

Bryan Gray et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Victor Rivera et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter came before the court on the Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Compelling Arbitration filed February 28, 2025. The defendant asks the court to reconsider its order filed February 18, 2025. The court timely received a copy of the Motion for Reconsideration. After consideration of the record, as well as the various interests balanced by the Court at the time of the ruling, the Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Compelling Arbitration is heard and is respectfully denied. "The purpose of Rule 59(e), SCRPC, to alter or amend the judgment is to request the trial judge to reconsider matters properly encompassed in a decision on the merits." Arnold v. State, 309 S.C. 157, 172,420 S.E. 2d 834,842 (1992). "A party may wish to file such a motion when she believes the court has misunderstood, failed to fully consider, or perhaps

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 03/06/2025 .

RECEIVED
Apr 07 2025
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

failed to rule on an argument or issue, and the party wishes for the court to reconsider or rule on it. A party must file such a motion when an issue or argument has been raised but not ruled on, in order to preserve it for appellate review." Elam v. South Carolina Dept. of Transp., 361 S.C. 9, 24, 602 S.E. 2d 772, 780 (2004) (emphasis in original). "A party cannot use a motion to reconsider to present an issue he could have raised prior to judgment but did not." Anderson Memorial Hosp., Inc., v. Hagen, 313 S.C. 389, 434 S.E. 2d 268 (1993; See also Arnold v. State, 309 S.C. 157, 172-173m 420 S.E. 2d 834, 842 (1992). This motion is disposed of without the necessity of a hearing and decided on the record and briefs. AND IT IS SO ORDERED!



Dorchester Common Pleas

Case Caption: Bryan Gray , plaintiff, et al VS The Coosaw Creek Owners Association Inc , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2024CP1801170

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

S. Bryan Doby, Circuit Court Judge, No. 2784