

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

May 07 2025

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas
Thomas W. McGee III, Judge Code 2786

RECEIVED

JUN 11 2025

SC Court of Appeals

Appellate Case No. 2025-000532

RULE 203(b)(1)

Rule 203(b)(1) does not require an appeal, as a precautionary measure and/or an abundance of procedural caution, the following supporting documents from the appellant are attached.

RULE 203(b)(1)

When a form or other short order or judgment indicates that a more full and complete order or judgment is to follow, a party need not appeal until receipt of written notice of entry of the more complete order or judgment.

May 7, 2025



5.7.25

s/Federico Castro

FC-COA-11

1-CONTENT EXHIBIT "1A"

- 1) EXHIBIT "1A" Certificate of Electronic Notification
- 2) EXHIBIT "1A", p.1 Service/Proof of Notice of Appeal
- 3) EXHIBIT "1A", p.2 NOTICE OF APPEAL/CERTIFICATE OF MAIL
- 4) EXHIBIT "1A", p.3 NOTICE OF APPEAL (01/14/2025)
- 5) EXHIBIT "1A", p.4 PROOF OF SERVICE (01/14/2025)

RECEIVED

JUN 11 2025

SC Court of Appeals

2-CONTENT EXHIBIT "1-AB" CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT

- 1) USPS Blythewood , SC 29016 01/14/2025
- 2) EXHIBIT "1-AB, p.1 email Item Delivered January 21, 2025 9:12 am
- 3) EXHIBIT "1-AB", p.2 Text Message Delivered Individual Picked Up
- 4) EXHIBIT "1-AB", p.3 Tracking Result / USPS

3-CONTENT EXHIBIT "A"

- 1) EXHIBIT "A" Received Mar 17, 2025 SC Court of Appeals NOTICE OF APPEAL
- 2) EXHIBIT "B" Received Mar 17, 2025 3) PROOF OF SERVICE (01/14/2025)
- 3) EXHIBIT "B", p.1 Received Mar 17 2025 SC Court of Appeals N.O.A (01/14/2025)
- 4) EXHIBIT "B", p2 PROOF OF SERVICE 01/14/2025

FC-COA-12

4-CONTENT EXHIBIT "C"

- 1) EXHIBIT "C" Received Mar 17, 2025 SC Court of Appeals 1) N.O.A (01/14/2025)

5-CONTENT EXHIBIT "D"

- 1) EXHIBIT "D" Received Apr 02 2025 SC Court of Appeals FORM 4 FOR REVIEW
- 2) EXHIBIT "D", p.1 Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order.
- 3) EXHIBIT "D", p.2 State of Judgment 2025 Jan 07 12:10 PM 2024CP4005272
- 4) EXHIBIT "D", p.3 FORM 4 Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order.
- 5) EXHIBIT "D", p.4 The day of Entry of Judgment
- 6) EXHIBIT "D", p.5 Order / Electronic Form 4

6-CONTENT EXHIBIT "E"

- 1) EXHIBIT "E", p.1 FORM 4
- 2) Statement of Judgment Jan 07 12:10 PM CASE#2024CP4005272
- 3) Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order.
- 4) EXHIBIT "E", p.2 The date of Entry of Judgment 2025 Jan 07 12:10 PM
- 5) EXHIBIT "E", p.3 Order/Electronic Form 4 Jan 07 12:10 PM

7-CONTENT EXHIBIT "BOA"-P.4

- 1) EXHIBIT "BOA" -P.1 WITHOUT AN ORDER
- 2) EXHIBIT "BOA" -P.2 On Jan 14, 2025 served Notice of Appeal on SCDMV Driver Records Manager, Respondent.
- 3) "Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order".
- 4) EXHIBIT "BOA" -P.3 Without ana Order
- 5) Respondent failed to file order / It's Over.

FC-COA-13

1-CONTENT EXHIBIT "1A"

- 1) EXHIBIT "1A" Certificate of Electronic Notification
- 2) EXHIBIT "1A", p.1 Service/Proof of Notice of Appeal
- 3) EXHIBIT "1A", p.2 NOTICE OF APPEAL/CERTIFICATE OF MAIL
- 4) EXHIBIT "1A", p.3 NOTICE OF APPEAL (01/14/2025)
- 5) EXHIBIT "1A", p.4 PROOF OF SERVICE (01/14/2025)

FC COA-14

Certificate of Electronic Notification

Recipients
Curtis Hutchinson - Notification transmitted on 02-14-2025 02:46:15 PM.
Thomas Eller - Notification transmitted on 02-14-2025 02:46:15 PM.




