

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ANDERSON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

R. Lawton McIntosh, Circuit Court Judge

Court of Appeals No. 2025-000859

104914

RECEIVED

JUN 09 2025

SC Court of Appeals

Dr. Linda Kennedy, *Pro Se filing jointly*

&

Dr. Marsha Fink, *Pro Se filing jointly*,

Appellants,

v.

Lake Hartwell RV Resort and Cabins, LLC., with alias names listed,

Christopher Vellanti, Corporately, as the Sole Member, Manager Employee, and Individually,

Yvonne Goldman, as General Manager Employee and Individually,

Marsha Stamm, as Co-Assistant manager, and Individually,

Allen Riha, as Co-Assistant Manager, and Individually,

Jennifer Burdette, as Employee, and Individually,

Frank Pellegrini, as Employee, and Individually,

Fritzie Maroto (Moroto, married 2023, Pellegrini),

Ray Grenier, as Independent Contractor, Individually,

Grant Ferrendelli, as Independent Contractor, and Individually,

Charles Carpenter, as Employee, and Individually,

Respondents.

OBJECTIONS, MOTION, REMINDERS TO COURT

OBJECTIONS TO THIS VERY UNCONSCIONABLE AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ORDER DENYING EXTENSION OF TIME AND PAGES FOR VERY GOOD CAUSE SHOWN AS WRITTEN, TO GIVE DEFENSE/APPELLEES EVEN MORE OPPORTUNITY TO RECEIVE A PREDETERMINED OUTCOME THAN IN THE UNDERLYING CASE USURPATION AND ARBITRARY LAW USED BY RACKETEERING JUDGES/LAWERS/ALLIANZ ALREADY

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR THIS ONE OCCASTION, EVEN THOUGH THE COURT WAS PREVIOUSLY NOTIFIED HOW AND WHY THIS WAS NECESSARY, FOR GREAT CAUSE SHOWN, AND WHERE THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF A STANDING REQUEST WON'T CHANGE, WHICH MAKES THE ORDER SOMETHING OTHER THAN SERVING JUSTICE, WHICH COSTS APPELLANTS EXTRA TIME/ENERGY THEY CANNOT SPARE FOR HEALTH AND TIMING ISSUES, AND MAKES APPELLANTS PAY EVEN MORE MONEY TO TRY TO RECEIVE JUSTICE IN SC

REMINDERS TO COURT TO RECUSE SUA SPONTE IF NECESSARY, AND OF THEIR DUTIES AND ABILITIES TO MAKE OTHER FINDINGS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THEM

Not proofed due to Disabilities previously noted

COMES NOW APPELLANTS, DR. LINDA KENNEDY (Hereinafter, Dr. "Kennedy") AND DR. MARSHA FINK, (Hereinafter, Dr. Fink), (JOINTLY "APPELLANTS) and note Objections and Demand Expansions as herein described with also a compliance with the Order denying standing requests, with a single request over its objections for a standing request and for both time/page expansions, Per SCACR 240.

Facts

Appellants filed two motions that, per their understanding, were being reviewed last week. One Order was posted on June 3, 2025, denying Appellants very reasonable and least

restrictive request for standing time and page expansions of time, the pages denied outright and the time denied unless submitted individually for each matter.

This is Appellants response noting their Strong Objections to the findings as Unconstitutional and a violation of the American's with Disabilities Act. Appellants also make motion for the statement from the Court that they may consider a specific request for an expansion of time, made each time an expansion is necessary, even though Appellants circumstances will not change, which is part of why they qualify under the ADA to begin with as temporary injuries are not covered under qualifying disabilities for the ADA, and Appellants disabilities are not temporary injuries and further, some were caused by the underlying state court to begin with.

Finally, Appellants remind this Court that if they cannot be neutral, that they are required to Sua Sponte recuse even if there is an appearance of impropriety, and make a request that this happens, so that a full motion and argument is not necessary on the matter.

A second motion was filed regarding the duty of this Court to fully address the fraud on the Court and Appellants in the underlying case and take immediate action on it, that is unquestionably direct, no matter how hard it may be to go against colleagues. This motion further supports the first motion for expansion of time/pages, but a few points are made regarding that because Appellants motions are related to each other.

This third motion herein, does not have the benefit of the second finding, that must be granted also, so it cannot address any Unconstitutional denials of that motion, other than to say this is Appellants Strong Objection to any denial of that Motion as the situation Appellants are

claiming is a complete Constitutional Crisis of the Legal System in SC, that cannot recover but for this Court to find for Appellants and make immediate corrections to its own legal system.

It has always been Appellants desire live in peace to heal up from cancer in SC before returning home. Equally, when that was prevented from happening, which is the basis of this case, it has always been the desire of Appellants to give the SC Courts every chance to do what is right, and for Appellants to not be forced to argue with Judges and so forth, but this case is so meritorious until it was hijacked by State Actors actually involved in the underlying case secretly having meetings with potential Defendants to obstruct justice through nepotism and so forth, that the handling of this case by RACKETEERING Judges/Lawyers and other some of who were actually involved in the underlying Cause of Action and crimes against Appellants, is so illegal, Unconstitutional, and corrupt by RACKETEERING SC Judges, lawyers, and others, that perhaps Appellants cannot avoid such continuing accusations. For now, they respectfully request that any such impartiality, ex parte communications or working with Virginia in any way, does not and is not happening in this matter and that fair and impartial rulings and findings be made, with a sincere understanding of this Courts duty to take control of and take seriously any fraud on the Court that is clearly evident in the underlying matter.

Argument

Appellants have a case that needs a fair and honest review and a Judgment entered for Appellants and so forth, because they already won their case at the lower level, their was major RACKETEERING by your Judges, lawyers and lawyer-legislators and others to bring about a predetermined outcome, including bribes, obstruction, coercion, Unconstitutional usurpation

and arbitrary law, etc. as described in Appellants filings. To appeal these matters from Common Pleas Court, takes more time than an appeal just on the underlying case itself, and many more pages, if the Court is interested in cleaning up its public perceptions which took a big hit with Murdaugh.

Unfortunately, the SC Appeals Courts are appearing to continue to fix this case and protect the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise by refusing to extend pages and time to respond in a standing Order as there will be no change in circumstances that justify it with each act and submission. The logical conclusion, having had to deal with SC Courts for several years now, is that this Court wants to continue in the fixing of Appellants case by making sure Plaintiffs/Appellants are silenced by limiting time/pages as it is, and thus cannot win their very meritorious case already won, but not entered by the underlying RACKETEERS, and do not want a further record of Appellants challenging the extremely bizarre and criminal activity of its Courts and clearly existing Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE), so the LMCE can protect itself and its criminal members, caught using the color of law to continue to exploit and steal from the people of the state, including Appellants, with no recourse for the real victims of these government crimes.

This behavior by the Court is the same type of behavior it has exhibited for at least a century as the Courts have been at covering, and participating in the Murdaugh-type LMCE all these years, which has permitted the same exploitation and criminality against the public. To further cover for the LMCE, the SC courts provided a show trial on a small portion of the Murdaugh LMCE crimes, to explain away the actual existence of a LMCE at work in SC, to continue to fool the public. By describing some perpetrators as "victims" and payoffs, as

“loans” and so forth, and then using diverting strategies, SC is trying to create a fiction to keep the public occupied while continuing to hide this elephant in the room that only some of those who have practiced can clearly see, without the public being exposed to other such cases so they can learn about this LMCE. The LMCE overseeing the process of this made-for-TV show trial it appears, is the SC Courts helping to explain and divert attention away from the LMCE actually being caught in a portion of the overall crimes being perpetrated against the public systemically, and not just in the Murdaugh cases. The stage was set to carefully limited and steer the Murdaugh Insurance Reserve Fraud and other crimes by more of the real perpetrators, to some soap opera-type drama of elites/dynasty families, and their alleged bumbling ways, when nothing could be further from the truth. (See Becky Heir Hill as an example of misdirection by the LMCE to attempt to placate the public with an alleged perpetrator of the state that was actually a multigenerational relationship with the Murdaughs [a relative of Mcintosh] with many other connections in the 10th circuit).

Appellants filing an appeal on this Murdaugh-style case, gives the perception of Appellants losing a battle in a fair and unbiased loss at the lower court and an also an opportunity to be heard on Appeal for abuse of discretion or clear errors and so forth. Again, nothing can be further from the truth.

One of the many judicial manipulations in the underlying RACKETEERING Court, was to limit time and pages or ban them all together, so that all the real Unconstitutional and criminal actions in the lower court, and the RACKETEERING Judges/lawyers dynastic relationships between each other that called for recusal could not be challenged by Appellants as a part of the LMCE creating predetermined outcomes for their own gain. The LMCE using further

weaponization of the law to extremely, physically and emotionally abuse Appellants in violation of any and all rights, through these State Judges and other State Actors and their agents, to try to coerce them to give up, and to further punish them for being legal reformers and whistle blowers against the LMCE for many years and to stop Appellants from documenting the record of what was actually happening in the hi-jacking of their meritorious claims for predetermined outcomes for Defense. Further, these attacks, by the fraudulent state efforts were meant to strongly weaken the targeted litigants, the Appellants so they would have a much harder time trying to represent their interests.

By refusing Appellants very meritorious and reasonable requests, this Appellant State Court is willfully choosing to involve itself in further weaponization of the legal process, by using the same strategy as the lower court, so Appellants won't be able to appeal the real issues that made a mockery of justice, due to limitations on pages and time and refusing Reasonable Accommodation demands where the circumstances of same, will not change over the course of this process of Appeal.

The lower court made such a mockery of time/pages, to make sure Appellants knew the fix was in that the Court, Sua Sponte, demanded an evidentiary hearing where one was not permitted, and denied all discovery for 3 years, quashed all subpoenas for witnesses and evidence, and gave the Appellants 20 days from this Demand, to have an entire case ready to prove, when Appellants just got past the 12b6 motion, with all their demands for entering Judgement against Defense on obvious defaults and fraud were denied. The court also refused to file Appellants proof of a LMCE at work in their case, which the government Court cannot deny filing claims against itself that are sabotaging Appellants case.

The page limit and time, in the Unconstitutional Sua Sponte *alleged* Evidentiary Hearing, were so manipulated in the underlying case that the court knowingly created an impossibility that had Appellants addressing approximately 162 issues with many pages per issue in the record¹ into a maximum of 20 pages to include attachments or Appellants meritorious case and good faith efforts to force the defense-court RACKETEERS to proceed in the case, would be dismissed on other made up grounds. These extremely Unconstitutional actions and limits created a legal impossibility for Appellants, while the trick... making it look like a standard process of a suit with notice/right to be heard. The fact that it was a Sua Sponte Evidentiary Hearing after the 12b6 was lost, and with the Court/lawyers refusing to allow Appellants any discovery/deposition of Defendants who they knew were ignorant and would slip up and talk about these secret meetings they had with these Judges and other state actors, could not be risked by the RACKETEERING Courts/lawyers/others who were still, unlawfully, presiding over Appellants case. They were material and substantial witnesses and should have been defendants and were still refusing to recuse in the matter.

