

RECEIVED

Jun 02 2025

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from Oconee County
Honorable Danny Singleton, Probate Court Judge
Appellate Case No. 2024-001241

IN THE MATTER OF JASON M. BOYLE,

Appellant.

MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL

Respondent (“the State”), through its undersigned counsel, would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

At present, Appellant Jason Boyle’s appeal is currently pending before this Court. The underlying matter arises from a series of contempt findings. Between May 29, 2024, and June 17, 2024, Danny Singleton, Judge of Probate, issued several orders holding Boyle in criminal contempt.¹ Boyle appealed to the Oconee County Court of Common Pleas on June 14, 2024. Boyle was released pursuant to good behavior, and a gag order was issued prohibiting Boyle from publicly commenting on his case while it was pending on appeal. Subsequently, while Boyle’s appeal from the probate judge’s contempt orders remained pending, Judge R. Lawton McIntosh, Circuit Court Judge, found Boyle in contempt pursuant to the violation of the gag order. The appeal now pending before this Court was initiated through three separate pro se notices of appeal or amendments to notices of appeal. Those notices of appeal relate to (1) the

¹ Judge Singleton’s contempt orders are attached as “Attachment A”

order of release; (2) the subsequent violation thereof; and (3) the circuit court’s appellate order which affirmed the original contempt findings.²

On June 14, 2024, the Appellant, Jason Boyle, received an order of release conditioned upon the requirement that he maintain good behavior. As part of the good behavior requirement, Boyle was to refrain from alcohol use, drug use, criminal activity, and any contact with the Oconee County probate judge. Additionally, the court issued a gag order prohibiting Boyle from speaking publicly about the case.

Boyle filed a Notice of Appeal with this Court on July 25, 2024. On August 12, 2024, this Court issued an order dismissing Boyle’s appeal, finding the order was not immediately appealable. Subsequently, on August 26, 2024, Boyle filed a motion to reinstate, arguing that the order infringed on his “substantial rights.” With his motion, Boyle attached a certificate of service that did not include the Tenth Judicial Circuit Solicitor or the South Carolina Attorney General.

On September 16, 2024, Judge McIntosh found Boyle in contempt for violating the gag order as a condition of his bond. Notably though, Judge McIntosh’s order holding Boyle in contempt did not fully and finally resolve the issue of contempt and, instead, specifically stated the court would “refrain from issuing sanctions presently until the matter is concluded at the Circuit Court level.” On September 21, 2024, Boyle filed a motion to amend his Notice of Appeal to include Judge McIntosh’s non-final contempt order. On November 14, 2024, this Court issued an order reinstating Boyle’s appeal as far as the circuit court order of contempt was concerned. With his motion, Boyle attached a certificate of service that did not include the Tenth

² Records for Jason Boyle, Oconee County Tenth Judicial Circuit Public Index, <https://publicindex.sccourts.org/oconee/publicindex/>

Judicial Circuit Solicitor or the South Carolina Attorney General as a representative of the State of South Carolina. Instead, Boyle served (1) Jim Logan (previously opposing counsel), (2) the Oconee County Detention center, (3) the Oconee County Sheriff's Department, and (4) the Oconee County Administrator. On February 3, 2025, Boyle filed his Initial Brief of Appellant, which he likewise did not serve upon the State.

On February 7, 2025, Judge McIntosh issued a final order affirming the probate court's finding of contempt. The order vacated the remainder of Boyle's sentence to time served and dismissed all other pending motions. On February 14, 2025, Boyle filed a second Notice of Appeal which served (1) Jim Logan (previously opposing counsel), (2) the Oconee County Detention center, (3) the Oconee County Sheriff's Department, and (4) the Oconee County Administrator. Again, Boyle did not serve the notice of appeal on the State of South Carolina or the South Carolina Attorney General as a representative of the State of South Carolina. On March 31, 2025, Boyle filed a motion to disqualify counsel and clarify parties. Boyle requested clarification on whether the State is an adverse party. On April 18, 2025, the State sent a letter requesting the Court of Appeals add the State as the respondent. On May 30, 2025, The Court of Appeals granted the motion to clarify the State as the proper respondent and amended the caption to: In the Matter of Jason Michael Boyle, Appellant.

