

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

Mar 27 2025

SC Court of Appeals

**Sam Investment Properties, LLC, n/k/a Archangel Investments, LLC,
Respondent,**

v.

Stephanie Hatton and Brandon Deubell, Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2025-000445

Circuit Court Case No. 2022-CP-26-08003

**MOTION TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR IN APPELLANTS' MOTION PURSUANT
TO SCACR Rule 240**

COMES NOW the Appellants, Stephanie Hatton and Brandon Deubell, and respectfully move this Honorable Court, pursuant to Rule 240 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), to correct a clerical error in the Appellants' motion filed with this Court on March 24, 2025. In support of this motion, Appellants state as follows:

1. **Background:** On March 21, 2025, Appellants filed a Motion for Relief under Rule 60 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure with the Circuit Court of Horry County, seeking relief from a judgment entered on February 4, 2025. The motion was duly stamped by the clerk of the trial court as filed on March 21, 2025. A true and correct copy of the stamped motion is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
2. **Error in Appellate Motion:** On March 24, 2025, Appellants filed a motion with this Court appealing the aforementioned judgment and referencing the Rule 60 motion. In that motion, Appellants inadvertently stated that the Rule 60 motion was filed on March 22, 2025, rather than the correct date of March 21, 2025, as evidenced by the trial court's time-stamp.
3. **Basis for Correction:** The misstatement of the filing date as March 22, 2025, instead of March 21, 2025, constitutes a clerical error arising from oversight. The correct date is material to ensuring the accuracy of the record on appeal and confirming compliance with applicable deadlines. The stamped record from the trial court conclusively establishes the filing date as March 21, 2025.
4. **Authority:**
 - Pursuant to **SCACR Rule 240**, Appellants may file a motion to address procedural matters, including the correction of clerical errors in filings submitted to this Court.
 - The principle under **SCRCR Rule 60(a)** supports the correction of clerical mistakes in court records arising from oversight or omission, and this Court has the authority to amend its own filings to ensure accuracy during the pendency of this appeal.

5. **Request for Relief:** Appellants respectfully request that this Court correct the clerical error in the Appellants' motion filed on March 24, 2025, by amending the record to reflect that the Rule 60 motion was filed with the trial court on March 21, 2025, rather than March 22, 2025. This correction will ensure the accuracy of the appellate record and prevent confusion.
6. **No Prejudice:** This correction does not alter the substantive rights of any party, as it merely aligns the stated date with the official record stamped by the trial court on March 21, 2025.

WHEREFORE, Appellants pray that this Honorable Court grant this motion and issue an order correcting the clerical error in the Appellants' motion to reflect the accurate filing date of the Rule 60 motion as March 21, 2025, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 27th day of March, 2025,

Stephanie Hatton S/ Stephanie Hatton (843) 780-1926

Brandon Deubell S/ Brandon Deubell (843) 213-8262

Appellants Pro Se

2379 Clandon Dr,

Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, 29579

RECEIVED

Mar 27 2025

SC Court of Appeals

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 27th day of March, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion to Correct Clerical Error was served upon the following party by [method of service, e.g., U.S. Mail, postage prepaid]:

Jay G. Anderson

(843) 545-6001

Attorney for Respondent

4447 Highway 17 Business, Suite 101

Post Office Box 969

Murrells Inlet, S.C. 29576

Stephanie Hatton s/ Stephanie Hatton

Brandon Deubell s/ Brandon Deubell

ATTACHMENT:

- Exhibit A: Copy of the Rule 60 Motion for Relief, stamped by the trial court as filed on March 21,2025