

# Index

RECEIVED

JUN 09 2025

S.C. SUPREME COURT

1. Letter \_\_\_\_\_ p. 0
2. Statement of Issues on Appeal \_\_\_\_\_ pl.-6
3. Argument of Understanding Case \_\_\_\_\_ p.7
4. History of Sentence and Reconsider \_\_\_\_\_ p.8
5. Designation To be Included \_\_\_\_\_ p.9
6. Included \_\_\_\_\_ p.10
7. Proof of Service \_\_\_\_\_ p.10

~~Attorney~~

~~Witness~~

\* Due to extraordinary circumstance \*  
Please send a copy to the other  
parties, just in case of error \*

RECEIVED

Jun 09 2025

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

JUN 09 2025

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The Supreme Court of South Carolina  
Appeal From Horry County Court  
Of General Session

---

Honorable B. Caraker Circuit Court Judge

---

Jamie Goss pro se \_\_\_\_\_ petition

The State \_\_\_\_\_ vs. \_\_\_\_\_ Respondent

---

Objection to order Receive on May 30,  
2025 and Initial Brief and Disignation  
to be Included on Recorded of  
Appeal and En banc and Speedy Remdy

---

CC, S.C. Court of Appeal  
Horry County Solicitor office  
Horry County Clerk office  
S.C. Attorney General

Jamie Goss pro se  
Wateree Prison Ward 1  
P.O. Box 189  
Rember S.C 29128

## Statement of Issues on Appeal

1. Did the court overlook ~~Solicitor~~ Considering Solicitor misconduct for Not Interpering Case Correct to jury and solicitor didn't read issues on reconsider motion to the court?
2. Did the court consider petition lawyer was relieve as counsel and Never read issue to the court for reconsideration?
3. Did the court overlook Considering petition personal privacy was violate due to all white jury and especially when state strike the only black Jury?
4. Did the court overlook considering petition personal privacy was violate due to Solicitor Interpering drug to the jury in grain to paint a picture of a larger amount to convict petition?

5. Did the court overlook considering Rule 60(b)(3) was violated due to false indictment when petition was never indicted to the Grand Jury for Trafficking 3rd offense and violated enhancing law and policy?
6. Did the court overlook considering the lawyer, Clerk, and S.C.D.C fail to inform petition of court date during reconsider motion and trial?
7. Did the court overlook considering the Judge fail to ask lawyer and Solicitor to read issue and should've put in a continue when petition said he was not prepared for trial on court?
8. Did the court overlook considering S.C.D.C being short of staff in every department and prison overcrowded that cause pain and suffering from

not having in place legal supply's for indigent inmate especially when S.C. District Court deny's petition case due to said reason and should be release on emergency Bond to fight case in United State Supreme Court?

9. According to the great violation of Roe v. Wade did the court overlook considering drug law was change in petition case and should've never been enhance?
10. Did the court consider S.C. District Court has deny petition case due to violate S.C.D.C. policy access to court and should grant petition bond to fight his case in United State Supreme Court?
11. Did the court overlook considering United State military law with only having one son and should take in

Consideration that petition miss all his kids ~~so~~ early age in prison and he's the only son?

12. Did the court overlook considering officer testimony violate expert witness policy when officer recorded over high speed chase video tape?
13. Did the court overlook considering that petition was a leader for over 1000 inmate and was restore according to Art 12 section 2 and Title 24-1-20 and should release petition on on bond?
14. Did the court overlook false imprisonment as an element when jury was instructed false information?
15. Did the court overlook considering false imprisonment as an element when officer never wrote ticket for probable cause or never charge petition for probable cause? 5

16. Did the court violate petition personal privacy for entering a order that goes against S.C. and U.S. law especially when public Interest is involve, Change in controlling law, error of law, special ground of emergency, and Roe V. Wade?

17. Did Horry County Public defendent and Solicitor violate petition personal privacy during trial for not offering plead and not giving petition understanding of charges, when he was out on bond five year and take him to trial for a different charge that rail<sup>road</sup> petition especially when lawyer never talk to petition about his charges?

## Argument of Understanding Case

ON May 30, 2025 petition receive an order from South Carolina Supreme Court Clerk saying " Pursuant to Rule 204(a) of South Carolina Appellate Court rules, this matter is hereby transfer to South Carolina Court of appeal. Petition object to South Carolina Supreme Court order date May 23, 2025 that was receive by petition at waterree prison mailbox on May 30, 2025 this case involve emergency, Change in control law, error of law, Public Interest. According to the Designation to be included on appeal and a person with the same Characteristic will have the same opportunity concerning United State Military law with only one son, Compare to the Articles of the United State. Petition prays that the Court use page 9 as argument

# History of Sentence and Reconsideration Motion

---

In November 2006 Horry County Grand Jury Indicted petition for trafficking in cocaine base 10-28 grams indictment # 2006-GS-26-4735. On February 13 2007 Petition Proceeded to Jury trial before the Honorable Edward B. Cottingham and was sentence to 28 years. Petition then file a motion to reconsideration of sentence and sentence ~~and~~ reduction according to Roe V. Wade, Change in controlling law, Public Interest involve, error of law, and in emergency situation.

## Jurisdiction

The South Carolina Supreme has jurisdiction to hear petition case according to Art 5 Section 5 and Rule 245 especially in this case, when Public Interest is involve, error of law, change in controlling law, and emergency situation in petition case.

## Designation To Be Included ON Record of Appeal

1. Enhancement Policy
2. Enhancement Bill
3. Jury Pole policy
4. Expert witness policy
5. Expert policy
6. Video camera policy in police car
7. Transcript
8. Stenography
9. Military Policy
10. Solicitor Policy
11. Public defended policy
12. Circuit Court Judge policy
13. Traffic stop policy
14. Jury Instruction policy
15. grain policy
16. gram policy
17. All drug law bill pass from 2007
18. Proable Cause policy 9

## Included

Petition prays that this Honorable Court construe all issue because petition is pro se. Due to the state not responded petition request for the following: Summery Judgement and default Judgement, 3 trillion dollars, expungement recorded and vacate sentence due to emergency, Public Interest, error of law, Controlling law, and pain and suffering, during his time in prison.

## Proof of Service

I Jamie Goss has place this petition in Wateree prison mail box ON 6.4.25 to S.C. Supreme Court and all partie ON page 1. Petition certify that the above Statement is true.

Jamie Goss