REASONS WHY APPELLANT'S VARIOUS APPEALS SHOULD BE DISMISSED

At present, Boyle's case is currently pending before this Court. To date, he has filed three separate notices of appeal or amendments to his notices of appeal.

I.

As to the first appeal, it was an appeal of an order imposing a bond condition. On August 12, 2024, this Court issued an order correctly dismissing Boyle's June 14, 2024, appeal finding the order was not properly appealable³. See State v. Hill, 314 S.C. 330, 331, 444 S.E.2d 255, 256 (1994) (instructing an order which admits a defendant to bail does not involve the merits or affect a substantial right that determines or discontinues the action and, thus, is not immediately appealable); See also Dorn v. Cohen, 421 S.C. 517, 520, 809 S.E.2d 53, 54 (2017) ("because the probate court's order . . . was not a final order, the order was not immediately appealable"); Parsons v. State, 289 S.C. 542, 542, 347 S.E.2d 504, 504 (1986) ("A criminal defendant may not appeal until sentenced. Therefore, the order denying bail was not directly appealable.").

II.

As to the second appeal, it was an appeal of a non-final circuit court order holding Boyle in contempt but explicitly refraining from imposing any sentence at that time. Additionally, Boyle's notice of appeal from that order, filed September 21, 2024, was not properly served on the State⁴. Pursuant to Rule 203(b)(1) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, the notice of appeal in an appeal from a court of common pleas proceeding must be served on all respondents within thirty days of receipt of written notice of entry of the order or judgment being appealed. See Rule 203(b)(1), SCACR ("A notice of appeal shall be served on all respondents within thirty

³ The relevant Order of Release is attached as "Attachment B"

⁴ Boyle's proof of service is attached as "Attachment C"

(30) days after receipt of written notice of entry of the order or judgment.”). The requirements for the service of the notice of appeal are jurisdictional in nature. See Conner v. City of Forest Acres, 348 S.C. 454, 461, 560 S.E.2d 606, 609 (2002) (“Service of the notice of intent to appeal is a jurisdictional requirement, and the Court has no authority to extend or expand the time in which the notice of intent to appeal must be served.”). Critically, if an appellant fails to properly serve the notice of appeal within the mandated time limits, the appellate court does not possess jurisdiction to consider the appeal and has no discretion or authority to ignore or excuse a failure to comply with the mandatory service requirements. See Elam v. South Carolina Dep’t of Transp., 361 S.C. 9, 14-15, 602 S.E.2d 772, 775 (2004) (“The requirement of service of the notice of appeal is jurisdictional, i.e., if a party misses the deadline, the appellate court lacks jurisdiction to consider the appeal and has no authority or discretion to ‘rescue’ the delinquent party by extending or ignoring the deadline for service of the notice.”). Correspondingly, a notice of appeal from a criminal contempt conviction must be served on the State. See In re Martel, 444 S.C. 517, 520, 909 S.E.2d 402, 404 (2024).

Here, Boyle served four parties with his notice of appeal but failed to serve either the Tenth Judicial Circuit Solicitor or the South Carolina Attorney General as a representative of the State of South Carolina. Boyle’s appeal concerns the imposition of criminal contempt, which is a matter involving an important and compelling interest of the State. See Judice v. Vail, 430 U.S. 327, 335 (1977) (“A State’s interest in the contempt process . . . is surely an important interest. . . . The contempt power lies at the core of the administration of the State’s judicial system[.]”); Bloom v. Illinois, 391 U.S. 194, 201 (1968) (“[C]riminal contempt is a crime in every fundamental respect[.]”). Accordingly, this Court lacks jurisdiction and must dismiss this appeal.

Martel, 444 S.C. at 520, 909 S.E.2d at 404; City of Forest Acres, 348 S.C. 454, 461, 560 S.E.2d 606, 609 (“service of the notice of intent to appeal is a jurisdictional requirement.”).

