

State OF South Carolina  
County OF Lexington

The state, Respondent

v.

Tamar Javeell Nance,  
Appellant

In South Carolina Court  
OF Appeal,  
Case No, 2023-000172

Motion For Extension OF time  
to file motion to Reinstate  
Direct Appeal / Rehearing

Appellant Tamar Javeell Nance move before this  
Honorable with a "motion For Extension of time  
to file motion to Reinstate Direct Appeal/  
Rehearing Pursuant to SCACR, Rule 221 on the  
grounds that.

RECEIVED  
MAY 05 2025  
SC Court of Appeals

1.) Appellant recieve the remittitur of his  
Direct appeal on April 18, 2025 date April 11, 2025.

2.) Appellant arrived at Kershaw Correction on  
April 9, 2025 From Lee County Correction.  
Appellant contends that since he has been at  
Kershaw Corrections the law library is not open  
The hours required by policy and when it is open  
The computers has been down since he has been  
here. Appellant also contends that he has not been  
issued a tablet yet so that he can utilize  
The law library on the tablet. Therefore  
Appellant does not have the resources  
at this moment to adequately prepare  
his motion to Reinstate Direct appeal / Rehearing.

3.) Appellants, Appellate Counsel Filed an Anders Brief on his direct appeal on September 7, 2023 and raised one meritorious issue that was preserved for appeal, which is as followed:

"Did the trial Judge Err in admitting video clips from the responding officers's body camera that contained inadmissible hearsay?"

4.) On March 26, 2025 the South Carolina Courts of Appeals dismissed appellant, Direct appeal with one sentence without ruling on the merits simply stating per curiam: Dismissed after consideration of Appellant's pro se brief and review pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.

5.) Appellant contends that because his lawyer filed an Anders Brief does not deprive Appellant of the safeguards guaranteed to him under the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment for the court to conduct a full examination of the proceeding to ensure the Appellant Counsel examination was sound and receive a ruling on the "merits"

OF the actual issue raised in the Anders Brief. These Protections and Safeguards and other are what Anders v. California Was design to Protect.

For these reasons Appellant respectfully request an extention on time to file a motion to Reinstate Direct Appeal/ Rehearing to state in Further detail To the Courts as to why his direct appeal should be reinstated.

Date 4-24-2025

Respectfully submitted  
Lawyer Nance  
Tamar J Nance #360895

# Certificate of Service

RECEIVED

MAY 05 2025

SC Court of Appeals

I Tamar J. Nance do Certify/SCC  
have mailed a true and correct copy  
of motion for extension of time  
to file motion to Reinstate Direct  
appeal / Rehearing on this April  
day of 24 2025 with adequate  
postage attached thereto.

Attorney General of South Carolina  
Alan Wilson

P.O. Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211

Tamar J. Nance  
#360895

# Notice

April-24-2025

Dear Honorable Clerk,

Enclosed Please Find one original and one copy of  
"Motion For Extension OF time to File motion to  
Reinstate Direct Appeal / Rehearing" stamp  
File please send copy to me.

Attorney General of South Carolina  
Alan Wilson  
P.O. Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211

With kind regards,  
Tamer J Nance  
Tamer J Nance #360895

**RECEIVED**

MAY 05 2025

SC Court of Appeals

Tamar J Nance #360895  
Kershaw Correctional Inst  
4848 Goldmine Hwy  
Kershaw S.C. 29067

COLUMBIA SC 290

30 APR 2025 PM 2 L



FOREVER / USA

RECEIVED

MAY 05 2025

SC Court of Appeals

South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED

APR 29 2025

KERSHAW  
MAIL CENTER

29211-162929

