



534, 536 (Ct. App. 1994) (holding there was “no merit in Pollard's assertion that the circuit court committed reversible error in denying her motion to alter or amend the judgment under Rule 59(e) SCRCF, without first conducting a hearing or allowing [the parties] ‘to fully brief’ the issues raised in [the] motion”).

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

[JUDICIAL E-SIGNATURE PAGE TO FOLLOW]



Richland Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** George Gallo vs Autumnwood Crossing Lp , defendant, et al

**Case Number:** 2022CP4005305

**Type:** Order/Other

So Ordered

s/ Daniel Coble, 2774