Moreover, notwithstanding any issues with the service of the notice of appeal, Boyle’s appeal of the circuit court judge’s order was premature when filed due to the lack of final judgment. Ordinarily, an appeal may only be pursued after a party has obtained a final judgment or has otherwise satisfied the terms of Section 14-3-330. State v. Wilson, 387 S.C. 597, 599, 693 S.E.2d 923, 924 (2010); State v. Robinson, 287 S.C. 173, 174, 337 S.E.2d 204, 204 (1985); see Berman v. United States, 302 U.S. 211, 212 (1937) (“Final judgment in a criminal case means sentence. The sentence is the judgment.”). Consequently, a defendant may not appeal until after a sentence has been imposed. See State v. Timmons, 68 S.C. 258, 259, 47 S.E. 140, 141 (1904) (“[A] defendant in a criminal case cannot appeal except from the final sentence imposed by the Court.”); see also S.C. Code Ann. § 18-3-30 (“The appellant, within ten days after sentence, shall file notice of appeal with the clerk of circuit court and shall serve notice of appeal upon the magistrate who tried the case and upon the designated agent for the prosecuting agency or attorney who prosecuted the charge, stating the grounds upon which the appeal is founded.”).

The order specifically stated the court would “refrain from issuing sanctions presently until the matter is concluded at the Circuit Court level.” The court never imposed a sentence and accordingly had not imposed a final judgment at the time Boyle appealed that order. In fact the court noted “it’s not a final ruling” and that Boyle could appeal when a “punishment” was imposed⁵. Thus, Boyle’s appeal may not be pursued in this court and should be dismissed.

⁵ An excerpt of the pertinent transcript is attached as “Attachment D”

III.

As to the third appeal, it was an appeal of the circuit court's February 7, 2025, order affirming the probate court's finding of contempt. Significantly, that February 2025 order was the order that finally resolved Boyle's case at the Circuit Court level. Regarding Boyle's February 14, 2025, appeal of the circuit court's order, Boyle's appeal must be dismissed because this court lacks jurisdiction. Pursuant to Rule 203(b)(1) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, the notice of appeal in an appeal from a court of common pleas proceeding must be served on all respondents within thirty days of receipt of written notice of entry of the order or judgment being appealed. See Rule 203(b)(3), SCACR ("A notice of appeal in a domestic relations action shall be served in the same manner provided by Rule 203(b)(1)."). As noted above, these requirements are jurisdictional. See Conner, 348 S.C. 454, 461, 560 S.E.2d 606, 609. Importantly, a notice of appeal from a criminal contempt conviction must be served on the Attorney General. See Matter of Martel, 444 S.C. 517, 520, 909 S.E.2d 402, 404 (2024).

Here, Boyle did not properly serve the Attorney General as the proper representative of the State and, thus, failed to serve a valid respondent even though he was required by the mandates of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules to serve *all* respondents⁶. See Rule 203(b)(3), SCACR (instructing all respondents must be timely served with a notice of appeal when appealing an order issued in a family court proceeding); see also Limb v. Limb, 195 P.2d 263, 364 (Utah 1948) ("In [a criminal contempt] case the judgment is clearly one to uphold the dignity and authority of the court and the burden of vindicating such action should not fall upon a private citizen but it is the duty of the public officials such as the district attorney or attorney general to act in such a case and therefore *a notice of appeal must be served on one of these so*

⁶ An attachment of Boyle's Proof of Service is attached as "Attachment E"


that the public may have its day in court.” (emphasis added)). Consequently, this Court never acquired proper jurisdiction to consider Boyle’s appeal, and the appeal must be dismissed based on Boyle’s failure to comply with the basic jurisdictional requirements of our appellate court rules. See Martel, 444 S.C. 517, 520, 909 S.E.2d 402, 404 (“a notice of appeal from a criminal contempt conviction imposed on or after [November 13, 2024] must be served on the Attorney General”).

WHEREFORE, Respondent prays the Court dismiss this appeal due to Appellant’s failure to timely serve the notice of appeal on all respondents as mandated by South Carolina’s appellate court rules; hold the matter in abeyance pending a ruling on Respondent’s motion; and grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

ALAN WILSON
Attorney General

ANDREW D. POWELL
Assistant Attorney General

By: 
Andrew D. Powell
Office of the Attorney General
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211
(803) 734-3727

June 2, 2025

ATTACHMENT "A"

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF OCONEE

)
)
)
)

IN THE PROBATE COURT

ORDER

On May 29, 2024, Jason Boyle entered the Probate Court lobby while recording with his cell phone as he approached the clerk window requested to speak with Judge. This is the second time Mr. Boyle appeared at the court to record. Mr. Boyle is aware of the Chief Justice Order concerning videoing certain areas of a court. Mr Boyle further refused to abide by the Order. Mr. Boyle indicated that that Order was invalid and it violated his first amendment rights.

