

Feb 27 2025

SC Court of Appeals

**From:** [Jasonboyle03 me](mailto:Jasonboyle03@me.com)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Cc:** [McIntosh, Lawton Law Clerk \(Kjursten Collier\)](#); [McIntosh, Lawton Secretary \(Tammy Jennings\)](#); [Jim Logan](#); [Kim Manley](#)  
**Subject:** case 2024-001241  
**Date:** Thursday, February 27, 2025 11:05:25 AM

---

\*\*\* **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Court of Appeals,

I am writing regarding the appeal of the September 16 order finding me in contempt of court for violating the gag order issued on July 17. The initial brief of the appellant and the designation of matter have been filed, with the response currently due by March 5.

In my initial filing, I listed myself and Judge Singleton as the parties. I believed this was correct since they were the parties in the underlying case. However, after reviewing the transcripts, I am now unsure if this was accurate. The appeal currently before the Circuit Court is for a finding of criminal contempt from the Oconee Probate Court.

On July 17, 2024, I was released from jail following an emergency hearing. At that time, Judge McIntosh issued a release order that imposed probation-like restrictions, including a prohibition on alcohol consumption and restrictions on my Second Amendment rights. This order also contained a vague gag order prohibiting any public discussion of "this case."

At the September 12, 2024 hearing, I was found in contempt of court for violating this order. Jim Logan, representing Probate Judge Danny Singleton, had filed a rule to show cause for an alleged violation related to YouTube. Judge McIntosh largely dismissed that accusation but then found me in contempt for a separate violation.

I was found in contempt of court for publishing a news article on *OconeeNews.org*. Judge McIntosh stated, "*I'm going to find that you are in contempt of court from my order that is my bond order, nothing to do with Mr. Singleton or Judge Singleton.*" This clearly indicates that the contempt finding was based on a *sua sponte* motion by Judge McIntosh, stemming from a *sua sponte* release order he issued.

In recent correspondence from Jim Logan, it became clear that he intends to defend this appeal. However, Jim Logan represents Judge Singleton, not Judge McIntosh.

As a pro se litigant facing serious accusations, I hope that any ruling will be based on the merits of the case rather than a procedural oversight. My concern is that I may have mistakenly listed Judge Singleton as the respondent instead of Judge McIntosh in my initial appeal filing. I listed the same parties as in the previous case, and I sincerely apologize if this was incorrect.

If I lose this appeal, Judge McIntosh has stated in open court that he can sentence me to jail for up to six months per violation of the gag order.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jason Boyle