

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

ANTRONE JONES# 286594

VS.

APPELLANT APPOINTED COUNSEL  
CHRISTOPHER D. FLORIAN

CASE#  
2013-00169

JULY 23, 2013

: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

PETITION FOR REHEARING

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
6TH AMENDMENT

\*THE ACCUSED SHALL ENJOY THE RIGHT TO HAVE EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE  
COUNSEL.  
APPOINTED COUNSEL CHRISTOPHER D. FLORIAN HAS VIOLATED THE S.C.  
RULES OF COURT rule 1.3 DILIGENCE, A LAWYER SHALL ACT REASONABLE  
DILIGENCE AND PROMPTNESS IN REPRESENTING A CLIENT. SECTION  
1. A LAWYER SHOULD PURSUE A MATTER ON BEHALF OF A CLIENT DESPITE  
opposition, obstruction or personal inconvenience to the lawyer  
and take whatever lawful and ethical measures are required  
to vindicate a client's cause or endeavor.  
I APPEALED THE ABOVE CAPTIONED CASE TO THIS COURT OF APPEALS  
DUE TO THE DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
THE HONORABLE S. PHILLIP LENSKI. I WAS APPOINTED CHRISTOPHER  
D. FLORIAN AS COUNSEL, THIS APPELLANT COURT SENT  
CORESPONDENCE TO MR. FLORIAN TWICE FOR HIM TO PROVIDE  
PROOF OF SERVICE, A PROOF OF SERVICE FOR THE MOTION TO PROCEED  
IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND TO TIMELY SERVE AND FILE THE APPELLANT  
INITIAL BRIEF AND DESIGNATION OF MATTER, AS REQUIRED BY RULE  
203, 208 AND 204 OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA APPELLANT COURT RULES.  
THIS MATTER WAS DISMISSED, AND THE REMITTITUR WAS SENT  
AS PROVIDED BY RULE 221(b), SCACR.

RECEIVED

JUL 26 2013

SC Court of Appeals

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

THE HONORABLE S. PHILLIP LENSKI WAS THE PRESIDING JUDGE OF  
THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT AND HE DISMISSED CASE  
#13-ALJ-04-0011-AP

RESPECTFULLY  
SUBMITTED,

SIGNATURE

*Antrone Jones*

NOTARY OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA  
TRACY CINICOLA  
Tracy Cinicola

EXPIRES

11/8/2023



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1015 SUMTER STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
www.sccourts.org

June 20, 2013

Antrone Maurice Jones, 286594  
T.C.I. SB-282  
P.O. Box 252  
Turbeville SC 29162

Re: Antrone Jones v. SCDC  
Appellate Case No. 2013-001069

Dear Counsel:

Upon reviewing your motion to proceed in forma pauperis, the following deficiency or deficiencies have been noted under the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), and any deficiency must be corrected within ten (10) days of the date of this letter:

- A proof of service has not been provided for the motion to proceed in forma pauperis. You must serve and file a proof of service substantially in the format shown by Form 7 in Appendix C to part II of the SCACR.

Very truly yours,

*V. Claire Allen, Deputy*

CLERK

**RECEIVED**

JUL 26 2013

**SC Court of Appeals**

cc: Christopher D. Florian

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Antrone Jones, Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2013-001069

The Honorable S. Phillip Lenski  
Trial Court Case No. 2013ALJ040011AP

---

## ORDER

---

Appellant has failed to provide a proof of service for the notice of appeal, a proof of service for the motion to proceed in forma pauperis, and to timely serve and file the appellant's initial brief and designation of matter, as required by Rules 203, 208 and 240 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Accordingly, this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

FOR THE COURT

BY V. Claire Allen, Deputy  
CLERK

FILED

SF 7/16/13

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Antrone Maurice Jones, 286594  
Christopher D. Florian

RECEIVED

JUL 26 2013

SC Court of Appeals