EXHIBIT "1A" Certificate of Electronic Notification

EXHIBIT "1A"


FC 00A-15

EXHIBIT "1A" , p.1 Certificate of Electronic Notification

******* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION *******
NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING [NEF]

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Feb 14 2:54 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4005272

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: 2024CP4005272

Official File Stamp: 02-14-2025 02:45:52 PM
Court: CIRCUIT COURT
Common Pleas
Richland
Case Caption: Federico Castro vs Scdmv Driver Records
Manager , defendant, et al
Document(s) Submitted: Certificate/Certificate
Letter/Letter
Notice/Other
Service/Proof Of 
Filing/Other **EXHIBIT "1A" , p.1**
Filed by or on behalf of: Alix Smith

This notice was automatically generated by the Court's auto-notification system.

The following people were served electronically:

Curtis Regurrel Hutchinson for Scdmv Driver
Records Manager et al
Thomas H. Eller, III for Scdmv Driver Records
Manager et al

**The following people have not been served electronically by the Court. Therefore, they must
be served by traditional means:**

Federico Castro for Federico Castro
Federico Castro for Federico Castro

FC-COA-16

EXHIBIT "1A" , p.2 NOTICE OF APPEAL/CERTIFICATE OF MAIL

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Feb 14 2:54 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4005272

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND**

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Civil Action No.: 2024CP4005272**

**Federico Castro
PALINTIFF/APPELLANT**

**NOTICE OF APPEAL/CERTIFICATE OF
MAIL**

**Vs.
Driver Records Manager of Scdmv
DEFENDANT'S/RESPONDENT'S**

JUDGE MCGEE, THOMAS WILLIAM III

BY THIS CERTIFICATE, that a copy of the notice of appeal has been sent to the defendant/respondent a true copy thereof and that the following reference number supports this certification 9889 0710 5270 1132 4451 31, 01/14/2025 11:26 AM Driver Records, PO BOX 1498, Blythewood, SC 29016-0028 and that it was respectively sent to the Honorable Jeanette W. McBride, RICHLAND COUNTY CLERK OF COURT, that under the information of the certification receiver number 9589 0710 5270 1132 4451 24, 01/14/2025-11:26 AM under the request that they be archived and sealed in a timely manner so that they become part of the files of the described civil action 2024CP4005272.

Therefore, I certify that I have sent a copy of the notice of appeal of the plaintiff-appellant on January 14, 2024 via certified mail, to the defendant/respondent and that without any verification they claim to be the other party, however the legitimately sued party does not appear in any record and is referred to as Driver Records Manager of Scdmv.

Thanking you for your consideration in this matter, cordially and respectfully, with nothing more to add, I am.

JAN 15, 2025



Federico Castro
90 Garrison Ct.
Ridgeland, SC 29936
gchome19@gmail.com

EXHIBIT "1A" , p.2

FC- COA- 117

EXHIBIT "1A" , p.3 NOTICE OF APPEAL

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND**

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Civil Action No.: 2024CP4005272**

The Honorable McGee, Thomas Williams III

Federico Castro _____ **Appellant**

Vs.


Driver Records Manager of Scdmv _____ **Respondent.**

NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT, Federico Castro, hereby gives notice of his appeal, in the above action of December 5, 2024 until January 7, 2025 are set forth as follows: There is no specification by the court whether the case is dismissed with prejudice or without prejudice. Therefore, RES JUDICATA DOCTRINE it adjudicates, decrees and orders against the respondents. Respondents losing the case under the Doctrine res judicata, the Court erred by failing and/or refusing to recognize the controlling authority of the Doctrine and by failing and/or to recognize its ruling as reflected law. The court has therefore erred and abused its discretion and dishonored its own reason for being by failing to comply with its own decisions in an order issued and filed on January 7, 2025 without any legal basis expressed, described or specified and totally void. Respondents, driver records manager, do not appear in any document and the undersigned representatives have nothing to do with this matter.

