

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Samual Bruce Johnican, Petitioner,






v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2024-002047

ORDER

By order dated January 16, 2025, this Court dismissed the present matter because Petitioner failed to provide proof that he timely served the notice of appeal on opposing counsel, as required by Rules 243(b) and 203(d)(1)(B)(i) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Petitioner has now filed a motion for a continuance, which we construe as a petition for rehearing. After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Thus, the petition for rehearing is denied. *See* Rule 221(a), SCACR (providing a petition for rehearing must state with particularity the points supposed to have been overlooked or misapprehended by the Court).

	C.J.
	J.
	J.
	J.
	J.

Columbia, South Carolina
February 12, 2025

cc:

Travis Cruise Mitchell
Samuel Johnican, #340693