Further, the lower RACKETEERING Court gave 20 days from the surprise Sua Sponte demand for a hearing, without first permitting the 3 year old discovery to be received and supplemented, and no evidence or witnesses were allowed to be pursued by Appellants. They were quashed. Appellants were served days later so more of the 20 days time-frame was lost. Given no time to prepare, Appellants had to put out random subpoenas on quick thinking, all quashed by the judges. Manipulating time and pages is one of the major tricks of the LMCE

¹ These were hundreds or thousands of pages of meritorious material never reviewed by the Court, over the course of three useless years of litigation, while Appellants were stonewalled into remaining at step one in the process of bringing forth the case due to the many RACKETEERING obstructions and bribery in the case.

Courts, which assures that the Appellants are not really heard nor the real story ever told through more procedural manipulation, which seems to be a weapon of choice in SC Courts.

Limiting pages and time to prepare, along with limiting evidence, are tricks of a corrupt judiciary to give the appearance of a hearing and notice without any real fairness occurring or challenges to the present system made, due to lack of time and page. With this thinking, taking it to its logical conclusion, the more corrupt judges and lawyers could act, and the more Unconstitutional chaos they create, the better, because the reviewing judge can then limit the time and pages and make sure all these matters for appeal are ignored due to limited time and pages. This is not a new strategy by the LMCE and Appellants have seen this many times before, including in Virginia, which their participation in this underlying case behind the scenes is enough to convince honest judges that something is really wrong here, and that such interference of barratry and champerty after 20 plus years of no contact by Appellants is highly vindictive and Unconstitutional. This Appeals Court **MUST** put a stop to the corruption and further fraud, in this LMCE; not participate in it. The People will be watching this, as a Murdaugh sequel. The proper outcome will be as important to SC as it is to Appellants.

Further, this Order denying of time/pages, and forcing Appellants to continually request time expansions and object to pages, and similar denials at the Common Pleas Court, was part of the strategy used to keep Appellants writing about matters that are self-evident after the first motion, i.e., the need for time and pages under the stated circumstances **that won't change**, to keep Appellants from doing a focused job on the important matters at hand if at all possible. The Court wants to engage Appellants in a true waste of time, limited ability and forcing them to continue to spend more money, even though good cause was shown initially where ethe

circumstances will not change. Part of an ADA qualification is that these are not temporary disabilities, so the fact that this court wants repeated motions on the same matter, denies Appellants right to their most reasonable and this Court's least restrictive compliance for good causes shown previously. To force Appellants to resubmit the same motion over and over again, not only puts Appellants at a great disadvantage because if the Motion is ever denied, then Appellants are in a real bind, like now, where they were proceeding in a way they could physically do and now are way behind schedule as they are working off a 30 day timeline which they can physically not do which is the reason for them demanding the Court comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title II, to begin with. The Court cannot just break laws with no ramifications and it shows further the bias and fixing that is going to continue in this case, and why the Murdaugh's did get away with such heinous crimes against the people for a Century, without a Court or the SC Bar stopping them. Appellants are operating in the LMCE where all laws are whatever the LMCE says they are at any given time.

Appellants **STRONGLY OBJECT** to the denial of reasonable accommodations demanded by law, especially, but not only, through those permanent injuries that were caused by your own crooked State judges and lawyers RACKETEERING with Allianz and others weaponizing the law against Appellants for predetermined outcomes and punishment.

We further **STRONGLY OBJECT** to this Court denying Appellants good faith standing demand for extension of time, and any extension of time, so Appellants do not have to keep using their minimal strength and vision, compromised by your courts bad and RACKETEERING acts, with Appellants writing the obvious same issues for the same motions, where the circumstances will not change, and then forcing Appellants to throw more money away having

to pay the state another \$50 in a “pay for justice” tactic of the State, which Appellants challenge its Constitutionality, and Appellants further **STRONGLY OBJECT** to having to cover all RACKETEERING in 50 pages which is meant for cases that proceed normally with an error or abuse of discretion to be addressed, but not cases where the Court was involved in the underlying facts of the case, using RACKETEERING, BRIBERY, FRAUD ON THE COURT AND APPELLANTS, DENYING UNALIENABLE AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, USING SECRET AND UNLATERAL MEETINGS BETWEEN PROSPECTIVE DEFENDANTS AND THE JUDGES AND OTHER STATE ACTORS THAT LED TO COURT DIRECTED HARM AGAINST APPELLANTS FOR AN INSURANCE FRAUD AND INSURANCE RESERVE FRAUD SCHEME WITH ALLIANZ TO GO FORTH THAT THE STATE ACTORS REGULARLY CREATE FOR THEMSELVES AT THE EXPENSE OF DEAD OR CATOSTROPHICALLY INJURED VICTIMS AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, COERCION AND SO FORTH, some of which occurred at least 7 months BEFORE Appellants ever filed their case in Common Pleas Court without knowledge of these shark infested waters. The 50 page limitation and time restraints made for normal appeals, but applied to this case, is a further mockery of the justice system against those it is supposed to serve and protect. The 50 pages and time restrictions in any form are mockeries because, as this court knows, 1) the underlying lengthy facts and repeated predetermined case dismissal attempts and finally a bizarre and matter of 1st impression dismissal that violates the rules and law where Unconstitutional action to dismiss and frauds on the court and Appellants occurred; 2) there was collusion among lawyers recorded; 3) there was RACKETEERING between lawyers and Judges and others like lawyer-legislator Justin Bamberg, and other state actors, even from Virginia, have no business in this case; 4) the state was in contact with Virginia State Actors from 20 plus years ago with an ax to

