

MARCH 17, 2025

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2025

S.C. SUPREME COURT

EDDIE BLASH, 277603
Kershaw Correctional Inst.
4848 Gold Mine Hwy.
Kershaw, SC 29067

RE: EDDIE BLASH V STATE
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2024-001374

TO: THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
PATRICIA A. HOWARD, CLERK OF COURT
P.O. BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

CC: E.B.

MRS. PATRICIA HOWARD, CLERK OF COURT

TO WHOM MAY BE APPOINTED IN REVIEWING MY CASE
AND MAKING A RULING IN THE SUPREME COURT.
TO MAKE SURE I RECEIVE THE COURT'S RULING,

I'M FORWARDING MY NEW ADDRESS IN THIS LETTER, AFTER I'M GONNA BE
RELEASE ON APRIL 2, 2025.

EDDIE BLASH
10511 S.W. 50th STREET
COOPER CITY, FL 33328

MARCH 17, 2025.

RE: EDDIE BLASH V STATE

APPELLATE CASE No. 2024-001374

PRO SE Filing: ARGUMENT

Applicant alleges that PCR Hearing Judge Newman Erred in his Ruling, despite the facts PCR Counsel Mr. Michael ~~Litsey~~ Litsey presented sufficient evidence during the PCR Hearing held on October 19, 2022. Applicant Counsel Mr. Litsey argued that in Applicant's previous PCR Hearing held on March 14, 2017, his then Counsel Mr. Jonathan Waller did not object to the state bringing up Applicant's OUT OF STATE CRIMINAL HISTORY in Florida into evidence during that hearing, in which there were NO EVIDENCE of those convictions at resentencing.

Furthermore, the Attorney General Office granted Applicant his PCR hearing that were held on October 19, 2022, by ordering the lower court Florence County to appoint Applicant Counsel to represent him in his PCR Post-Conviction Relief Hearing which were held on October 19, 2022. Please check your record file, and the Attorney General letter from their office.

Petitioner claims he attempt to raise several issues at his ^(with Judge Russo) 2014 and 2017 PCR Hearing, in which his Counsel Jonathan Waller did argue issues during his 2017 PCR Hearing held on March 14, 2017. Furthermore, Judge Paul Burch ask petitioner had those issues were on your original PCR Application and petitioner stated to the Judge Burch "yes your Honor".

THEN JUDGE BURCH REPLY TO THE PETITIONER AND TO THE COURT, THAT HE WAS GONNA STOP THE PCR HEARING AT THIS TIME AND HAVE THE COURT REPORTER GO DOWNSTAIRS TO THE CLERK OF COURT OFFICE TO CHECK AND THEN HAD PETITIONER SENT BACK TO THE HOLDING AREA WHILE THEY GO LOOK AT PETITIONER ORIGINAL PCR APPLICATION. IT WAS THEN BETWEEN 35 TO 45 MINUTES BEFORE THEY HAD PETITIONER BROUGHT BACK INTO TO COURT ROOM. THEN JUDGE BURCH STATED TO THE PETITIONER AND TO THE COURT THAT PETITIONER WAS TELLING THE TRUTH ABOUT HIS ISSUES, BUT THEN THE JUDGE STATED THIS COURT IS NOT GOING TO REVISIT THOSE ISSUES. PETITIONER THEN ASK HIS COUNSEL WALLER, WHY IS THAT THE JUDGE IS NOT GOING TO HEAR THEM, THAT'S NOT FAIR THEN HIS COUNSEL WALLER STATED TO HIM YOU JUST HEARD WHAT THE JUDGE SAID AND THERE IS NOTHING HE CAN DO.

PETITIONER CLAIMS THE STATE HAS BEEN BIASED AND PERJUDICED IN THERE EFFORT TO DISMISSED ALL OF HIS STRONG ISSUES HE HAS ATTEMPT TO RAISE BY CLAIMING PETITIONER PCR APPLICATION WERE UNTIMELY FILE, AND CLAIMS PCR APPLICATION SUCCESSIVE. IN WHICH CLEARLY WAS NOT ACCORDING TO THE RECORD OF MY FILING TO THE COURT AND BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE THAT GRANTED MY PCR HEARING HELD ON OCTOBER 19, 2022. PETITIONER ASK THIS COURT TO REVIEW THE FACTS AND DOCUMENTS, LETTERS TO BE PROVEN BY THIS COURT FOR RECONSIDERATION TO BE GRANTED BY THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT.

EDDIE BLASH 277603
Kershaw C.I. / MB-11
4848 Goldmine Hwy.
Kershaw, SC 29067

COLUMBIA SC 290

18 MAR 2025PM 4 L



RECEIVED

MAR 18 2025

KERSHAW CI
MAIL ROOM

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2025

S.C. SUPREME COURT

THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
PATRICIA A. HOWARD, CLERK OF COURT
P.O. BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

29211-133030