Federico Castro

Federico Castro
FC COA-18



(01/14/2025)

EXHIBIT "1A" , p.3

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Feb 14 2:54 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4005272

EXHIBIT "1A" , p.4 PROOF OF SERVICE

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND**

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Civil Action No.: 2024CP4005272**

The Honorable McGee, Thomas William III



Federico Castro _____ **Appellant**

Vs.

Driver Records Manager of Scdmv _____ **Respondent.**

PROOF OF SERVICE



THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT, certifies that he has sent a copy of the notice of appeal of the plaintiff appellant on January 14, 2025 via certified mail to the address described below and that without any verification they claim to be the other party, however the legitimately sued party does not appear in any record. (Driver Records Manager of Scdmv). Post office Box 1498, Blythewood, SC 29016-0028

**Federico Castro
90 Garrison Ct.
Ridgeland, SC 29936
gchome19@gmail.com**

FC-COA-19

01/14/2025




EXHIBIT "1A" , p.4

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Feb 14 2:34 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4005272

2-CONTENT EXHIBIT "1-AB" CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT

- 1) USPS Blythewood , SC 29016 01/14/2025
- 2) EXHIBIT "1-AB, p.1 email Item Delivered January 21, 2025 9:12 am
- 3) EXHIBIT "1-AB", p.2 Text Message Delivered Individual Picked Up
- 4) EXHIBIT "1-AB", p.3 Tracking Result / USPS

EXHIBIT "1-AB" CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT



BAKER VILLAGE
 1435 BENNING DR
 COLUMBUS, GA 31903-9698
 (800)275-8777

01/14/2025 11:26 AM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
---------	-----	------------	-------

First-Class Mail® Large Envelope	1		\$2.04
Columbia, SC 29201 Weight: 0 lb 2.10 oz Estimated Delivery Date Fri 01/17/2025			
Certified Mail®			\$4.85
Tracking #: 9589 0710 5270 1132 4451 24			
Total			\$6.89

First-Class Mail® Letter	1		\$0.73
Blytheood, SC 29016 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Fri 01/17/2025			
Certified Mail®			\$4.85
Tracking #: 9589 0710 5270 1132 4451 31			
Total			\$5.58

Grand Total: \$12.47

Debit Card Remit \$12.47

Card Name: MasterCard
 Account #: XXXXXXXXXX6445
 Approval #: 142565
 Transaction #: 684
 Receipt #: 083946
 Debit Card Purchase: \$12.47
 AID: A000000042203 Contactless
 AL: DEBIT

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Preview your Mail
 Track your Packages
 Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
 Refunds for guaranteed services only.
 Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
 Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
 or scan this code with your mobile device.



FC COA-01

9589 0710 5270 1132 4451 24

U.S. Postal Service		CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT	
Domestic Mail Only			
Address/Origin/Post Office/Post Office/Post Office		Columbia, SC 29201	
Certified Mail Fee	\$4.85		0503 99
Extra Services & Fees (check box, and fee)	\$0.00		Postmark Here
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$0.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$0.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$0.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$0.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$0.00		
Postage	\$2.04		01/14/2025
Total Postage and Fees	\$6.89		
Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.			
City, State, ZIP+4®			

9589 0710 5270 1132 4451 31

U.S. Postal Service		CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT	
Domestic Mail Only			
Address/Origin/Post Office/Post Office/Post Office		Blytheood, SC 29016	
Certified Mail Fee	\$4.85		0503 99
Extra Services & Fees (check box, and fee)	\$0.00		Postmark Here
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$0.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$0.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$0.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$0.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$0.00		
Postage	\$0.73		01/14/2025
Total Postage and Fees	\$5.58		
Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.			
City, State, ZIP+4®			



EXHIBIT "1-AB" , p.1 email Item Delivered

USPS® Item Delivered, Individual Picked Up at Postal Facility 9589071052701132445131

message

auto-reply@usps.com
o: gchome19@gmail.com

Tue, Jan 21, 2025 at 9:36 AM



Hello ,

Your item was picked up at a postal facility at 9:12 am on January 21, 2025 in BLYTHEWOOD, SC 29016.