Mr. Boyle was given the option of deleting his recording or be held in contempt of court. He verbally refused to delete is video recording. He was held in direct contempt of court.


THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Jason Boyle be sentenced to ten (10) days in the Oconee County Detention Center.

OCCONEE PROBATE COURT
'24 MAY 29 PM 12:28:52

IT IS SO ORDERED!

Dated May 29, 2024

Walhalla, SC



Danny Singleton, Judge of Probate

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

)
)
)
)

IN THE PROBATE COURT

ORDER

Pursuant to a Rule to Show Cause served upon Jason Boyle, a hearing was conducted on June 5, 2024 to determine if Jason Boyle should be held in contempt of court.


After testimony and evidence was taken, it was determined beyond a reasonable doubt that Jason Boyle did commit direct contempt of court.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Jason Boyle be sentenced to serve a period of sixty (60) days in the Oconee County Detention Center.

IT IS SO ORDERED!

Dated June 5, 2024

Walhalla, SC



Danny Singleton, Judge of Probate

OCCONEE PROBATE COURT
'24 JUN 5 PM3:20:19

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF OCONEE

)
)
)
)

IN THE PROBATE COURT

ORDER

Pursuant to a Rule to Show Cause served upon Jason Boyle, a hearing was conducted on June 17, 2024 to determine if Jason Boyle should be held in contempt of court.

After testimony and evidence was taken, it was determined beyond a reasonable doubt that Jason Boyle did commit direct contempt of court.


THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Jason Boyle be sentenced to serve a period of fifty (50) days in the Oconee County Detention Center.

IT IS SO ORDERED!

Dated June 17, 2024

Walhalla, SC

OCCONEE PROBATE CC 127
'24 JUN 17 PM 2:44:16



Danny Singleton, Judge of Probate

ATTACHMENT "B"

FILED OCGNEE COUNTY, SC
MELISSA C. BURTON
CLERK OF COURT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF OCONEE

2024 JUL 17 P 4:49

JASON M BOYLE (PLAINTIFF))

ORDER OF RELEASE/DISCHARGE

VS.)

CASE NO. 2024CP3700451

DANNY SINGLETON, ET AL. (DEFENDANT))

RECEIVED

Nov 20 2024

SC Court of Appeals

TO THE WARDEN AND KEEPERS OF THE COUNTY JAIL:

You are hereby directed to immediately discharge from your custody, Jason M Boyle, who was committed to your care and custody, by Probate Court, on June 17, 2024.


Jason M Boyle is hereby to be released from custody on a personal recognizance bond and is to follow the following conditions of bond:

1. General conditions of good behavior such as no alcohol, drugs, or criminal activity or possession of weapons.
2. Defendant, his servants, agent, employees and or any one acting on his behalf, including legal counsel, are under a gag order prohibiting them from speaking publicly about this case, including but not limited to news agencies, social media and to anyone not necessary to the preparation of this case,
3. No direct or indirect contact with Probate Judge Danny Singleton personally or through any other means or persons.
4. Required to appear in-person at any and all proceedings relating to this case.
5. Cannot leave the State of South Carolina.
6. Barred from being in the Oconee County Probate Court for any reason, unless permitted in in writing by the Probate Court.

Walhalla, South Carolina

July 17, 2024

Walhalla, SC


CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE/CLERK OF COURT

*mailed
Plr Probate Ct. ✓
Copies to Def Atty ✓ OCDC - handed*

ENTERED
ON
COMPUTER

ATTACHMENT "C"

RECEIVED

Mar 04 2025

SC Court of Appeals

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

IN THE COURTS OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM THE OCONEE COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Order of Honorable Judge Lewton McIntosh

10th CIRCUIT CASE NO: 2024-cp-3700451

JASON MICHAEL BOYLE----- Appellant,

V.


DANNY SINGLETON, "et al" ----- Respondents

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE IS HERBY GIVEN that Jason Michael Boyle, Appellant in the above-mentioned case, hereby appeals the order of Honorable Judge Lewton McIntosh dated February 7, 2025, to the South Carolina Court of Appeals.

