

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

In the Matter of Nathan Chambers, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2025-000276

ORDER

On March 27, 2025, Appellant filed a pro se motion to relieve his counsel and represent himself on appeal. On April 7, 2025, the State filed a return, opposing relieving counsel. Appellant may proceed pro se in this matter only after knowingly and intelligently waiving the right to counsel. *See Faretta v. California*, 422 U.S. 806 (1975); *State v. Brewer*, 328 S.C. 117, 492 S.E.2d 97 (1997). We take this opportunity to warn Appellant that this court requires pro se parties to fully comply with all applicable rules. Appellant is certain to be unlearned in other aspects of the law as well. Representation by an attorney trained in the law would be highly beneficial, and we strongly encourage Appellant to retain representation or seek the appointment of counsel.

After considering this information, Appellant shall notify this court whether he wishes to proceed pro se within thirty days of the date of this order. If Appellant notifies this court that he wishes to proceed pro se despite the dangers and disadvantages of doing so, this court will then determine if it will allow counsel to be relieved. *See State v. Roberts*, 364 S.C. 583, 614 S.E.2d 626 (2005) (no right to proceed pro se on appeal). If Appellant fails to notify this court within thirty days, counsel will continue to provide representation in this matter.



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina
cc:
Nathan Chambers
John Edward Chambers, Jr., Esquire

FILED
Apr 21 2025

Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire