024, by order of Hon. Crystal M. Rookard, Appellant's motion to dismiss by default was denied stating in relevant part:

"The court notes Appellant's concerns with the Department's difficulty in complying with this court's orders and rules of this court, however the court is cognizant of the logistical issues the Department has had in addressing the prevailing wage matters, concluding that justice would not be served by resolving the matter adversely to the Department, whereas the procedural deficiency can be easily corrected.

Appellant contends that as of this date this motion to compel is filed the Department still has not filed the Record on Appeal, further frustrating the mode of reasonable procedural norms and court order[s]. Appellant is aware of the S.C.A.L.C. Rules needing to be recognized and is under the impression that seasoned attorneys would have to abide by the same set of rules governing the courts.

Appellant prays this Honorable Court will not show any prejudice toward him for being an inmate and would show him equal protection of the law, not an abusive discretion of power, or actions that can be characterized as such.

Appellant shows this court that the Department is using the process of reevaluating cases and extending settlement offers as an excuse to not file the Record on Appeal and has not shown this

court an extenuating circumstance to justify its actions which is only holding the court's process up and undermining the court's ministerial duties as well as the Quasi-judicial powers governed by the courts.

Therefore, Appellant prays this Honorable Court would acknowledge its ministerial duties as well as their Quasi-judicial powers and grant the Appellant the following:

1. Order that all of the Respondent's Motions to Extend be denied for their failure to comply with the ALC rules and perpetual disregard for court order[s];

2. Order the Respondents to calculate all of the Appellant's back pay and over-time pay using the appropriate pay scale described in the Occupational Employment Workforce (D.E.W) statistics;

3. Order the Respondents to have Appellant's pay rate adjusted to reflect the rate of pay required under the Occupational Employment Workforce (D.E.W) statistics; the Bureau of Justice Administration (B.J.A.); and S.C. Code Ann. §24-3-315;

4. Rescind its order denying Appellant's Motion to Dismiss by default due to the perpetual disregard for court order[s] by the Respondents, and;

5. Order Respondents to pay Appellant all monies owed to him to include all appropriate deductions, taxes, long term savings account, victim witnesses, Room and board etc... and any other relief deemed proper.

Appellant prays this Honorable Court would recognize this Motion to Compel and would answer this motion in a timely fashion befitting the requirements of law.

Respectfully Submitted,

131 Bernard Jackson


Bernard Jackson #210745

Pro-se litigant

date: 9th day of
September, 2024

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I Bernard Jackson, #210745 am serving a copy of the MOTION TO COMPEL upon SOUTH CAROLINA ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT at 1205 Pendleton street, suite 224, Columbia, SC 29201 by depositing said documents into the United States mail at Tyger River Correctional Institution Mailroom on September 9, 2024.



Bernard Jackson #210745

TYRCI U/10-B-211

200 Prison Road

Enoree, SC 29335

cc: SCDC

Lake E. Summers, Esquire

Malone, Thompson & Summers, LLC

339 Heyward Street, Suite 100

Columbia, SC 29201

Dated this 9th day of
September, 2024

FINAL RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

I, Bernard Jackson, #210745, hereby voluntarily enter into this Final Release of All Claims.


Bernard Jackson, #210745, (hereinafter "Inmate") for and in consideration of the promise and agreement hereby made on behalf of the South Carolina Department of Corrections ("SCDC") to pay \$ 17,137.23 to Inmate, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, Inmate does hereby release, acquit, and forever discharge SCDC, its agents, successors and assigns, current and former employees, current and former elected officials, or attorneys and any and all other firms, persons, associations, corporations, or entities, whether herein named or referred to or not, from any and all claims, grievances, Administrative Law Court cases, appeals, demands, causes of actions, actions or suits of any kind or nature whatsoever, including, but not limited to, all claims, known or unknown, up to and including the date of this Release relating in any way to the monies of any kind paid to Inmate, including but not limited to claims that inmate was not paid the "prevailing wage" pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 24-3-430 (d). This Release specifically includes any claim relating to remittances of monies, back payment of wages, and any other damages of any kind whatsoever, whether in tort or contract or any other basis, on account of or arising out of or in any way relating to Inmate's participation in the Prison Industries Program, including any Prison Industries Enhancement Program ("PIE" or "PIECP") while incarcerated at the South Carolina Department of Corrections. This Release specifically includes any matters currently pending in any South Carolina or Federal court, including any appellate court. Inmate agrees that any pending court matter shall be ended and/or dismissed with prejudice and Inmate shall sign any necessary documents to effectuate dismissal.

Inmate hereby declares that the terms of this Final Release have been completely read, fully understood, and voluntarily accepted for the purpose of making a full and final compromise and settlement of any and all claims and or losses against SCDC and any and all firms, persons, or corporations liable or who might be claimed to be liable. Inmate understands that the express purpose of this Release is to forever preclude any further or additional claims by or on behalf of Inmate arising out of or in any way related to Inmate's participation in the Prison Industries Program, including any Prison Industries Enhancement Program ("PIE" or "PIECP"), and it is further understood and agreed that this Final Release may be plead as a bar to any claim of any kind whatsoever which may be asserted by Inmate or on his behalf in connection with the aforementioned participation in the Prison Industries Program.