grind against Appellants past legal reform work, where they still wanted vengeance and shared this sick desire with SC state actors; 5) there were continuing attacks against Appellants by the underlying Defendants, even throughout litigation just before a big matter would be addressed, and further attacks of a new group of should be Defendants working with the old Defendants to further harm Appellants and keep them in distress, while trying to prepare for these last days of this case. The leader of this new group, who was a part of the old group also, that was not identified at the time of filing suit, is one of Judge McIntosh's drug/alcohol friends from the past, who has done all he can to lead the LHRVRC leftover perpetrators against Appellants, to keep Appellants from working on this suit and caused full scale documented physical attacks against Appellants, where Appellants lived, while Appellants have tried to prepare their case, just like McIntosh and others did in the underlying case according to Defendants and others, which is in the Court record; 6) the last several Motions written by Appellants to the lower Court challenging the lower Courts actions affecting their case, were reviewed by the lower court first and only filed if the lower court gave permission for Appellants to file, trying to stop Appellants from exposing and documenting the lower Court's bad and highly Unconstitutional and criminal actions; 7) further these issues have major Constitutional and Unalienable Right ramifications, along with the bribery, obstruction of justice, witnesses and so forth that involved your judiciary, by your own State Actors, that cannot be brought on Appeal with the time/page tricky of an unjust legal system trying to protect the enterprise. To allow such criminality in your state by those you allegedly oversee, is why Murdaugh was allowed to ravage the people for so long (almost 100 years). This Order limiting time/page on appeal, and/or demanding a new motion for expansion of time when the circumstances under ADA Disabilities requires the

injuries be permanent and continuing, when so many extra issues must be addressed to save the case from criminal attacks and sabotage against it and Appellants by your state actors is ludicrous and tells on the Appellant Courts unsavory motives. Appellants money/award was stolen through illegal schemes of your LMCE. The Order of this Court, strategically denying Appellants request is Unconscionable and Unconstitutional on its face, denying any real redress of grievances but staging the appearance of a right to be heard only. This court is also involved in creating the same impossible strategy as did your underlings, to make sure there is no redress in the time/pages given, again under color of law, and using the same trickery and perception of a hearing that the lower court used, as this Court is clearly covering for the wicked deeds of its LMCE being exposed herein, and partially in the Murdaugh crimes.

The more topics for appeal your Court caused, then the more pages are to be given to address them or they go away without redress due to arbitrary rules and usurpation of power to protect the Judges through limiting pages/time availability, which Appellants see as the further RACKETEERING plan here.

As a reminder, the Court of Appeals has both a Constitutional and inherent DUTY to ensure the integrity of the judicial process, protect due process rights, and address fraud on the court (and even more so against Appellants), which Appellants have raised all of these issues repeatedly, until the Courts would not let them file against the Courts, an extremely illegal action, and Appellants have appealed at all levels and reported to all agencies through certified letters, phone calls and other such motions and statements, a hunger struck ignored by the public servants, which says a lot about the dark hearts in government and other such attempts to get the State of SC to do Justice instead of protecting its own in its closed system to "...put

on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption." [Emphasis added].²

Further, the author stated, "[a] public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder. As this case [Murdaugh, but also Plaintiffs/Appellants case] demonstrated, not all South Carolinians [state actors and agents] are clear on that point. Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, the federal government [tried] to clean up the mess [in 1956]. The conditions are a shame on the state." These are not Appellants words and there are many more quotes available that Appellants are sure this Court has read already as these truths by those fed up with SC injustice under color of law, are destroying any last vestige of a perception of Public Trust by the LMCE and this Court is being given an opportunity to save itself from the exhausting and untrusting Public.

Further, allowing your judges and the others to punish two older cancer with a very meritorious case, and Judicial RACKETEERING, who are also very tough-minded patients to also have to endure incredible amounts of intentional sleep deprivation, and other coercions by their state is a real made for TV or big screen movie waiting to happen. For this Appeals Court, charged with keeping the Courts clean and honest, to then illegally cover for the underlying Judges and others and the SC LMCE is reprehensible. Now, with this denial Order, which will cause more sleep deprivation and other physical pain AGAIN to Appellants relentless in seeking justice, and for no good or legal reason, this is Unconscionable and will shock the conscience of the Public for this ruthless and heartless stroke of the pen. Since Appellants need surgeries and

² "Bootlegging Saga Put Spotlight on Legal Practices of the Day and Stirred Calls for Reforms," By David Lauderdale Special to The Island Packet and The Beaufort Gazette May 19, 2022 9:11 AM, <https://www.islandpacket.com/news/state/article261335987.html#storylink=cpy>

other procedures to help try to correct the disabilities sustained by this court and otherwise, and then to limit Appellants with the time/page trick, there leaves little doubt of the bias nature of the Appellant Court and its predetermined plan that is absolutely disgusting and shameful.³