The appellant appeals the 10th circuit court finding that the criminal contempt finding of from the Oconee Probate Court is upheld. The appellant further requests that the court of appeals compels the 10th circuit court to hear the motions for sanctions and rule to show cause that were dismisses in this same order.

Respectfully Submitted, this February 14, 2025.



DR. JASON MICHAEL BOYLE, Ph.D., Appellant
750 Mourning Dove Ln. Seneca, South Carolina 29678
jasonboyle03@gmail.com
(864) 245-3278

RECEIVED

Mar 04 2025

SC Court of Appeals

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

IN THE COURTS OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM THE OCONEE COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Order of Honorable Judge Lewton McIntosh

APPELLATE CASE NO: 2024-001241

JASON MICHAEL BOYLE----- Appellant,

V.


DANNY SINGLETON, "et al" ----- Respondents

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this February 14, 2025, a copy of the Notice of Appeal was delivered to the following parties:

1. Jim Logan: logan@loganandjolly.com
1805 N Boulevard, Anderson, SC. 29621
2. Oconee County Detention Center: Jeremy Chapman
300 S Church St, Walhalla, SC 29691
3. Oconee County Sheriff's Department: Mike
300 S Church St, Walhalla, SC 29691
4. Oconee County Administrator: Amanda Brock
415 S. Pine St. Walhalla, SC 29691

Respectfully Submitted, this February 14, 2024.



DR. JASON MICHAEL BOYLE, Ph.D., Appellant.
750 Mourning Dove Ln. Seneca, South Carolina 29678
jasonboyle03@gmail.com

ATTACHMENT "D"

1 MR. BOYLE: I believe the order is stayed if it's
2 under appeal. Correct.

3 THE COURT: And so that gives you a right to go out
4 and put these things out about this case and direct violation
5 of that order. Is that what your position is?

6 MR. BOYLE: I believe that the everything --

7 THE COURT: Stand -- please stand up.

8 MR. BOYLE: All right. Yeah. Sorry, sir. I didn't
9 -- I don't know the procedure. It's only my -- I've never been
10 in court before

11 THE COURT: That's all right -- I'm just -- that's
12 just protocol. Okay.

13 MR. BOYLE: Yeah. All right. So, the -- I forgot
14 what the question was, I got distracted.

15 THE COURT: I -- well, the question is to me I issued
16 a PR bond and put conditions on it and I told you in the bond
17 order you can't talk about this case. I -- and I in fact, told
18 you I can't violate your First Amendment rights but I can order
19 that you not talk about this case which I do have authority to
20 do that and I did. And, you know, apparently you decided you
21 just weren't going to abide by that and you didn't. And so,
22 what I'm telling you is that at some point, we're going to have
23 a hearing. Now, if the Supreme Court says you're right then
24 they said then I'm wrong, it won't be the first time I've been
25 told I'm wrong and it won't be the last time. I don't think I

1 | will be, but if I am wrong then we won't have a hearing. But
2 | probably, I don't think they won't find me wrong and we're
3 | going to have a hearing about what punishment you'll have. And
4 | I'm trying to get you to hear me clearly that from this point
5 | forward, your conduct until the end of this matter will
6 | determine in great measure what kind of punishment you may
7 | receive. If you want to continue to throw your thumb in the
8 | face of my order that's your -- that's what you want to do. If
9 | you don't then that will be considered as well. Okay?

10 | MR. BOYLE: And then -- so then if I can just add it
11 | on August 20th, I found my appeal brief and I think anything
12 | that was written after that was also included in that appeal
13 | brief. So, it was of the public record and if it's going to be
14 | a criminal trial, I would like to have an attorney. I'd like a
15 | jury trial and I'd like a special prosecution.

16 | THE COURT: Well, I have the option of make -- having
17 | it civil, I have the option of doing criminal. I have the
18 | option of making it less than six months, at which time you do
19 | not have a right to an attorney. But I have no problem. I
20 | would suggest that you do get an attorney and talk to him or
21 | her about what you should do between here and the time that you
22 | going to get sanctioned if I get -- if I go there.

23 | MR. BOYLE: Well, I certainly can't afford one so.