Tracking Number: 9589071052701132445131

Delivered, Individual Picked Up at Postal Facility



[Tracking & Delivery Options](#)

[My Account](#)

Get Tracking Updates In a Daily Email

Our informed Delivery® feature sends you a daily email with tracking and delivery updates on your tracking numbers and automatically notifies you if you don't have a delivery track your delivery.

[Sign Up for Free](#)

Expected delivery date and time is subject to change, but if it does we'll send you an email update. Delivery options not available for all packages at all times.

FC COA-22

Visit [USPS Tracking®](#) to check the most up-to-date status of your package. Sign up for [Informed](#)

1:42

73%



28777



CENTER Reply STOP to cancel

Saturday • 11:58 AM

USPS 9589071052701132445124, Arrived
at USPS Destination Facility 01/18/2025
11:52am COLUMBIA SC PROCESSING
CENTER Reply STOP to cancel

Saturday • 1:12 PM

USPS 9589071052701132445209, Arrived
at USPS Destination Facility 01/18/2025
1:02pm COLUMBIA SC PROCESSING
CENTER Reply STOP to cancel

EXHIBIT "1-AB" , p.2 Text Message Delivered

9:38 AM

USPS 9589071052701132445131,
Delivered, Individual Picked Up at Postal
Facility 01/21/2025 9:12am BLYTHEWOOD
SC 29016 Reply STOP to cancel

9:38 AM

STOP



Text message



FG COA 23

7:48

50%



Tracking Results | USPS



9589071052701132445131

Add Name

Delivered:

BLYTHEWOOD, SC 29016 on
January 21, 2025 at 9:12 am

EXHIBIT "1-AB" , p.3 Tracking Result / USPS

Additional Information

Your item was picked up at a postal facility at 9:12 am on
January 21, 2025 in BLYTHEWOOD, SC 29016.

Get Text and Email Updates

Tracking History

January 21, 2025

9:12 am

Delivered, Individual Picked Up at Postal Facility,
BLYTHEWOOD, SC 29016

January 21, 2025

9:11 am

Arrived at Post Office,
BLYTHEWOOD, SC 29016

January 20, 2025

In Transit to Next Facility,

January 18, 2025

7:42 am

Arrived at USPS Regional Destination Facility,
COLUMBIA SC PROCESSING CENTER

FC-COA-24

3-CONTENT EXHIBIT "A"

- 1) EXHIBIT "A" Received Mar 17, 2025 SC Court of Appeals NOTICE OF APPEAL
- 2) EXHIBIT "B" Received Mar 17, 2025 3) PROOF OF SERVICE (01/14/2025)
- 3) EXHIBIT "B", p.1 Received Mar 17 2025 SC Court of Appeals N.O.A (01/14/2025)
- 4) EXHIBIT "B", p2 PROOF OF SERVICE 01/14/2025

FG COA-25

EXHIBIT "A"

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2025

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Civil Action No.: 2024CP4005272

The Honorable McGee, Thomas Williams III

Federico Castro _____ Appellant

Vs.

Driver Records Manager of Scdmv _____ Respondent.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT, Federico Castro, hereby gives notice of his appeal, in the above action of December 5, 2024 until January 7, 2025 are set forth as follows: There is no specification by the court whether the case is dismissed with prejudice or without prejudice. Therefore, RES JUDICATA DOCTRINE it adjudicates, decrees and orders against the respondents. Respondents losing the case under the Doctrine res judicata, the Court erred by failing and/or refusing to recognize the controlling authority of the Doctrine and by failing and/or to recognize its ruling as reflected law. The court has therefore erred and abused its discretion and dishonored its own reason for being by failing to comply with its own decisions in an order issued and filed on January 7, 2025 without any legal basis expressed, described or specified and totally void. Respondents, driver records manager, do not appear in any document and the undersigned representatives have nothing to do with this matter.

Federico Castro

[Handwritten Signature]
FG COA-24



(01/14/2025)

EXHIBIT "A"

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Feb 14 2:54 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4005272

1) NOTICE OF APPEAL-(01/14/2025)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - Feb 14 2:54 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS -
CASE#2024CP4005272

EXHIBIT "B"

SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTION

RECEIVED

1* There is no specification by the court whether the case is dismissed with prejudice or without prejudice from 12/5/2024 until January 7, 2025 .