As pled in the remaining motion being revied at the time of this writing regarding the Courts DUTY and OBILIGATION TO CLEAN UP ITS COURTS, the Constitution and Statutory Duty to Protect Due Process and fair Courts is supposed to be paramount. The SC Constitution guarantees the right to due process of law under Article I, sec 3 (due process clause), which requires fair procedure and impartial tribunals and implied in that... requires Appellants to receive the pages and time to appeal such abuses as a Due Process matter, so that the matters can be redressed fairly and completely. Further, Appellant courts have a fundamental DUTY to protect these Constitutional Rights for litigants, like the Appellants or not,⁴ by reviewing lower court decisions for legal errors, including those involving due process violations or fraud as described and more so. Appellants have raised many issues, that were found in writing and via

³ The U.S. has been condemned by the International Community for using such torture as cruel and inhuman punishment. Interestingly, the U.S. Courts, on several occasions characterize sleep deprivation as torture when inflicted by other countries, which is part of the reason so many want to avail themselves of the International Courts rather than the hypocritical and WEAPONIZED courts in the U.S., SC and other states Courts.³ See the other motion Appellants have filed with footnoted descriptions of what great amounts of sleep deprivation as perpetrated against Appellants at the Judges/lawyers hands does to a person of normal age and health. It is unspeakable and akin to war crimes in the Geneva Convention. This is very serious what you all are trying to protect here. Very serious, like what we claim third world countries to, that allegedly start wars, when another country comes to the aid of the citizens living under such a totalitarian abusive government.

⁴ Honest Judges tend to really like Appellants because Appellants have done what they knew was important to do but were afraid to lose their jobs or be put in prison or some other nasty locations without making money any longer. Either way this is not a popularity contest, and the God Appellants serve needs to be pleased and not a care when those in the LMCE are not. It shows the bias and extreme hatred against Appellants throughout thus far, which means perhaps Appellants Legal Reform work was not wasted and needs to continue if the extreme denial of justice, by any means, is accepted in SC, alleged safeguards to such outcomes do not function properly.

taped interviews of Defendants themselves admitting to secret unilaterally meetings with your judges and other state actors to gain an advantage in this matter.

The Court of Appeals is an integral part of a well-functioning legal system tasked with ensuring justice is administered fairly and impartially. Courts possessing inherent authority to protect their alleged integrity and prevent fraud on the court AND ON THE PEOPLE. This principle is well established in both Federal and South Carolina case law, and the people's rights are covered through Unalienable rights that cannot be given or taken away by Government, which is obviously being infringed upon in the lower court and now here with this Unconstitutional Order creating impossibilities.

As covered in the last motion that assumed a granting of the time/pages Motion due to extremely legal, good cause shown and that there would be strong presumption of RACKETEERING actions present in the Appellant Court if the Appellant's very reasonable and least restrictive demands were denied, the 2nd motion filed, regarding Fraud on the Court and so forth is also needing to be granted, which then relates back to this time/page extremely reasonable and necessary demand for the purpose of due process and to avoid even the appearance of impropriety by denying such motions.

Fraud on the court involves egregious misconduct that corrupts the entire judicial process itself, unless the higher Court comes down HARD on such egregious activity under their watch. If not, once the public sees the continuation of the Murdaugh debacle and high crimes in the legal system itself (LMCE) the system is in jeopardy of a full collapse upon its own corruption as the people have grown exhausted with corruption of government. Bad and

criminal acts such as bribery, fabrication of evidence, or intentional deception of the court are very serious and undermines the entire process, as a useless exercise costing tax payers and litigants enormous amounts of money just to be another victim of the scams. All of these and much more have been documented by Appellants repeatedly in the record and in complaints filed. The Defendants and others have admitted it, in spite of the RACKETEERS putting the brakes on any Discovery for three years to try to stop Appellants from proving what they say is true. It is not Appellants claiming it alone. When fraud on the court is alleged courts **MUST** act correctly and justly and without more self-interests, even if that requires setting aside judgments or taking other extraordinary measures. Appellants case was greatly prejudiced, and South Carolina courts recognize the Doctrine of Fraud on the court as an exception to finality rules, see *Chandler be Chandler*, 288 S.C. 523, 344 S.E. 2d 792 (Ct. App., 1986), discussing fraud on the court. The appellate courts have the authority to review and remedy fraud related errors even after judgment if the fraud affects the integrity of the process. Inherently, included in that remedy is for Appellants to have the time/pages to complete the presentations for good faith hearings where truth is the goal, not cover-up. Courts have a "paramount duty" to hold the alleged integrity of the judicial process, which includes preventing and remedying fraud, that includes Due Process for Appellants to be able to document it for the Appeals Court, where it is prevalent. See other laws that support same.⁵

⁵ In re Integrity of Judicial Process, SC Supreme Court cases addressing judicial misconduct and fraud. *Mackey v Montrym*, 443 U.S. 1 (1979), U.S. Supreme Court recognized the inherent authority of courts to protect judicial integrity. *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944)-Fraud on the Court invalidates judgments. If the Appellant Court does not do so, are they in violation of the Supreme Court? But, the Court has to give Appellants the pages/time to raise the issues properly with this Court, as they did repeatedly with the lower courts when they were not blocked by the court stopping their own exposure in Unconstitutional blocks to Appellants receiving any semblance of justice.