24 | THE COURT: Well, you know, you can afford to do
25 | these other things and stay out here all day, handing out

1 leaflets but you can't afford an attorney but that's up to you.
2 So -- and Mr. Boyle, I can't tell you any more clear terms than
3 what I'm telling you but that's going to be my ruling. I'm
4 finding that you are in contempt subject to me being ruled
5 wrong by the Court of Appeals. Okay? Then we'll defend --
6 we'll decide that way.

7 MR. BOYLE: I'd like to appeal that.

8 THE COURT: Sir?

9 MR. BOYLE: I would like -- if you do make that
10 ruling, I would like to appeal it--

11 THE COURT: You certainly can.

12 MR. BOYLE: All right. Thank you.

13 THE COURT: All right.

14 MR. LOGAN: Would -- I like --

15 THE COURT: It's going to be a -- it's not a final
16 ruling yet though because to the punishment comes that's when
17 you will feel to appeal it. Well, go ahead.

18 MR. LOGAN: I'd like to make two requests to add on
19 to what you've just said. It's my understanding that this
20 article has been published -- has been the subject of
21 additional articles more than 24 times. We would like this
22 article withdrawn if that is at all possible. And I don't see
23 why it isn't and that we would like for the order to provide
24 him with an order to stay off of public -- off of social media.

25 THE COURT: I can't order him off of social media. I

1 -- I'm just going to do again what I just said. I'm going to
2 leave it up there. If you want to leave it up there, that's
3 going to be considered by me at the end of the day. Okay? I
4 would urge you to take it down as it -- if it involves this
5 case. I saw a lot of other things you were writing that are
6 fine, that didn't have any violations at all because they
7 didn't talk about this case. Okay? But when you do and you tie
8 it all together, this case that's when you violate the order.
9 So, I'm going to leave that up to you. I would urge you to
10 talk to counsel. That being said the next matter before us is
11 the -- your motion and appeal --

12 MR. LOGAN: Whose motion?

13 THE COURT: Is -- he is Mr. Boyle's appeal from Judge
14 Singleton's finding him in contempt. It's my understanding that
15 the first matter on the docket?

16 MR. LOGAN: No, sir. The first matter is Defendant's
17 motion to dismiss the Plaintiff's appeal.

18 MR. BOYLE: The motion to dismiss wasn't on the
19 docket, was it?

20 MR. LOGAN: Well, it's on this docket that I'm
21 looking at right here and I'll pass it up to the Court for 3:00
22 o'clock today.

23 THE COURT: I have -- it doesn't matter to me but I'm
24 -- that's not what I'm seeing it but if it's on there whatever,
25 what's -- I will call them in the order they appear.

ATTACHMENT "E"

RECEIVED

Sep 23 2024

SC Court of Appeals

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURTS OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM THE OCONEE COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Order of Honorable Judge Lawton McIntosh

APPELLATE CASE NO: 2024-001241

JASON MICHAEL BOYLE----- Appellant,

V.

DANNY SINGLETON, "et al" ----- Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Amended Notice of Appeal was served upon the following parties by email, on this 21st day of September 2024:

1. **Jim Logan:** logan@loganandjolly.com
1805 N Boulevard, Anderson, SC. 29621
2. **Oconee County Detention Center:** jchapman@oconeelaw.com
300 S Church St, Walhalla, SC 29691
3. **Oconee County Sheriff's Department:** mcrenshaw@oconeelaw.com
300 S Church St, Walhalla, SC 29691
4. **Oconee County Administrator:** district2@oconeesc.com
415 S. Pine St. Walhalla, SC 29691

Respectfully Submitted, this 21st day of September 2024.



DR. JASON MICHAEL BOYLE, Ph.D., Appellant

750 Mourning Dove Ln.

Seneca, South Carolina 29678

Email: jasonboyle03@gmail.com

RECEIVED

Jun 02 2025

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from Oconee County
Honorable Danny Singleton, Probate Court Judge
Appellate Case No. 2024-001241

IN THE MATTER OF JASON M. BOYLE,


Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Susan Spencer, certify I have served the within Motion to Dismiss Appeal on Appellant by sending a copy of the same to:

Jason Michael Boyle
750 Mourning Dove Ln.
Seneca, SC 29678

I further certify that all parties required by Rule to be served have been served.
This 2nd day of June, 2025.



Susan Spencer
Legal Assistant
Office of the Attorney General
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211
(803) 734-3727