SC Court of Appeals

2* Therefore, Res Judicata adjudicates, decrees and orders against the Defendant's/Respondent's.

3* Defendant's/Respondent's losing the case under the Doctrine Res Judicata .

2) EXHIBIT "F" -(12/05/2024.A)

1* # 90 -# 91--- And while I understand it was "dismissed without prejudice" .

2* # 165 - 168- So res judicata, don't apply with the merit of the judgment, Of Judge Manning. Instead, Judge Manning denied the motion to dismiss with prejudice and this is the same thing where they argue at this moment and it's barred with a Res Judicata Doctrine and they already lost the opportunity

3* # 187 - 188 - So what do you have to say about whether or not res judicata applies if the case is dismissed without prejudice?

4* # 191 - Yes, Your Honor. You Know it. I mean. I agree.

EXHIBIT "B"

3) PROOF OF SERVICE (01/14/20250

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Feb 14 2:54 PM RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS -
2024CP4005272

MAR 17, 2025

FC COA-27

EXHIBIT "B", p.1

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND**

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2025

SC Court of Appeals

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Civil Action No.: 2024CP4005272

The Honorable McGee, Thomas Williams III

Federico Castro _____ **Appellant**

Vs.

Driver Records Manager of Scdmv _____ **Respondent.**


NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT, Federico Castro, hereby gives notice of his appeal, in the above action of December 5, 2024 until January 7, 2025 are set forth as follows: There is no specification by the court whether the case is dismissed with prejudice or without prejudice. Therefore, RES JUDICATA DOCTRINE it adjudicates, decrees and orders against the respondents. Respondents losing the case under the Doctrine res judicata, the Court erred by failing and/or refusing to recognize the controlling authority of the Doctrine and by failing and/or to recognize its ruling as reflected law. The court has therefore erred and abused its discretion and dishonored its own reason for being by failing to comply with its own decisions in an order issued and filed on January 7, 2025 without any legal basis expressed, described or specified and totally void. Respondents, driver records manager, do not appear in any document and the undersigned representatives have nothing to do with this matter.

EXHIBIT "B",p1

(01/14/2025)

Federico Castro


FC COA-28

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Feb 14 2:34 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4005272

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Feb 14 2:54 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4005272

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND**

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Civil Action No.: 2024CP4005272**

The Honorable McGee, Thomas William III



Federico Castro _____ **Appellant**

Vs.

Driver Records Manager of Scdmv _____ **Respondent.**

PROOF OF SERVICE

THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT, certifies that he has sent a copy of the notice of appeal of the plaintiff appellant on January 14, 2025 via certified mail to the address described below and that without any verification they claim to be the other party, however the legitimately sued party does not appear in any record. (Driver Records Manager of Scdmv). Post office Box 1498, Blythewood, SC 29016-0028

Federico Castro
90 Garrison Ct.
Ridgeland, SC 29936
gchome19@gmail.com

FC-COA-09

EXHIBIT "B", p2

01/14/2025



4-CONTENT EXHIBIT "C"

1) EXHIBIT "C" Received Mar 17, 2025 SC Court of Appeals 1) N.O.A
(01/14/2025)

2) EXHIBIT "C", p1 Received Mar 17, 2025 SC Court of Appeals

FC-COA-30

CONTENT OF THE NUMERICAL DESCRIPTION

-1-

EXHIBIT "C"

1) **NOTICE OF APPEAL-(01/14/2025)**

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED - Feb 14 2:54 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS -
CASE#2024CP4005272**

SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTION

- 1) **There is no specification by the court whether the case is dismissed with prejudice or without prejudice**
- 2) **Therefore, RES JUDICATA DOCTRINE adjudicates, decrees and orders against the Defendant's/Respondent's.**
- 3) **Defendant's/Respondent's losing the case under the Doctrine Res Judicata**

MAR 17, 2025

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2025

SC Court of Appeals

FC-COA-31

EXHIBIT "C", p.1

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2025

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND**

SC Court of Appeals

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Civil Action No.: 2024CP4005272**

The Honorable McGee, Thomas Williams III

Federico Castro _____ **Appellant**

Vs.

