

Kellum W Allen
PLAINTIFF(S)

Ann Marie Watson
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Please see the attachment on Page 2 below.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 03/24/2025 .

RECEIVED
Mar 31 2025
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

This matter is before the Court pursuant to two Motions filed by the Parties requesting this Court to reconsider it's Order filed on January 27, 2025.

Regarding Defendant Ann Marie Watson's Motion to Reconsider the Court's Dismissal of Defendant's Fourth Counterclaim alleging violations of the South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act, the Court hereby Denies the Defendant's Motion.

Regarding Plaintiff Kellum Allen's Motion to Reconsider the Court's decision not to dismiss the Defendant's Third Counterclaim alleging Professional Negligence, the Court hereby Denies the Plaintiff's Motion.

It is so ordered.



Richland Common Pleas

Case Caption: Kellum W Allen vs Ann Marie Watson

Case Number: 2024CP4000827

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

Patrick C. Fant, III