

RECEIVED

Mar 14 2025

SC Court of Appeals

**From:** [Kevin Smith](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Subject:** One last point id like the court to graciously take into consideration (motion/motions for emergency relief)  
**Date:** Friday, March 14, 2025 8:14:56 AM  
**Attachments:** [Screenshot\\_20250314-003102.png](#)

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Good morning Court and Your honor

I had the opportunity to speak to an attorney friend last night and he advised me that I should be okay but thought of all the things I brought up yesterday and evidence presented in support of my application I should bring up this point as well

Even though clearly we feel that my motion/motions should be granted for stated reason yesterday.. with all due respect even if an eviction is granted in any case..SC STATE STATUE INCLUDED BELOW STILL ALLOWS FOR A JUDGE TO CONSIDER THE HARDSHIP PLACED ON THE PARTY IN REGARDS TO ILLNESS OR INCAPACITY AND ALSO ALLOWS THE COURT TO GRANT CONSIDERATION/ABATEMENT TO ALLOW PROPER ARRANGEMENTS TO BE MADE IN REGARDS TO THE SAFETY OF THAT PERSON AND THEY'RE BELONGINGS

24 HOURS WOULD BE TOUGH ON ANYBODY WHICH IS THE STANDARD WE BELIEVE THAT'S WHY THIS PARTICULAR STATUE DOES NOT GUARANTEE CONSIDERATION BUT ENCOURAGES IT

I GRACIOUSLY REMIND YOUR HONOR

I'M A 43 YEAR OLD VETERAN DESIGNATED HOMEBOUND AND DISABLED BY THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE DISABILITY BODY WHICH I COLLECT EVERY MONTH FOR THE LAST 6 YEARS AND ALSO AT 30% FROM THE VA FOR SIX DIFFERENT CHRONIC CONDITIONS.. SO MUCH SO THAT I ALSO HAVE AN AID ASSIGNED TO ME TO COME AND HELP WITH DAILY CHORES AND ERRANDS PAID FOR BY THE STATE

I'VE HAD THREE HEART ATTACKS IN THE LAST FOUR AND A HALF YEARS I TAKE 19 DIFFERENT PRESCRIPTIONS A DAY AND OVER 90 HOSPITALIZATIONS IN THE LAST 2 YEARS

THIS COURT GRANTED ME THIS INJUNCTION IN JANUARY BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT THE COURT REALIZED THE UNDERHANDED TACTICS THAT THEY USED TO OBTAIN THE EVICTION THE FIRST TIME

THIS CASE IS NEVER EVEN BEEN HEARD FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS/FACT FINDING BECAUSE IT IS BEEN SET FROM DAY ONE FOR JURY/MEDIATION IN SEPTEMBER BY JUDGE MICHAEL SCOTT BECAUSE HE FOUND I THE DEFENDANT HAD A "LOGICAL" AND "VALID" LEGAL DEFENSES TO THE EVICTION AND ACCUSATIONS BEING MADE ... AND SINCE THEN THE APARTMENT COMPLEX HAS TRIED TO BUY ME OUT FOR CASH THEN HAS TURNED TO FINDING EVERY WAY TO MAKE THINGS DIFFICULT ON ME (CHANGING MY MAILBOX LOCKED SO I CAN'T GET MY MAIL FOR THE LAST 2 MONTHS SENDING THE PROPERTY MANAGER'S BOYFRIEND TO MAKE THREATS ON AN EVERY OTHER DAY BASIS ) TO INTIMIDATE AND HARASS ME FOR THE LAST 4 MONTHS . I AM TRUSTING THAT THE COURT WILL GRANT ME THE RELIEF THAT A SEEK AS YOU HAVE BEFORE AND I'M VERY GRACIOUS FOR THAT

IT'S JUST ME YOUR HONOR AND MY SERVICE ANIMALS AND I HOPE THAT YOU KNOW THAT I DID NOT VIOLATE THE APPEAL BOND OR THE CONDITIONS SET BY JUDGE COBLE EVEN THOUGH I BELIEVE THEY WERE UNJUST AND THE AMOUNT WAS INCORRECT AS EVIDENT IN THE PROOF PROVIDED YESTERDAY BUT I ALSO WANT TO REMIND THE COURT THAT I'VE BEEN UNDER APPEAL BOND SINCE SEPTEMBER 1 PAYING THE COURT UNDER JUDGE MICHAEL SCOTT'S ORDER SINCE THEN EVERY MONTH WAS NO PROBLEMS THE ONLY REASON WHY WE ARE HERE IS BECAUSE I FILED A MOTION IN HIS COURT FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THOSE CONDITIONS AND IT WAS NEVER HEARD I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHY JUDGE COBLE WAS WHO HEARD THAT MOTION I ASSUMED IT WOULD GO BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COURT THAT SET IT !

