

RECEIVED

Feb 18 2025

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Justice Jean Toal, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2024-001626

Rhonda Meisner,

Appellant,

v.

Grant Meisner; Grant Meisner, MD, LLC; Sheila Robinson; Erwin Mangubat, MD; Moore, Taylor, & Thomas, P.A.; Moore Taylor Law Firm, P.A.; Moore Bradley Myers Law Firm, P.A.; Tricia L. Flowers; Flowers Consulting, LLC; Richard G. Whiting, Esquire; Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, P.A.; John Doe (1-10),

Respondents.

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS OF RESPONDENTS RICHARD G. WHITING AND THE LAW OFFICES OF RICHARD G. WHITING, P.A. AND  
MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE**

**The January 11, 2023 Motion Does Not Relate to the Dismissal of Plaintiff's Claims Against the Whiting Defendants**

The motion to dismiss of the Whiting Defendants was granted and Appellant did not timely appeal that order. Now, over a year later, Appellant argues that her appeal is proper because she filed an unrelated motion to reconsider which was pending when she filed her first untimely appeal. Contrary to Appellant's misleading assertion, the January 11, 2023 motion she references does not pertain to either Richard G. Whiting or the Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, P.A. ("Whiting Defendants"). The motion did not address the December 15, 2022 Order dismissing Appellant's claims against the Whiting Defendants. Instead, Appellant's January 11, 2023 Motion pertains

exclusively to the December 20, 2022 Order which Appellant refers to as the “Second Form 4 Order.” See Initial Brief of Appellant, p. 6-7 (“The Second Form 4 order dismissing the law firm defendants was received by the appellant on December 28, 2022.”); January 11, 2023, Motion, p. 1 (“The Court’s second Form 4 was received on December 28, 2022,...”). In that December 20, 2022 Order, the trial court granted the motion to dismiss of Defendants Moore, Taylor, & Thomas, P.A., Moore Taylor Law Firm, P.A., Moore Bradley Myers Law Firm, P.A. ( “Law Firm Defendants”). The December 20, 2022 Order does not reference the Whiting Defendants and does not extend Appellant’s deadline to appeal the dismissal of the Whiting Defendants. Even if it did, successive motions do not stay the deadline to appeal. See Elam v. S.C. Dep’t of Transp., 361 S.C. 20, 602 S.E.2d 772 (2004).

In her January 11, 2023 Motion, Appellant did not argue that the Whiting Defendants had anything to do with Tricia L. Flowers and Flowers Consulting, LLC (“Flowers Defendants”). Instead, Appellant expressly requested that the trial court “reconsider its dismissal of the Law Firm Defendants Moore, Taylor, & Thomas, P.A.; Moore Taylor Law Firm, P.A.; Moore Bradley Myers Law Firm, P.A.,” and “enter an order requiring the Defendants to participate in discovery proceedings and Order a mediator be appointed.” (January 11, 2023 Motion, p. 2.) Appellant also submitted an affidavit in support of her motion in which she stated, “upon information and belief, Sheila Robinson and/or one of the law office defendants Moore, Taylor, & Thomas, P.A.; Moore Taylor Law Firm, P.A.; Moore Bradley Myers Law Firm, P.A. hired Ms. Flowers and/or Flowers Consulting, LLC.” (Meisner Aff., p. 2, ¶ 4.) Appellant did not mention the Whiting Defendants in her January 11, 2023 Motion or her supporting affidavit.

Appellant’s contention that the January 11, 2023 Motion involves the Whiting Defendants is false. Appellant’s appeal is frivolous. Her motion to consolidate should be denied as she cannot

pursue her claims against the Whiting Defendants. This frivolous appeal against them must be dismissed and Appellant ordered to pay their attorney's fees and costs.

**Plaintiff Has Not Paid the Court Ordered Attorney's Fees**

Appellant confusingly states that the Whiting Defendants have not responded to her request for "confirmation of the payment." Appellant has not tendered any payment to the Whiting Defendants. This Court's prior order that Appellant must pay the Whiting Defendant's \$1,000.00 for attorney's fees remains unsatisfied.

