

Stacey Grist et al  
PLAINTIFF(S)

Priscilla Mickie Grist et al  
DEFENDANT(S)

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

See Page 2

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 01/29/2025 .

Browning Geriatrics Consulting LLC

**RECEIVED**  
**Feb 27 2025**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

**NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL**

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

---

Following the January 6, 2025 Order of this Court, Defendants Kiki's Kare, LLC d/b/a Comfort Keepers, Erin and Chris Couchell (collectively referred to hereafter as Kiki's Kare) filed a timely Motion for Reconsideration. The Court has determined that oral argument is not needed for the Court to address this Motion. In the January 6 Order, the Court granted Summary Judgment in favor of Kiki's Kare for the following causes of action in the complaint: negligence/gross negligence (1st) and Negligent hiring, training and supervision (2nd) . In the Order, the Court also indicated that this ruling did not apply to the 3rd, 4th, 5th and 8th causes of action which also contained allegations as to Kiki's Kare. This ruling was not intended to affect any prior rulings by Judge Morgan (Order of Judge Morgan issued August 28, 2024) which granted Kiki Kare's Motion to sever the causes of action for Abuse of Process (3rd), Civil Conspiracy (4th), Intentional Interference with a business contract (6th) and Violation of Power of Attorney Act (8th). Therefore, the only cause of action which asserts a claim against Kiki's Kare and not addressed in the prior Order for severance or Summary Judgment would be the cause of action for Unfair Trade Practices Act (5th) as suggested by Kiki Kare's Motion to Reconsider. It is so Ordered.



Greenville Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Stacey Grist , plaintiff, et al vs. Priscilla Mickie Grist , defendant, et al

**Case Number:** 2022CP2304055

**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ Honorable Perry H. Gravely, #2755