

**From:** [Desa Ballard](#)  
**To:** [Thomas Iandoli](#); [Hackett, Susan](#); [Ashley Twombly](#); [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [James Gilreath, Esquire \(jim@gilreathlaw.com\)](#); [bhogan@gilreathlaw.com](#); [Charles Macioskie](#); [Alan Medlin](#); [Tom Traxler](#); [Beth Cogan](#); [kathie@gilreathlaw.com](#); [afp@saxtonstump.com](#); [bkg@saxtonstump.com](#); [Charles B. Molster III, Esquire \(cmolster@molsterlaw.com\)](#); [Taylor Davis](#); [Fuhr, Ed](#); [Schronce, Johnathon](#); [Dean, Erin](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Luzak v. Barringer; Appellate Case #2025-000076  
**Date:** Monday, March 10, 2025 10:09:30 AM

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Thank you for your response. As mere mortals, lawyers are not are privy to the Court's internal administrative procedures for handling motions before the Court. We are certain, however, there is no provision in the Rules or otherwise for counsel to directly contact the staff attorneys' office and provide a copy of the filing. Your email confirms what we suspected: by getting a copy to the staff attorneys "as soon as possible," you were attempting to circumvent the court's internal administrative procedures to attempt to gain an inappropriate time advantage for your motion to expedite, which by the way should now be moot given that Ms. Luzak has filed her initial brief. Ms. Barringer has sought preferential treatment on the Court's docket through her Motion to Expedite, and now she has attempted to gain preferential treatment on the processing on that Motion itself by attempting to circumvent the Clerk of Court and communicating with the staff attorneys.

We ask that you affirmatively withdraw your improper direct communication to the Staff Attorney's office and in the future adhere to the prescribed practices for filing with the Court (and not attempting to gain an advantage in any way). I left the employ of the Supreme Court 40+ years ago and would never have taken the liberty you have taken.

db

---

**From:** Thomas Iandoli <iandoli@twlawfirm.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 7, 2025 3:27 PM  
**To:** Desa Ballard <desab@desaballard.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Luzak v. Barringer; Appellate Case #2025-000076

**RECEIVED**  
**Mar 10 2025**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

Ms. Ballard,

I just wanted to follow up on your email from earlier today. If there is anything you are aware of that would prohibit us from providing a courtesy copy of a motion to expedite to the staff attorneys office, please let me know. I look forward to hearing back from you.

Thanks,  
Thomas

**Thomas Blase Iandoli**  
**Associate Attorney**  
**Twenge + Twombly Law Firm**  
**311 Carteret Street**  
**Beaufort, SC 29902**  
Telephone: (843) 982-0100  
Direct: (843) 379-0344  
Facsimile: (843) 982-0103  
[iandoli@twlawfirm.com](mailto:iandoli@twlawfirm.com)  
[www.twlawfirm.com](http://www.twlawfirm.com)

**Confidentiality Notice**

This message is being sent by or on behalf of a lawyer. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.

---

**From:** Desa Ballard <[desab@desaballard.com](mailto:desab@desaballard.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, March 7, 2025 3:03 PM

**To:** Andrea Smith <[andrea@twlawfirm.com](mailto:andrea@twlawfirm.com)>; [sbhackett@sccourts.org](mailto:sbhackett@sccourts.org)

**Cc:** Ashley Twombly <[twombly@twlawfirm.com](mailto:twombly@twlawfirm.com)>; Thomas Iandoli <[iandoli@twlawfirm.com](mailto:iandoli@twlawfirm.com)>; [ctappfilings@sccourts.org](mailto:ctappfilings@sccourts.org); Jim Gilreath <[jim@gilreathlaw.com](mailto:jim@gilreathlaw.com)>; Bill Hogan <[bhogan@gilreathlaw.com](mailto:bhogan@gilreathlaw.com)>; Macloskie Law Firm <[macloskielaw@outlook.com](mailto:macloskielaw@outlook.com)>; Alan Medlin, Esquire <[amedlin@sc.rr.com](mailto:amedlin@sc.rr.com)>; Thomas W. Traxler <[tom.traxler@carterlawpa.com](mailto:tom.traxler@carterlawpa.com)>; Beth Cogan <[Beth@desaballard.com](mailto:Beth@desaballard.com)>; Kathie Kohlmayer <[kathie@gilreathlaw.com](mailto:kathie@gilreathlaw.com)>; Alice F. Paylor <[afp@saxtonstump.com](mailto:afp@saxtonstump.com)>; Bijan K. Ghom <[bkg@saxtonstump.com](mailto:bkg@saxtonstump.com)>; [cmolster@molsterlaw.com](mailto:cmolster@molsterlaw.com); Taylor Davis <[tdavis@saxtonstump.com](mailto:tdavis@saxtonstump.com)>; 'Edward Fuhr' <[efuhr@hunton.com](mailto:efuhr@hunton.com)>; Schronce, Johnathon <[JSchronce@hunton.com](mailto:JSchronce@hunton.com)>; Erin Dean <[erindean@tgdcpa.com](mailto:erindean@tgdcpa.com)>

**Subject:** RE: Luzak v. Barringer; Appellate Case #2025-000076

**Importance:** High

It was just called to my attention that you did the same thing last week, while I was on vacation (see attached).

What authority possibly exists for you to communicate directly to the staff attorney's office?

