

RECEIVED

Mar 24 2025

SC Court of Appeals

From: [John Fontana](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); megan@winslowlawyers.com; [John Fontana](#)
Subject: AnsTo3.13DefncyToPay50MotnFee
Date: Monday, March 24, 2025 11:58:12 PM

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

The State of South Carolina
In the Court of Appeals
(In the Supreme Court)

Case 2024-001825

Answer to 3.13.25 Deficiency Letter
To Pay \$50 Motion Filing Fee

I certify that I believe the motion filing fee is waived, as I've been granted in forma pauperis status, and so there's no deficiency to correct, contrary to what your 3.13.25 letter demanded. If it hasn't been granted, then the in forma pauperis motion is pending, so there are still no fees required.

On 10/24/24 I filed for in forma pauperis status. On 11/4 I filed a motion to stay. I filed motions on 12/2 and 12/3, which the court ruled on 1/8/25, with no mention of filing fees being required. I didn't pay filing fees on any of these motions, and it was okay. I even made a motion as late as 1/21, and no fee was required. I don't see anything that would change that now. Please reconsider.

Please note, I corrected a previous deficiency by submitting written agreement on how to pay for the transcript. At a later point in time, I cancelled the transcript order. If that is deficient, then the clock should reset, with new notice sent me. However, I don't think it's deficient, as I showed written agreement, as requested.

Also, please remove from the public index a bill I'd sent you when I applied for in forma pauperis. I've made this request many times previously.

Thank you.
March 24, 2025

John Fontana
Pro se appellant