This Final Release of All Claims shall be interpreted and governed in accordance with the laws of the State of South Carolina.

Inmate agrees that he has not assigned, transferred, or conveyed in any manner all or any part of his legal claims or legal rights against the other in connection with the matters described above related to claims related to Prison Industries or pay.

Inmate understands that SCDC is not withholding any amount or paying any amount on behalf of Inmate for taxes. Inmate specifically agrees that, to the extent any tax liability (state,


Bernard Jackson, #210745

federal or otherwise) may now or hereafter become due because of the payment of any sums pursuant to this Agreement or claims against SCDC, such liabilities shall be his sole responsibility, and he shall pay any taxes, penalties or interest which may be due and payable. Moreover, if, for any reason, at any time, a claim is made against SCDC for taxes of any kind on the payments made hereunder, Inmate agrees within thirty (30) days of being notified of such claim(s) to indemnify SCDC, its officers, directors, and/or agents and to hold them harmless against such claims, including any penalties and/or interest.

In consideration of the above payment, the undersigned also agree(s) that this settlement is the compromise of a disputed claim and that the payment made in settlement thereof is not to be construed as an admission of liability on the part of SCDC and SCDC denies liability of any nature or kind to Inmate. The above stated amount represents the total settlement which will be placed in Inmate's E.H. Cooper Inmate Account. The required statutory deductions for victim restitution and room and board have already been subtracted and no additional funds will be deducted from the above stated amount. The above stated amount is the total settlement and no additional funds will be paid for child support or placed into long-term savings.

The undersigned further declares and represents that no promise, inducement, or agreement not herein expressed has been made to the undersigned and that this release contains the entire agreement between the parties hereto, and the terms of this release are contractual and not a mere recital.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, my hand and seal is hereunto set this 9th day of September, 2024, in the presence of the below named witness.

I HAVE READ THE FOREGOING RELEASE.

WITNESS:

A. Todd Dawson

Bernard Jackson
Bernard Jackson, #210745

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT**

Bernard Jackson, #210745,

Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections,


Respondent.


Docket No.: 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP
[Grievance No.: PCI-0501-23]

Hon. Crystal M. Rookard

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

The parties hereto hereby stipulate to the dismissal, with prejudice, of this action and all claims that have or could have been asserted by Appellant against Respondent in the above-captioned matter. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

By: 
Bernard Jackson, #210745
APPELLANT

By: 
A. Todd Darwin, Esquire
SC Bar #7032
ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

September 9, 2024
Enoree, South Carolina

Bernard Jackson, #210745

Re: Docket No.: 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP
[Grievance No.: PCI-0501-23]

Dear Mr. Jackson:

We have agreed to resolve your claim in relation to this matter for the total amount of \$ 17,137.23 and I am providing the Final Release of All Claims, Stipulation of Dismissal, and Statement of Settlement. Please review the Final Release and Stipulation and if you agree, sign all documents. Upon receipt of the signed documents, SCDC will request a check from the State Treasurer's office. It will take approximately thirty days or so for the check to be issued. Once we receive the check, it will be deposited into your Prison Industries Account. It will then be transferred into your E.H. Cooper Inmate Account within three business days. After the check is deposited into your E.H. Cooper Inmate Account, SCDC will file the Stipulation of Dismissal with the Administrative Law Court concluding this case. We will file a copy of the Statement of Settlement with the Court to notify the Court that the case is concluded, but will not file the Stipulation of Dismissal until the settlement funds are deposited into your E.H. Cooper Inmate Account. We will mail a copy of the Release, Stipulation of Dismissal, Statement of Settlement, and this letter once we receive a clocked copy of the Stipulation. **SCDC is not withholding any amount or paying any amount on your behalf for taxes and if any taxes are owed they are your sole responsibility.**

If you have any problems or questions about the conditions, please do not sign the Final Release and Stipulation.

With kindest regards, I am


Sincerely,

Holcombe Bomar, P.A.



A. Todd Darwin

I have read the terms set forth above and agree with these terms.




Bernard Jackson #210745


STATEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

Docket No.: 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP

[Grievance No.: PCI-0501-23]

The parties hereby notify the Court that they have agreed to settle the above action. The parties will file the original Stipulation of Dismissal once the settlement funds are deposited into Appellant's E.H. Cooper Inmate Account.

