

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
CASE NUMBER 2017CP2308016

Wells Fargo Bank NA

Michelle Hodges

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by:

Attorney for: Plaintiff Defendant
 Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);
 Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other: _____
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j) SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other: _____
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other: _____

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order; (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:
ORDER INFORMATION

The matter is before the court again based on the latest filings on December 23, 2024 by *pro se* Defendant Michelle Hodges (hereinafter "Hodges") and arise out of the Court's latest Order filed December 12, 2024. As will be set forth below, the Motions are denied.

First, as relates to the Motion to Alter, the same is denied. The reasons set forth in the Motion have either been previously ruled upon or are substantively untenable and inaccurate assertions of the applicable law.

Second, as relates to her eighth Motion to Amend, the same is denied in that the issues have either already been ruled upon by the many prior Orders or have not timely been raised.

Third, as relates to the Motion to Stay, the same is denied. The "surety" proposed by Hodges is that she will not commit waste during the appeal period and that if the judgement is affirmed, she will then pay what she contends would have been the fair market rental value of the property. Such a proposal for a "surety", while being unique in approach, is not recognizable under South Carolina law. Further, the Note involved herein has been in default and no payments have been made since May 1, 2017. See, Order 12/12/2024.

In light of the above, the real property involved herein will proceed to a public foreclosure sale at 11:00 a.m. February 3, 2025 in the Greenville County Courthouse.

And It Is So Ordered.

This order ends does not end the case.
Additional Information for the Clerk: _____

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

RECEIVED

FEB 21 2025

SC Court of Appeals

3 of 14

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2024 Dec 27 10:15 AM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS CASE#2017CP2308016



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Wells Fargo Bank NA vs. Michelle Hodges , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2017CP2308016
Type: Master/Order/Form 4

And It Is So Ordered!

s/ Judge Charles B. Simmons, Jr. (3023)

Electronically signed on 2024-12-27 09:48:35 page 3 of 3

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2024 Dec 27 10:15 AM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2017CP2308016

5 of 14