**CONCLUSION**

Based upon the foregoing authorities and arguments, Respondents Richard G. Whiting and the Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, P.A. respectfully submit that they are entitled to an order dismissing Appellant's appeal as a matter of law and denying Appellant's motion to consolidate.

s/Stephanie H. Burton  
Stephanie H. Burton (#13089)  
GIBBES BURTON, LLC  
308 East Saint John Street  
Spartanburg, SC 29302  
sburton@gibbesburton.com  
Telephone: (864) 327-5000  
Facsimile: (864) 342-6884

*Attorneys for Respondents Richard G. Whiting and  
Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, P.A.*

February 17, 2025

**RECEIVED**

**Feb 18 2025**

**SC Court of Appeals**

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

---

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Justice Jean Toal, Circuit Court Judge

---

Case No. 2024-001626

---

Rhonda Meisner,

Appellant,

v.

Grant Meisner; Grant Meisner, MD, LLC; Sheila Robinson; Erwin Mangubat, MD; Moore, Taylor, & Thomas, P.A.; Moore Taylor Law Firm, P.A.; Moore Bradley Myers Law Firm, P.A.; Tricia L. Flowers; Flowers Consulting, LLC; Richard G. Whiting, Esquire; Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, P.A.; John Doe (1-10);

Respondents.

---

PROOF OF SERVICE

---

The undersigned, Stephanie H. Burton certifies that she is a member of Gibbes Burton, LLC and on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of February 2025, she served a copy of the Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss of Respondents Richard G. Whiting and The Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, P.A. and Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Consolidate by email and by depositing in the United States mail, with due and proper postage affixed thereto, copies of the same addressed to:

*By U.S. Mail & Email:*

Ms. Rhonda Meisner  
P.O. Box 689  
Blythewood, SC 29016  
[scorequipment@gmail.com](mailto:scorequipment@gmail.com)

*By Email:*

Mr. James Edward Bradley  
Moore Bradley Meyers Law Firm, P.A.  
P.O. Box 5709  
West Columbia, SC 29171  
[ward@mbmlawsc.com](mailto:ward@mbmlawsc.com)

Mr. James E. Parham, Jr.  
James E. Parham, Jr., P.A.  
P.O. Box 1576  
Irmo, SC 29063  
[jparham@jparhamlaw.com](mailto:jparham@jparhamlaw.com)

Mr. Michael C. Tanner  
Michael C. Tanner, L.L.C.  
P.O. Box 1061  
Bamberg, SC 29003  
[michaelctannerllc@bellsouth.net](mailto:michaelctannerllc@bellsouth.net)

s/Stephanie H. Burton  
Stephanie H. Burton

Stephanie H. Burton  
sburton@gibbesburton.com



**RECEIVED**  
**Feb 18 2025**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

February 17, 2025  
*By E-Mail*

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals  
P.O. Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211  
ctappfilings@sccourts.org

Re: Rhonda Meisner v. Grant Meisner, Grant Meisner, MD, LLC, et al.  
Appellate Case No. 2024-001626  
C.A. No.: 2022-CP-40-01415

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

We are enclosing for filing the following:

1. Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss of Respondents Richard G. Whiting and The Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, P.A. and Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Consolidate; and
2. Proof of Service of same.

With kind regards,

Yours very truly,

GIBBES BURTON, LLC

Stephanie H. Burton

SHB/bre  
Enclosures

cc: Ms. Rhonda Meisner (w/enclosures)(by email and U.S. Mail)  
Mr. James E. Parham, Jr. (w/enclosures)(by email)  
Mr. Michael C. Tanner (w/enclosures)(by email)  
Mr. James Edward Bradley (w/enclosures)(by email)

308 East Saint John Street  
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29302  
Telephone 864.327.5000 • Facsimile 864.342.6884  
www.gibbesburton.com