

RECEIVED

May 02 2025

SC Court of Appeals

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Apr 03 4:15 PM - HORRY - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2023CP2602424

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF HORRY  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2023-CP-26-02424

MOTHER DOE AND FATHER DOE, Individually  
and as the Parents and Natural Guardians of JANE  
DOE, a Minor

PALMETTO PRESCHOOL & LEARNING  
CENTER, LLC

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: The Court.

Attorney for :  Plaintiff  Defendant  
or  
 Self-Represented Litigant

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.  See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter came before the Court on Defendant Palmetto Preschool & Learning Center, LLC's Motion to Reconsider, filed on March 24, 2025, which asks this Court to reconsider its ruling in its Order dated March 14, 2025 which granted Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order. The Court timely received a copy of the Motion for Reconsideration.

"The purpose of Rule 59(e), SCRPC, to alter or amend the judgment is to request the trial judge to reconsider the matters properly encompassed in a decision on the merits." *Arnold v. State*, 309 S.C. 157, 172, 420 S.E.2d 834, 842 (1992). "A party may wish to file such motion when she believes the court has misunderstood, failed to fully consider, or perhaps failed to rule on an argument or issue, and the party wishes for the court to reconsider or rule on it. A party *must* file such a motion when an issue or argument has been raised but not rule on, in order to preserve it for appellate review." *Elam v. South Carolina Dept. of Transp.*, 361 S.C. 9, 24, 602 S.E.2d 772, 780 (2004) (emphasis in original). "A party cannot use a motion to reconsider to present an issue he could have raised prior to judgment but did not." *Anderson Memorial Hosp., Inc., v. Hagen*, 313 S.C. 389, 434 S.E.2d 268 (1993); *See also Arnold*, 309 S.C. at 172-173, 420 S.E.2d at 842.







Horry Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Mother Doe , plaintiff, et al VS Palmetto Preschool & Learning Center LLC  
**Case Number:** 2023CP2602424  
**Type:** Order/Other

And It Is So Ordered!

s/Dale E. Van Slambrook S.C. Circuit Court Judge  
#2781