

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF Greenville  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE  
CASE NO. 2022CP2301702

James Loper et al  
PLAINTIFF(S)

John Dolan  
DEFENDANT(S)

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter comes before the Court by way of a Motion for Reconsideration of the Order of February 14, 2025 denying Defendant's right for an additional hearing on his Motion to Set Aside Default and Granting Judgement by Default in favor of the Plaintiff. The Motion was filed on February 20, 2025. After review of the record and consideration of the arguments presented in Defendant's Motion, the Court finds that no basis has been presented to Alter or Amend the Order of February 14, 2025 and Defendant's Motion is denied. Further, the Court has determined that no additional hearing or oral argument was needed to address this Motion. It is so Ordered.

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 02/21/2025 .

RECEIVED

APR 10 2025

SC Court of Appeals

**NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL**

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.

---



Greenville Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** James Loper , plaintiff, et al vs. Joshua S Fernandez , defendant, et al  
**Case Number:** 2022CP2301702  
**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ Honorable Perry H. Gravely, #2755

Electronically signed on 2025-02-21 13:57:30 page 3 of 3