Cleaning up the Courts and ridding itself of the LMCE, no matter how lucrative and what job security it provides. It is heinous, depraved, and soulless for such an enterprise to exist by people walking freely. These members need to be put in prison. This is critical to at least attempt to regain some public confidence in the legitimacy of the justice system as the Murdaugh case opened a can of worms that even show trials cannot recover from. A case like Appellants is the icing on that cake of corruption that will melt if this Court does not act honorably now.

So, the Courts need to do something to control and reverse this runaway LMCE. The schemes are starting to come out in the open and more cases are coming down the pike where Appellants have interviewed some of the judicial victims of these crimes, to further expose it, if this Court does not deal with its own corruption here and now.

First, the Court should just have entered Judgment for Appellants in the lower court as described in other documents. The remedy is simple. But second, if it insists on guarding over and covering for their LMCE, then give Appellants the time/pages requested in a standing order and let the process do its job or if you intend to fashion the same types of Judicial tricks against Appellants repeatedly, that the lower court employed, then by law, you need to RECUSE yourselves under ACACR, Rule 501, Canon 3€(1)⁶, and get somebody willing to go against the

⁶ A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in proceeding in which the judges impartiality might reasonably be questioned includes but is not limited to situations where: 1. The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or party's lawyer, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts. 2. The judge served as a lawyer in the matter in controversy, or was associated with a lawyer who participated in the matter during the association's; 3. The judge or a family member has a financial interest in the subject matter or a party to the proceeding; 4. The judge or their spouse or someone within the third degree of their relationships is a party to the proceeding, acting as a lawyer in the proceeding, known to have an interest that could be substantially affected, likely to be a material witness. 5. The judge has made statements that indicate in their ability to be impartial.

Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, even if for a less than pure reason. Appellants need this resolved in their favor as it should have been long ago, however that legally happens.

It would be naïve that all the ex parte communications that have become normal in the lower court and in other cases are not happening in this appeal and with the SC Supreme Court also who have already showed their willingness to use false proofs and coercion and bullying, even halting Appellants from filing continual proof that the case was rigged by Government, which obstructions are Unconstitutional...government cannot protect government by obstructing justice and refusing to allow motions to be filed against it. Appellants have reviewed the Judges wrong doing in this and other cases, and these ex parte communications are so common and hardly even hidden by the perpetrators because they fear no reprisals at all. To not think McIntosh and his fellow RACKETEERS are not burning your phone lines and that of the Supreme Court would be extremely unwise.

Those LMCE members are depending on this Court of Appeals and continuing support of the Supreme Court so quickly ready to defend them, to protect the vested financial interests and control of the outcomes. Further, it is becoming very clear that the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise is systemic in SC and runs the legal system here, like in Virginia. Therefore, Appellants strongly demand that this Court consider the duty to recuse without Appellants needing to go interview even more victims, which they are prepared to continue to do, but have not disclosed all they know at this time to protect retaliation against other litigant victims.

South Carolina cases: State v. Smalls, 388 S.C. 140, 694 S.E.2d 820 (2010): the Supreme Court emphasized that even the appearance of impropriety requires disqualification. Christy v. Christy, 317 S.C. 145, 452 Se.e2d 1 (Ct. App. 1994): Reversal occurred where the judge had a past professional relationship with one party's attorney and did not disclose or recuse.

Further, the South Carolina Supreme Court and Court of Appeals are empowered to enforce review of rules of professional conduct and judicial ethics as well as take corrective actions when fraud is discovered, like when these same judges and lawyers refuse to recuse and continue to take actions to undermine Appellants case for their own benefit, presiding over their own liability and criminality. There is no rule or law necessary for the public to see these Courts are not just wrong, but acting absolutely criminally at the expense of the exploited public being used as a cash cow through Fraud on the Court schemes under color of law. TAKE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS, and grant Appellants their victory now and a jury to hear the damages that could not be given specific amounts in the amended complaint. Let's get this over with for the best interests of all and see SC clean up its courts and reputation for honoring and protecting the public.

Whether one believes in the God of the Bible or not, doesn't make his existence true or not true. Appellants are strong believers in vengeance being God's alone, and do not act in any way but within the law, through all legal, nonviolent ways. Appellants have seen in Virginia and elsewhere, where the LMCE has tried to ruin them, smear campaign Orders to harm them, steal all they worked for, and made a mockery of justice for personal LMCE gain, that God takes care of those matters when the system refuses to. Virginia being so vengeful all of these years later, shows what legal, nonviolent whistleblowing and subsequent work for the next 10 plus years does, when Appellants just submit to God, file what they need to file, investigate accordingly and state the truth, giving the Justice system ever chance to act honorably. The Virginia attacks against Appellants, led to many sudden, uncelebrated "retirements" firings, demotions, infighting, cover ups where others were exposed, and culminated with a personal letter to Dr.

Kennedy from VA Chief Justice Leroy Roundtree Hassell nearly on his death bed, confessing to the Judicial set-up for attack, and subsequent smear campaigns lobbied against Dr. Kennedy under color of law, because they needed to stop Dr. Kennedy for honest and cutting representation of whistleblowers and others against the Government for its hidden crimes against the people that would have force the Government into a major clean up long ago or a toppling of its authority by the weight of its own corruption, much like the situation here in SC. The late Justice Hassell, claimed that his cooperation with the LMCE in Virginia against Appellants was the reason he became suddenly, deathly ill, and eventually died soon after his letter (about 6 months later). He believed he had to confess before he died as a part of him trying to gain forgiveness from God before his death. So Appellants know they need to do nothing other than what they are doing, and to continue to pray for justice to be finally served and to stop the attacks from continually happening to them with Virginia often causing problems wherever Appellants eventually go, attempting to cause more commotion they obviously were hurt so badly by the honest and upright work Appellants do for their fellow citizens and residents of the U.S.

