

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)
Rhonda Meisner,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
Tricia L. Flowers; Flowers Consulting,)
LLC; Flowers Consulting, LLC; John Doe,)
(1-10) a fictional Name assigned to identify)
parties that are not yet known or not yet)
determined,)
)
Defendants.)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

C/A No. 2022-CP-40-01415

**ORDER FOR DISSOLUTION OF
LIS PENDENS**

RECEIVED
Jan 27 2025
SC Court of Appeals

INTRODUCTION

This matter came before the Court by video link at 2:00 PM, Thursday, August 8, 2024. James Edward Bradley appeared on behalf of Grant Meisner. The *Pro Se* litigant Rhonda Meisner appeared on her own behalf. Grant Meisner moved to dissolve five (5) *Lis Pendens* which had been filed against him by Rhonda Meisner. Grant Meisner also moved for sanctions on the basis that the *Lis Pendens* are frivolous. After hearing the arguments and reviewing the documents on file in this matter, the Court grants the motion to dissolve all five (5) *Lis Pendens*. The Court finds there is no basis for the *Lis Pendens*. However, the Court denies the motion for sanctions at this time.

DISCUSSION

This matter originates from a divorce between Rhonda Meisner and Grant Meisner which is still pending. Ancillary to that divorce, Ms. Meisner brought this *Pro Se* action in Circuit Court. Along with numerous other Defendants, she sued her husband, Grant Meisner, her husband's company Grant Meisner, MD, LLC, her husband's lawyer, Sheila Robinson, and

her husband's law firm named in the pleadings as Moore, Taylor & Thomas, PA, Moore Taylor Law Firm, PA and Moore Bradley Myers Law Firm, PA. These Defendants moved to dismiss on April 12, 2022. The motions to dismiss were heard by The Honorable Jean H. Toal on December 2, 2022. Justice Toal granted the motions on all Defendants in a Form 4 Order issued December 15, 2022, and a following Form 4 filed December 20, 2022. Ms. Meisner appealed these orders. The Court of Appeals dismissed the appeals as untimely filed.

On November 27, 2023, Ms. Meisner filed five separate *Lis Pendens* against Grant Meisner encumbering property set forth in those descriptions including Tax Map Numbers 10100-02-12; 10100-02-46; 10100-02-47; 15208-01-36; and a fifth *Lis Pendens* which did not contain a tax map number. For ease of reference and convenience, those five *Lis Pendens* are attached to this order as follows: Exhibit A: 10100-02-12; Exhibit B: 10100-02-46; Exhibit C: 10100-02-47; Exhibit D: 15208-01-36; and Exhibit E: unnumbered property. These five *Lis Pendens* were filed November 27, 2023, even though the case had been dismissed against Grant Meisner at that time.

The Court finds there is no pending action against Grant Meisner. Therefore, there is no basis upon which to file the *Lis Pendens*. Furthermore, the original allegations in the complaint do not implicate the title to property; therefore, a *Lis Pendens* is an inappropriate filing in this matter.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the five (5) attached *Lis Pendens* are all dissolved and of no affect whatsoever. A copy of this order with the attached *Lis Pendens* may be filed in the Register of Deeds Office for purposes of clarifying title.

Although there was no basis for filing these *Lis Pendens*, the Court finds that sanctions are not appropriate at this time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the five (5) attached *Lis Pendens* labeled as: Exhibit A: 10100-02-12; Exhibit B: 10100-02-46; Exhibit C: 10100-02-47; Exhibit D: 15208-01-36; and Exhibit E: unnumbered property, filed November 27, 2023, by Rhonda Meisner are hereby dissolved and of no affect whatsoever.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



Richland Common Pleas

Case Caption: Rhonda Meisner vs Grant Meisner , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2022CP4001415

Type: Order/Dismissal and Cancellation of Lis Pendens

So Ordered

s/ Daniel Coble, 2774

***** IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION *****
NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING [NEF]

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: 2022CP4001415

Official File Stamp: 08-14-2023 10:48:06 AM

Court: CIRCUIT COURT

Common Pleas

Richland

Case Caption: Rhonda Meisner vs Grant Meisner , defendant, et al

Document(s) Submitted: Form 4 Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend (filed on 7/28/23) is DENIED. Plaintiff was properly afforded due process, as she was given notice of the hearing date and an opportunity to...

Filed by or on behalf of: Jocelyn Newman

This notice was automatically generated by the Court's auto-notification system.

The following people were served electronically:

Michael C. Tanner for Flowers Consulting, LLC.,
Tricia L. Flowers

James E. Parham, Jr.

Stephanie Holmes Burton for Law Offices of
Richard G. Whiting, PA

James Edward Bradley

The following people have not been served electronically by the Court. Therefore, they must be served by traditional means:

Rhonda Meisner for Rhonda Meisner

John Doe (1-10)

Rhonda Meisner for Rhonda Meisner