JUDGE COBLE'S ONLY INVOLVEMENT BEFORE HE HEARD THE CASE ON JANUARY 15TH FOR A NEW APPEAL BOND BASED ON YOUR RULING IS BECAUSE I FILED AN INFORMER PAPPAS AND NOTHING MORE AND YOU LEGALLY DENIED THAT I ONLY MAKE \$880 A MONTH AND THAT'S THE ONLY REASON WHY HE WAS INVOLVED.. I BELIEVE he also errors in the amount determination determining that I was responsible for the full rent of 996 based on the housing authorities retraction of their portion in January

I provided photo evidence to you in the court yesterday fairly showing that the reason for the housing authority withdrawing their money was nothing to do with me and everything to do with the apartment complex and their negligence

Lastly I also want to remind the court of the email from Chief of social work Goodwill Inc satoya t Jackson not only showing that the appeal Bond conditions were trying to be paid they also went to the court and tried to pay with a credit card because of the lack and negligence of the apartment complex but they were trying to be paid well before the 1st

I can't wait till we get to have oral arguments hopefully sometime soon in front of you guys because you'll understand that I am clearly the victim and judge cobles grounds for issuing the writ of ejectment for violations of appeal bond conditions CLEARLY DOES NOT MEET THE RULE OF LAW AND I TRULY BELIEVE IT'S BECAUSE HE MADE THEM WITHOUT GETTING MY SIDE AND WITHOUT THE PROPER EVIDENCE I.E THE EMAIL FROM GOODWILL AND SO FORTH IN DOING SUCH AND NOT LETTING ME ANSWER THE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ME OF THOSE VIOLATIONS HE VIOLATED MY RIGHTS TO FACE THOSE "ALLEGED" VIOLATIONS...

I APPRECIATE THIS COURT SO MUCH YOU'VE BEEN SUCH A VITAL PART OF MY LIFE AND MAKING SURE THAT IM PROTECTED AND AM CONFIDENT THAT THIS COURT WILL GRANT ME IMMEDIATE RELIEF

BUT I WILL ALSO SAY THAT I'M A LITTLE WORRIED BECAUSE OF MY PREVIOUS STATED CONDITIONS AND MY DEPENDENCY ON HAVING A ROOF OVER MY HEAD MY LIFE IS AGAIN IN THIS COURTS HANDS AND ALL THAT I OWN BECAUSE THERE'S SURELY NO WAY THAT I COULDN'T ADHERE TO ANY "24\* HOUR ORDER I CAN BARELY WALK I USE EVERY WALKING DEVICE AROUND THE HOUSE AND ALSO USE A WHEELCHAIR WHEN I GO LONG DISTANCES.. THANK U AGAIN FOR SAVING MY LIFE AND MY SERVICE ANIMALS LIFE AND ALLOWING ME TO HAVE PROPER JUSTICE AND NOT ALLOWING MY NEGLIGENT RETALIATORY LANDLORDS HAVE THEIR WAY WITH ME UNJUSTLY

..

SINCERELY  
KEVIN J SMITH  
(RET) A1C



if you're evicted in south carolin...



In South Carolina, while **there's no specific law mandating time consideration for disabilities during eviction proceedings**, a judge may grant extra time to move out based on individual circumstances, including health issues or other hardships, but there is no guarantee. [🔗](#)

Here's a more detailed explanation:

- **No Specific Law:** South Carolina law doesn't explicitly state that a judge must consider disabilities when determining the eviction timeline. [🔗](#)
- **Discretionary Power:** Judges have the discretion to consider individual circumstances, including disabilities or other hardships, when deciding on the eviction timeline. [🔗](#)
- **Attend the Hearing:** It's crucial to attend the eviction hearing and present your situation to the judge, even if you don't have a strong defense against the eviction. [🔗](#)
- **Request for Extra Time:** You can request extra time to move out, explaining your circumstances to the judge. [🔗](#)
- **Sheriff's Discretion:** After a judge orders an eviction, the sheriff can also exercise discretion in granting a delay in the dispossession of the tenant, especially if the tenant is ill or elderly. [🔗](#)
- **Landlords cannot evict or refuse to renew leases for disabled renters based on disability or in retaliation for requesting accommodations** [🔗](#)



Home



Search



Saved



Notifications