

RECEIVED

Jan 21 2025

SC Court of Appeals

**From:** [John Fontana](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [megan@winslowlawyers.com](mailto:megan@winslowlawyers.com); [John Fontana](#)  
**Subject:** TROMotnToNotMove&reconsider1.8ord  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 21, 2025 11:18:55 PM

---

\*\*\* **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Motion to Reconsider 1/8/25 Order and "Temporarily" Stay Home's Movement

The State of South Carolina  
In the Court of Appeals  
(In the Supreme Court)

Case 2024-001825

Motion to reconsider 1/8/25 Order and  
"Temporarily" Stay Home's Movement

I certify that I believe your court was closed all day today, Tues 1/21/25, due to inclement weather, and so if there's a 10 day deadline for a motion to reconsider (etc.) your 1/8/25 order denying my motions to temporarily stay the movement of home, it would be tomorrow, but I'm filing this today just to be safe.

You're 1/8 order said it construed both my filings (12/2 & 12/3 motions) as a petition to rehear the 11/4/24 order denying my motion to stay. I believe this is incorrect.

If I may clarify, only the 12/2 motion asked to rehear (and reconsider and clarify) the 11/4 order, and to Stay the 12/2 auction. The 12/3 motion did not.

Perhaps I had not been clear on my 12/3 motion, and should have worded it a motion to stay movement "and/or" negate sale (not necessarily both), the equivalent of a TRO in a domestic violence case: just temporarily stay the movement for the sake of cautiousness until after the 2/25/25 Conway hearing to reopen a related case is heard. That court will then be able to discover any (and all) material facts that have been previously not regarded and apply the principles of law to them. This is exactly what the closing of your 1/8 order stated as being relevant.

As such, please reconsider your 1/8 order and temporarily stay my home's movement until after the 2/25 Conway case is resolved.

Also, could you please still give Clarification of your 11/4 order (per my 12/2 motion's request)? It referred the stay of auction for the lower court to consider, but they refused while it was still in this court. I was stuck in the middle. Was I missing something?

Thank you.

January 21, 2025

John Fontana  
Pro se appellant