Driver Records Manager of Scdmv _____ **Respondent.**

NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT, Federico Castro, hereby gives notice of his appeal, in the above action of December 5, 2024 until January 7, 2025 are set forth as follows: There is no specification by the court whether the case is dismissed with prejudice or without prejudice. Therefore, RES JUDICATA DOCTRINE it adjudicates, decrees and orders against the respondents. Respondents losing the case under the Doctrine res judicata, the Court erred by failing and/or refusing to recognize the controlling authority of the Doctrine and by failing and/or to recognize its ruling as reflected law. The court has therefore erred and abused its discretion and dishonored its own reason for being by failing to comply with its own decisions in an order issued and filed on January 7, 2025 without any legal basis expressed, described or specified and totally void. Respondents, driver records manager, do not appear in any document and the undersigned representatives have nothing to do with this matter.

Federico Castro

[Handwritten Signature]
FC COA-22

EXHIBIT "C",p1



(01/14/2025)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Feb 14 2:54 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4005272

5-CONTENT EXHIBIT "D"

- 1) EXHIBIT "D" Received Apr 02 2025 SC Court of Appeals FORM 4 FOR
REVIEW
- 2) EXHIBIT "D", p.1 Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed
order.
- 3) EXHIBIT "D", p.2 State of Judgment 2025 Jan 07 12:10 PM
2024CP4005272
- 4) EXHIBIT "D", p.3 FORM 4 Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a
proposed order.
- 5) EXHIBIT "D", p.4 The day of Entry of Judgment
- 6) EXHIBIT "D", p.5 Order / Electronic Form 4

FC-COA-33

RECEIVED

Apr 02 2025

SC Court of Appeals

April 1, 2025


The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 2911

EXHIBIT "D"

RE: Federico Castro v. SCDMV
Appellate Case No. 2025-000532

Dear Ms. Kitchings

For more details regarding **statement judgment** you will find under the following:

6) PLAINTIFF / APPELLANT SUBMIT FORM 4 FOR REVIEW 

"PLAINTIFF / APPELLANT SUBMITS FORM 4 FOR REVIEW"

6a. FORM 4 - 01/07/2025

6b. FORM 4 - 12/5/2024

6C. Request for "other evidence"


6d. Certificate of Evidence (returned)

The appellant indicates FORM 4, as described in its content:

Statement of Judgment

Thanking you for the attention provided and that of your valuable team, sincerely.

s/Federico Castro
90 Garrison Ct.
Ridgeland, SC 29936
gchome19@gmail.com
(843) 683-5795


4/1/2025
FC COA-34

6) PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT SUBMIT FORM 4 FOR REVIEW

SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTION

1* "other evidence properly before the court.

2* Defendant's Motion to dismiss with prejudice taken under advisement

EXHIBIT "D",p1

3* Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order.

1) APPELLANT/REQUESTED

a . Request for "other evidence" submitted on December 5, 2024

BRANDY A. DUNCAN SC Bar#72052 General Counsel

CURTIS HUTCHINSON, SC Bar #76634 General Counsel

2) CERTIFICATE OF EVIDENCE

b . Frivolous motion to dismiss with prejudice -- 15.36.10

Mar 17, 2025

FC COA-35

“PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT SUBMITS FORMS 4 FOR REVIEW”

Statement of Judgement 2024 Dec 05 12:24 PM 2024CP4005272

Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss was heard on 12/5/24. After hearing from counsel of record and reviewing all filing and other evidence properly before the Court. Defendant’s Motion was taken under advisement by Judge McGee.

State of Judgement 2025 Jan 07 12:10 PM 2024CP4005272

Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss was heard on 12/5/24. After hearing from counsel of Record and reviewing all filing and other evidence properly before the Court. Defendant’s Motion is granted. Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order.

