

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Shaneeke Stroman, Appellant.


Appellate Case No. 2024-002077

---

## ORDER

---

On March 3, 2025, Respondent filed a motion to dismiss the appeal, arguing the order on appeal is interlocutory and not immediately appealable. Appellant filed a return. After careful consideration, we dismiss the appeal as interlocutory and not immediately appealable. *See State v. Wilson*, 387 S.C. 597, 599, 693 S.E.2d 923, 924 (2010) ("An appeal ordinarily may be pursued only after a party has obtained a final judgment." (quoting *Hagood v. Sommerville*, 362 S.C. 191, 194, 607 S.E.2d 707, 708 (2005))); *State v. Robinson*, 287 S.C. 173, 173, 337 S.E.2d 204, 204 (1985) ("A criminal defendant may not appeal until final judgment. Judgment in a criminal case is not final until sentence is imposed." (citation omitted)). The remittitur will be sent as required by Rule 221(b), SCACR.<sup>1</sup>



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

---

<sup>1</sup> In light of this court's dismissal of the appeal, we decline to consider the requests for relief Appellant makes in her return.

Shaneeka Stroman  
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire  
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

**FILED**  
**Apr 08 2025**

---