Susan, I'm sorry to include you on this, but we are replying to everyone included. I will wait for Mr. Twombly's response before we decide what next steps to take next on behalf of our client. We are certainly not asking for a response from your office.

db

---

**From:** Desa Ballard

**Sent:** Friday, March 7, 2025 1:18 PM

**To:** Andrea Smith <[andrea@twlawfirm.com](mailto:andrea@twlawfirm.com)>; [sbhackett@sccourts.org](mailto:sbhackett@sccourts.org)  
**Cc:** Ashley Twombley <[twombley@twlawfirm.com](mailto:twombley@twlawfirm.com)>; Thomas Iandoli <[iandoli@twlawfirm.com](mailto:iandoli@twlawfirm.com)>;  
[ctappfilings@sccourts.org](mailto:ctappfilings@sccourts.org); Jim Gilreath <[jim@gilreathlaw.com](mailto:jim@gilreathlaw.com)>; Bill Hogan  
<[bhogan@gilreathlaw.com](mailto:bhogan@gilreathlaw.com)>; Macloskie Law Firm <[macloskielaw@outlook.com](mailto:macloskielaw@outlook.com)>; Alan Medlin,  
Esquire <[amedlin@sc.rr.com](mailto:amedlin@sc.rr.com)>; Thomas W. Traxler <[tom.traxler@carterlawpa.com](mailto:tom.traxler@carterlawpa.com)>; Beth Cogan  
<[Beth@desaballard.com](mailto:Beth@desaballard.com)>; Kathie Kohlmayer <[kathie@gilreathlaw.com](mailto:kathie@gilreathlaw.com)>; Alice F. Paylor  
<[afp@saxtonstump.com](mailto:afp@saxtonstump.com)>; Bijan K. Ghom <[bkg@saxtonstump.com](mailto:bkg@saxtonstump.com)>; [cmolster@molsterlaw.com](mailto:cmolster@molsterlaw.com);  
Taylor Davis <[tdavis@saxtonstump.com](mailto:tdavis@saxtonstump.com)>; 'Edward Fuhr' <[efuhr@hunton.com](mailto:efuhr@hunton.com)>; Schronce,  
Johnathon <[JSchronce@hunton.com](mailto:JSchronce@hunton.com)>; Erin Dean <[erindean@tgdcpa.com](mailto:erindean@tgdcpa.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Luzak v. Barringer; Appellate Case #2025-000076

Under what authority is this being sent directly to the staff attorney's office?

db

---

**From:** Andrea Smith <[andrea@twlawfirm.com](mailto:andrea@twlawfirm.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 7, 2025 1:05 PM  
**To:** [sbhackett@sccourts.org](mailto:sbhackett@sccourts.org)  
**Cc:** Ashley Twombley <[twombley@twlawfirm.com](mailto:twombley@twlawfirm.com)>; Thomas Iandoli <[iandoli@twlawfirm.com](mailto:iandoli@twlawfirm.com)>;  
[ctappfilings@sccourts.org](mailto:ctappfilings@sccourts.org); Jim Gilreath <[jim@gilreathlaw.com](mailto:jim@gilreathlaw.com)>; Bill Hogan  
<[bhogan@gilreathlaw.com](mailto:bhogan@gilreathlaw.com)>; Macloskie Law Firm <[macloskielaw@outlook.com](mailto:macloskielaw@outlook.com)>; Alan Medlin,  
Esquire <[amedlin@sc.rr.com](mailto:amedlin@sc.rr.com)>; Thomas W. Traxler <[tom.traxler@carterlawpa.com](mailto:tom.traxler@carterlawpa.com)>; Desa Ballard  
<[desab@desaballard.com](mailto:desab@desaballard.com)>; Beth Cogan <[Beth@desaballard.com](mailto:Beth@desaballard.com)>; Kathie Kohlmayer  
<[kathie@gilreathlaw.com](mailto:kathie@gilreathlaw.com)>; Alice F. Paylor <[afp@saxtonstump.com](mailto:afp@saxtonstump.com)>; Bijan K. Ghom  
<[bkg@saxtonstump.com](mailto:bkg@saxtonstump.com)>; [cmolster@molsterlaw.com](mailto:cmolster@molsterlaw.com); Taylor Davis <[tdavis@saxtonstump.com](mailto:tdavis@saxtonstump.com)>;  
'Edward Fuhr' <[efuhr@hunton.com](mailto:efuhr@hunton.com)>; Schronce, Johnathon <[JSchronce@hunton.com](mailto:JSchronce@hunton.com)>; Erin Dean  
<[erindean@tgdcpa.com](mailto:erindean@tgdcpa.com)>  
**Subject:** Luzak v. Barringer; Appellate Case #2025-000076

Ms. Hackett –

Please find attached a letter from Ashley Twombley forwarding Appellen's Return to Motion to Expedite, as well as Respondent's Reply in the referenced matter.

If you have any questions related to the attached, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. Twombley.

Thank you,

*Andrea*

**Andrea Smith**  
**Paralegal to J. Ashley Twombley**

**Twenge + Twombly Law Firm**  
**311 Carteret Street**  
**Beaufort, SC 29902**  
Telephone: (843) 982-0100  
Facsimile: (843) 982-0103  
[andrea@twlawfirm.com](mailto:andrea@twlawfirm.com)  
[www.twlawfirm.com](http://www.twlawfirm.com)

**Confidentiality Notice**

This message is being sent by or on behalf of a lawyer. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.