This Order denying Appellants its time/pages demand for good cause, if it stands, further proves that the LMCE is entirely systemic, and is going to be further protected by the Appeals Court with its tricks of the trade Appellants are already aware of, with a secret-policing, closed loop system created by itself, with no way for litigants to get their rights heard, nor protection of their awards from the LMCE in cahoots with carriers, lawyers, and others and then safeguarded by the rest of the Courts, allowing for millions and more to be stolen from the people in a Court led heist of their awards with money laundering (IF/IRF), with cooperation from other big

business collusion like Allianz for tax-free bounty for those willing to do such wicked acts against the law and the people.

What Appellants are describing is a situation in which all traditional avenues for redress—judicial, legislative, administrative, and law enforcement federal and state, are intentionally closed off to the litigants with valid concerns and causes of action, the Court does not want documented nor even discovery allowed for over 3 years, and where there is a pattern of coordinated corruption or systemic misconduct that protects state actors and their business interests with carriers and most likely others, from accountability. Legislators and Judges make sure they follow-up with laws allowing the denial of bad faith claims against carriers, and other possible redress by litigants, that will be heard by the RACKETEERS and disposed of anyway.

This Order given as it stands is one of the most egregious positions a corrupted Legal Machine can take to put its citizens in a situation where they cannot even address matters on appeal fairly by the trick of time/page limitations, when one must face the full weight of this Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, under color of law with no legal redress available but a token fixed finding and disposal usually with a hit piece Order that makes lies appear as fact to smear those who dare to assert rights and expect justice, much like mentally incapacitated Judge Mcintosh did, even making fun of Appellants disabilities.

In challenging this criminal attitude of the Courts, who seem to intend to continue the systemic criminality, how do honest litigants challenge the law, bring forth Constitutional issues that are clearly being abridged and infringed upon and so forth? How does the law work here in SC where apparently judges can just rewrite it for arbitrary and usurpation purposes and take

the litigants award and abuse litigants beyond the rules for cruel and unusual punishment with the darkest of hearts and souls, weaponizing the law? The answer seems clear in your eyes...we are to bow to the gods of this world and take whatever is granted to us, in spite of the law. We refuse and resist any such notion, and are giving this Court an opportunity to show the public it will not stand for such Murdaugh-style corruption again. Everyone has been put on notice. There is no plausible deniability. We want this court to stop all judicial procedural manipulation tricks to include limited pages/time so that the real issues that affected this case can be heard and decided with the public watching.

As you all well know, excess power destroys. An alleged Founder, James Madison allegedly stated that when government isn't chained down, nothing the individual owns or thinks is safe. Rights? Property? Free thinking? All gone. This concept is not new to Appellants. Appellants have been whistleblowing on this sorry state of the judiciary and our run-away government for many years now, and trying to help its legally abused survivors. There is now actually a thing called Legal Abuse Syndrome by a great psychologist who was seeing so many patients with this disorder created by extremely abusive courts, a friend in the movement, Dr. Karin Huffer. Government has put the people in a situation that if they tolerate these abuses of their rights, they lose their rights according to Madison. So what do peaceful people seeking all legal and nonviolent means to gain justice do, when Appellants have and will continue to refuse to partake in the violence others have been proposing for years? What is our peaceful remedy, but to continue to be victimized in a Country with only the "Perception" of freedom where little if any exists and only when the King grants it?

Appellants need the page extensions and the time extensions, including standing Orders, without continually having to write this over and over again, for reasons already given. If this Appeals Court is going to get angry because their LMCE is caught, and it is just going to deny any efforts Appellants make to receive justice, then at least let Appellants make the effort where as of now the Appeals Court is showing defiance to the law, and seem to already be preparing for another predetermined result for the LMCE. Like the big Defense firms, must the LMCE always be so afraid that they must be corrupted like the mafia itself to deal with two little old ladies with physical health issues? Can't this Court just let Appellants plead their case as it happened with pages/time allotted to comply with their Due Process rights and not use more perception of a hearing tricks, and unlawful rulings that violate fundamental rights? Better yet, can't this Court just Order as it should and enter the Judgments for Appellants and get this matter resolved as it should have been before Allianz forced Appellants to file suit when they had all this information and there was no good faith in its denial, but for its plan to use Appellants case as IF/IRF with fellow RACKETEERS.

Meanwhile, Appellants need a thirty-day extension of time from the due date to submit their initial brief and record/Designation of Matters. Further, charging Appellants each time they have to appeal the same issues is a pay for justice scheme that should never be permitted in a court of law, as the Order implies, Appellants must not only spend valuable time/energy/ability on repeat motions for the same reason, but pay \$50 dollars each time Appellants have made the same request. Appellants need to see some good faith, and don't need to be coerced into giving up due to the Court showing it has no intention on fairly determining this case, but only in protecting its legal machine criminal enterprise which is alive

and well in SC, in spite of the black eye given it with even the watered down version of the Murdaugh/Hill case.

