

RECEIVED

Jan 23 2025

SC Court of Appeals

From: [Carol Ann Honeycutt](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [auso2southcarolina.usa.com](#); [rfwms5006gmail.com](#)
Subject: 2024 - 001687 Carol Ann Honeycutt. Appellant
Date: Thursday, January 23, 2025 4:23:10 PM

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

January 23, 2025

The Honorable Jenny Abbott KitchingsMS
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201_

RE: 25th Avenue LLC v. Carol Ann Honeycutt
Appellant Case No. 2024-001687

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Today, I am sending a copy of the Letter of January 16, 2025, by email. It was sent by USPS priority mail on January 17, 2025,

but has not yet appeared in ACMS for this case. The letter regarded information on the two transcripts for this case which

were returned to the Appellant.

Thank you for your help with this.

Carol Ann Honeycutt
Appellant, Pro Se

January 16, 2025

via USPS

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

RE: 25th Avenue LLC v. Carol Ann Honeycutt

Appellant Case No. 2024-001687

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

This letter is to request clarification on two questions.

First question: On December 27, 2024, Appellate Court received copies of the two transcripts which are required for this case. Attached as **Exhibit 1** is my letter of transmittal sending these two transcripts to the Court on December 22, 2024. In early January, I received these two transcripts back by USPS without a letter of transmittal or explanation. Omitted from the return of Transcript II was the Addendum which was sent with it to the Court.

Exhibit 2 is page one of each transcript. **Exhibit 3** is a copy of the envelope in which they were sent with the return address of Clerk Kitchings.

Please explain why these transcripts were returned to me, why they were returned without a letter of transmittal, and why this return was not recorded on this case ACMS.

Second question: The transcript of July 24, 2024, a WEBEX hearing, before Judge B. Alex Hyman was transcribed by Mary Ragsdale of LEGAL EAGLE. This is a private company and is not part of the South Carolina Court Administration. The quality of the transcript is questionable as documented in letters I sent Court Report Manager Ms. Tammie Holmes. I sent numerous requests to Court Report Manager Ms. Tammie Holmes to have this transcript produced by a SC Court official court reporter. I contacted court reporter Natalie Dahl and she agreed to produce this transcript for me but Ms. Holmes denied the request.

The important question, is this Transcript II produced by LEAGAL EAGLES considered an official transcript which can be used in my appeal of this case? If it is not, I need to order, again, for the third or fourth time, a official transcript for this case.

Thank you for your attention to these two requests for clarification. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Carol Ann Honeycutt

Appellant Pro Se

Post Office Box 8574

Myrtle Beach, South Carolina 29578

843-254-5951

email: auso2@southcarolina.usa.com

cc: By USPS

25th Avenue Llc.

Mr. Richard F. Williams, Agent of Record

309 N. Highland Way

Myrtle Beach, South Carolina 29572