

RECEIVED

APR 29 2025

Attorney Dunn

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Refused to present physical evidence to the courts which is my right and choice to do so.

Refused to get me a polygraph claiming it would not stand in court, but cleared others who were questioned in the case who were polygraphed but deny me.

Refused to file a motion to have Judge Hall removed from the case cause of Conflict Of Interest and can be proven by past court transcripts

Refused to file motion for Change Of Venue due to all false statements given to the public that would sway a jury to a automatic guilty verdict.

Attorney Dunn

Refused to consult with a Pathologist before I went to court, but claims he consulted one after I was sentenced to prison which makes no sense.

Failed to ~~present~~ present my whole motion of discovery.

Forced me into plea by telling me take the plea or else get life and that I'll for sure loose at trial.

Refused my request to talk to a investigator

Attorney Dunn and Solicitor Matthew Shelton blocked my mail from going out to the FBI which is a Federal offense.

Attorney Dunn

Deprived me on the chance
of being charged of a lesser
offense of Voluntary Manslaughter,
Armed Robbery, 1st Degree
Burglary and Possession of
A Weapon see State vs. Ted E
Abney.

Deprivation of Rights Under
Color of Law

Conspiracy Against Rights

Deception, Trickery, Forced Plea

Failure to properly challenge
padded case file,

Failure to suppress Video
Evidence

Failed to present Directed
Verdict issue.

Attorney Dunn

Inadequate Representations
see Austin v. State

Unethical Conduct

Sixth Amendment Violation
Fourteenth Amendment Violation

Wilful destruction conceals,
tampers with, withholds
physical evidence Code of law
S.C. 1976 17-28-350

Brady Act Violation
See Harold Gibson v. S.C.
Counsel Misconduct
Ineffective Counsel

Conflict of Interest can be
proven if transcripts from
10/2/2018 and 9/3/2019 are
checked Judge Daniel Hall

Solicitor Shelton

Selective Prosecution, but claim Hand of One Hand of all, but myself and other two suspects were not charged with the same charges, but then try to claim Hand of One Hand of All

Vindictive Prosecution
False Factual Basis
Criminal Racketeering by
Shelton and York County
Sherriff Dept.

Defamation Of Character

Shelton admitted in court that I was not armed and Virginia Ratcliffe statement confirmed that I was not armed but still charged me with Armed Robbery and Poss. of a weapon

Solicitor Shelton
And
York County Sheriff Dept.

Perjury and subornation
of perjury Code 1976
16-9-10 misleading and false
statements by Shelton
and Sheriff Dept about the
crime to make it look worse
than it was in order to
sway a jury into a guilty
verdict. Video interview of
victims will prove they were
not beaten, no photos or
medical attention. Also if
they were beaten why were
Assault charges never filed.
All of this is a lie by the
state and another reason
that I had every right
to a Change of Venue.

Solicitor Shelton padded my
file to make my record look
worse.

First Degree Burglary
Hearsay Rule 802
Elements of this charge is
night time hours and being
armed. Crime happened
at 5:30 p.m. before dark
and Shelton admitted in
court I was not armed
and Ratcliffe's statement
confirms this. State v. Simmons

The First Degree Burglary,
Armed Robbery and Poss.
of A Weapon should have
been dropped to lesser
charges just like Ratcliffe's
charges were dropped to
lesser charges.

U.S. v. Keith Andre McAllister
State has to prove without
a shadow of doubt that a
robber was armed. Words
alone are not sufficient to
support conviction of Armed
Robbery

Voluntary Manslaughter

Element of this charge has to be death by physical and Intentional, but since the victim died 21 days after the crime from health problems she already had and NOT physical injury the charge should only be Involuntary Manslaughter Unintentional

There were so many laws broken and crimes committed by Dunn, Shelton and the Sheriff Dept. Anyone who would take time to look into all of this would see I'm telling the truth. I requested PCR Attorney Burriss to raise all of these issues but she refused to do so.

①

Pyle v. Kansas, 317 U.S. 213, 215 - 216
Violation of Due Process
Suppression of Evidence

Petitioner's papers are ineptly drawn, but they do set forth allegations that his imprisonment resulted from perjured testimony knowingly used by the state authorities to obtain his conviction and from the deliberate suppression by those authorities of evidence favorable to him. These allegations sufficiently charge a deprivation of rights guaranteed by the Federal Constitution.

6th and 14th Amendment violations

Attorney Dunn refused to present physical evidence. Unreasonableness of counsel's failure to investigate are more than sufficient to establish Sixth Amendment violation and to entitle respondent to new sentencing proceeding.

~~Code 1976 16-9-10~~

Perjury and subornation of perjury, misleading false statements

Willful destruction conceals, tampers with physical evidence Code of law S.C. 1976 S.C. 17-28-350

Violation of Due Process

Suppression of Evidence

Brady v. Maryland

Pyle v. Kansas

Allegations that his imprisonment resulted from perjured testimony knowingly used by the state authorities to obtain his conviction and from the deliberate suppression by those same authorities of evidence favorable to him. These allegations sufficiently charge a deprivation of rights guaranteed by the Federal Constitution and if proven would entitle petitioner to be released from his present custody

Color of law Deprivation of rights

State and Federal Violation of constitutional rights