Lastly, it is important to state that Appellants are not anti-government but are anti-corruption in government. Appellants have learned that ever person must be willing to stand against these wrongs crashing in light a flood, and then do everything legally permitted to stand, and allow God to deal with the situations where those abusers will not deal with it themselves. Appellants understand that no vengeance, no violence, no illegal activity is needed from Appellants to proceed. Just do, stand, and pray and leave the results to God. Appellants will continue to do so, until they are restored and receive all that has been stolen from them. This process with the Courts, starting on March 17, 2022, is an example of Appellants doing and standing in this matter. Believe or not, Appellant know and have further learned that God will not be mocked, and while we are all playing checkers, He plays chess, and looks down and laughs at the schemes of men who try to outsmart Him and corrupt His justice, nor those who mock His justice.

Wherefore, for the above reasons, Appellants ask this Court to 1) note Appellants Strong Objections and some of the reasons why; 2) give them time/date extensions, as indicated, 3) only in the alternative, and a lack of due process given, do they demand an extension of time for the initial filing of their brief and Designation of Matters. 3) Appellants also remind and encourage the Court that it MUST on its own acts, recuse if it can't be impartial and unbiased, which bias is already being noted herein. Please note Appellants are determined to finally receive Justice in SC in this case and it would be proper to 4) enter the Judgements for Appellants submitted in the lower court repeatedly but ignored, in its default entries for

judgment, its Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, and its demand to enter Judgment for Appellants for all the bad faith Fraud on the Court of the attorneys, before Appellants realized and discovered the RACKETEERING was coming from the Judges first and foremost in collusion with the attorneys and others. 5) Appellants also repeat the request that criminal investigations are ordered into all Government wrongdoing and that of their agents as is proper and necessary in this matter.

Dr. Linda Kennedy, ___/___/25

Dr. Marsha Fink, ___/___/25

Address for Appellants: P.O. Box 433, Anderson, SC; sosofunny1959@gmail.com; 954 279 3785


judgment, its Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, and its demand to enter Judgment for Appellants for all the bad faith Fraud on the Court of the attorneys, before Appellants realized and discovered the RACKETEERING was coming from the Judges first and foremost in collusion with the attorneys and others. 5) Appellants also repeat the request that criminal investigations are ordered into all Government wrongdoing and that of their agents as is proper and necessary in this matter.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Appellants certify that they have served copies of these Objections, Motion for Specific Request and Reminders on all counsel of record via first class postage prepaid on June 4, 2025.



Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A. 6/4/25




Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A. 6/4/25


Address for Appellants: P.O. Box 433, Anderson, SC; sosofunny1959@gmail.com; 954 279 3785

and Courie, LLC, 201 West McBee Avenue, 2nd Floor, Greenville, SC 29601 and on Marsha Stamm, as Co-Assistant Manager and Individually, Allen Riha, as Co-Assistant Manager and Individually, who are represented by James Cox, III, and Trevor Hughey, Grier, Cox and Cranshaw, LLC, 2001 Assembly Street, Suite 204, Columbia, SC 29201 by depositing copies of it in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid to their respective attorneys on June 4th, 2025.

DATE: June 4, 2025



Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785
Pro se Appellant



Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785
Pro se Appellant

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ANDERSON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

R. Lawton McIntosh, Circuit Court Judge

Court of Appeals No. 2025-000859

RECEIVED

JUN 09 2025

SC Court of Appeals

Linda Kennedy and

Marsha Fink

Appellants

v.

Lake Hartwell Resort and Cabins, LLC, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Resort and Cabins, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Campers and Cabins, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Management, a/k/a Chris Vellanti, a/k/a Christopher Vellanti; Christopher Vellanti, as a Member and Personally; Yvonne Goldman, as a General Manager and Personally; Frank Pellegrini; Fritzie Maroto; Jennifer Burdette; Marsha Stamm; Allen Riha; John Doe #1, a/k/a Ray Grenier a/k/a Ray Dukes; John Doe #2, a/k/a Tow Truck Owner and Operator; and John Doe #3, a/k/a LHR VRC Maintenance Employee,

Respondents.

PROOF OF SERVICE

Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A. and Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A., *pro se*, certify that we have served copies of **OBJECTIONS, MOTION FOR SPECIFIC REQUEST, REMINDERS TO COURT** on Lake Hartwell RV Resort and Cabins, LLC, aka Lake Hartwell Resort and Cabins, LLC, Lake Hartwell Resort and Cabins, Lake Hartwell Campers and Cabins, Lake Hartwell Management, Christopher Vellanti, Other, Christopher Vellanti, Corporately, as the Sole Member, Manager Employee and Individually, Yvonne Goldman, as General Manager, Employee and Individually, Jennifer Burdette, as Employee and Individually, Frank Pellegrini, as Employee and Individually, Fritzie Maroto (Moroto, other, Pellegrini) as Employee and Individually, Ray Grenier, as Independent Contractor and Individually, Grant Ferrendelli, as Independent Contractor and Individually and Charles Carpenter, as Employee and Individually, who are represented by Michael Neubauer, Esquire and Robert Mebane, Esquire of McAngus, Goudelock

DR Kennedy, J.D.S. BA
Dr. M Fink, JD, BA
PO Box 433
Townville, SC 29689

CERTIFIED MAIL



7022 1670 0001 0675 3443

FIRST-CLASS



US POSTAGE ® PITNEY BOWES

ZIP 29688 \$ 011.82⁰
02 7H
0001339660 JUN 04 2025



6-9

RECEIVED

JUN 09 2025
SC Court of Appeals

Clerk of SC Court of Appeals
PO Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