By: 
Bernard Jackson, #210745
APPELLANT

By: 
A. Todd Darwin, Esquire
SC Bar #7032
ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

September 9, 2024
Enoree, South Carolina


Bernard Jackson #210745
TYRACI - Unit 10 - Rm 11
200 Prison Road
Eunee, SC 29335

The Honorable Crystal M. Rookard
SC Administrative Law Court
Edgar de Brown Building
1205 Pendleton Street, Suite 224
Columbia, SC 29201

RE: Bernard Jackson, #210745 23-ALS-04-0553-AP

Dear Judge Rookard:

Enclosed please find the original Objection to stipulation of dismissal in connection with the above referenced matter. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bernard Jackson

Received
OCT 10 2024
Tyger River Mailroom

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Bernard Jackson #210745
APPELLANT,
v.
South Carolina Department of
Corrections,
Respondent

Docket No.: 23-ALS-04-0553-AP
Grievance No.: PCI-D501-23

OBJECTION TO STIPULATION
OF DISMISSAL

Now comes Appellant who moves to object to stipulation of dismissal submitted by Mr. A. Todd Darwin, attorney for the respondents in the above Caption case, date September 9, 2024 and filed with this court on October 1, 2024. Appellant received this stipulation on October 7, 2024. His objections are as follows:

Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Final Release of all claims, the agreement is void, voidable or unenforceable because the attorney mistakenly misrepresented the statutory mandate of S.C. Code Ann. § 24-3-40 (A)(4) by means of undue influence or fraud causing Appellants true

reality of consent to be lacking where the respondents properly deducted from the total sum of approximately \$38,000 for victim restitution and room and board, but refused to place ten percent of the subtraction from the sum into my escrow account.

The respondents attorney gave me the impression that all deductions supports his conclusion but certain facts are being withheld. According to the statute my long term savings should have been accredited with ten percent of the \$38,000.00 total sum.

Appellant respectfully avers that this court deny the respondents motion to stipulate dismissal and order the respondents to pay appellant what is statutorily required.

Respectfully submitted.

159 Bernard Jackson
Bernard Jackson

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Bernard Jackson #210745
Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Department of
Corrections,
Respondent:

Docket No.: 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP
Compliance No.: PCI-0501-23

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the
OBJECTION TO STIPULATION of DISMISSAL in the above captioned
matter by depositing it in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
to the below named party addressed as follows:


A. Todd Darwin, SC Bar #7032
101 W. Saint John Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 1897
Spartanburg, SC 29304
Attorney for Respondent

October 10, 2024

Received

OCT 10 2024

Tyger River Mailroom


Bernard Jackson
Pro-se litigant

Bernard Jackson #210745
TYRRE - unit 10 - Apt #211
200 Prison Road
E. North, SC 29335


The Honorable Crystal M. Bookard
S.C. Administrative Law Court
Edgar A. Brown Building
1205 Pendleton Street, suite 224
Columbia SC 29201


RE: Bernard Jackson #210745 v. SCDC; 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP

Dear Judge Bookard:

Enclosed please find the Original MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS and PROOF OF SERVICE in connection with the above referenced matter. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,


Bernard Jackson #210745
pro-se Litigant



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Bernard Jackson, #210745,
Appellant

Docket No.: 23-ALJ-04-0553-AP
Grievance No.: PCI-0501-23

v.

South Carolina Department of
Corrections,
Respondent.

MOTION TO STAY
PROCEEDING.

Now comes Appellant who moves to stay further proceeding in the above captioned case due to the continuing negotiations between the parties.

Appellant tentatively entered into an agreement with the Respondents on September 9, 2024. Appellant was assured that after all deductions for Victim Witness, Room and Board and Long Term Savings was concluded, Appellant would receive a total sum of \$17,137.23 dollars. Thus Appellant was under the impression that pursuant to S.C. Code Law. § 24-3-40(A)(4) that the calculated deductions would be placed into his Long Term Savings Account.

Appellant did receive the allotted \$17,137.23 but no monies was deposited into his LTS account. Appellant contacted Respondents immediately concerning this issue. SCDC Kiosk Ref. No.: 24-03686829 dated October 16, 2024 will show that the Respondent have agreed to renegotiate this issue to preclude this matter.

Appellant now moves this court to stay proceedings until the parties can conclude this matter promptly. As recently as October 10, 2024, Appellant submitted a motion to object to the stipulation of Dismissal in connection with this issue.

Appellant prays this court will grant this motion and allow the parties the opportunity to address matter before ruling on this case within the next thirty days from receipt of this motion.

DATE: October 17, 2024

Respectfully Submitted
Bernard Jackson
Bernard Jackson, #210742
Pro Se Litigant

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Bernard Jackson #210745

Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Department of
Corrections

Respondent.

Docket No.: 23-ALJ04-0553-AP

Grievance No.: PCI-0501-23

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify by signature below that I have served a copy of the MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS in the above captioned matter by depositing it in the U.S. mail, postage prepaid to the above named party addressed as follows:


A. Todd Darwin, SC Bar #9032

ATTY FOR SCDC

101 W. Saint John Street, Suite 200

P.O. Box 1897

Spartanburg, SC 29304


Bernard Jackson #210745

Pro-se Litigant

October 17, 2024

Received

OCT 17 2024

Tree River Mailroom

Bernard Jackson, #210745

Tyger River Car Inst.

Unit 10 Rm 211

200 Prison Road

Enoree, SC 29335

FIRST CLASS



US POSTAGE

PERMIT NO. 2045

POST OFFICE BOX 11629

COLUMBIA, SC 29211

\$ 003.430

RECEIVED

DEC 27 2024

TYGER RIVER MAILROOM

S.C. Court of Appeals

Jenny A. Kitchings, Clerk


Post Office Box 11629

Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2025

SC Court of Appeals



343