EXHIBIT “D”,p2

Brief Summary of the Facts and chronology of the procedure

1) On “other evidence properly before the Court.”, Appellant has requested:

a. Request for “other evidence”

submitted on December 5, 2024

JUDGE MCGEE, THOMAS WILLIAM III

b. CERTIFICATE OF EVIDENCE

February 26, 2025

FC-COA-36

FC-F4

Federico Castro
PLAINTIFF(S)

Scdmv Driver Records Manager et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**

DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE)

- Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was heard on 12/5/24. After hearing from counsel of record and reviewing all filings and other evidence properly before the Court, Defendant's Motion is granted. Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order.

EXHIBIT "D", p3

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected in the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 01/06/2025.

Federico Castro for Federico Castro
Federico Castro for Federico Castro

FC-COA-317 NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.

EXHIBIT "D",p4



LECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Jan 07 12:10 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4005272

FC-COA-38

FC-F4



Richland Common Pleas

Case Caption: Federico Castro vs Scdmv Driver Records Manager , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2024CP4005272

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4



EXHIBIT "D",p5

So Ordered

s/ Thomas W. McGee III, Judge Code 2786

Electronically signed on 2025-01-06 08:57:21 page 3 of 3

FC-COA-39

FC-F4
JL

6-CONTENT EXHIBIT "E"

- 1) EXHIBIT "E", p.1 FORM 4
- 2) Statement of Judgment Jan 07 12:10 PM CASE#2024CP4005272
- 3) Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order.
- 4) EXHIBIT "E", p.2 The date of Entry of Judgment 2025 Jan 07 12:10 PM
- 5) EXHIBIT "E", p.3 Order/Electronic Form 4 Jan 07 12:10 PM

FC-COA-40

EXHIBIT "E"

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Richland
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2024CP4005272

Federico Castro
PLAINTIFF(S)

Scdmv Driver Records Manager et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 12(c), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE):** Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

EXHIBIT "E"

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was heard on 12/5/24. After hearing from counsel of record and reviewing all filings and other evidence properly before the Court, Defendant's Motion is granted. Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order.

EXHIBIT "E"

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected in the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 01/06/2025.

Federico Castro for Federico Castro
Federico Castro for Federico Castro

EXHIBIT "E"

EXHIBIT "E".d1

RECEIVED

Apr 02 2025

SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL



Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Jan 07 12:10 PM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP4006272




EXHIBIT "E", p2



Richland Common Pleas



Case Caption: Federico Castro vs Scdmv Driver Records Manager , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2024CP4005272
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4 

So Ordered

s/ Thomas W. McGee III, Judge Code 2786

Electronically signed on 2025-01-06 08:57:21 page 3 of 3

EXHIBIT "E",p3

FC-COA-43

7-CONTENT EXHIBIT "BOA"-P.4

- 1) EXHIBIT "BOA" -P.1 WITHOUT AN ORDER
- 2) EXHIBIT "BOA" -P.2 On Jan 14, 2025 served Notice of Appeal on SCDMV
Driver Records Manager, Respondent.
- 3) "Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order".
- 4) EXHIBIT "BOA" -P.3 Without ana Order
- 5) Respondent failed to file order / It's Over.

FC-00A-44

**FORM 13
BRIEF OF APPELLANT***

RECEIVED

Apr 23 2025

SC Court of Appeals

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

**APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas**

Thomas W. McGee III, Judge Code 2786

Appellate Case No. 2025-000532

**EXHIBIT
"BOA"-P.4**

Federico Castro, Appellant.

V.

**South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles Driver Records Manager,
Respondent.**

[INITIAL] BRIEF OF APPELLANT



s/Federico Castro
90 Garrison Ct.
Ridgeland SC 28836
gchome19@gmail.com
(843)683-5795

April 22, 2025

FC-coA-45

**EXHIBIT
"BOA"-P.1**

STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

- 1. DID THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE MAKE AN ERR IN NOT CONSIDERING THAT THIS ACTION OF ENDING THE CASE WITHOUT AN ORDER?**
- 2. DID THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE MAKE AN ERR IN NOT CONSIDERING THAT, THE MOTION PRESENTED VIOLATES THE APPELLANT RIGHT TO A LEGAL REMEDY?**
- 3. DID THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE MAKE AN ERR IN NOT CONSIDERING THAT THIS ACTION IS PRESCRIBED BY RES JUDICATA AGAINST THE RESPONDENTS?**

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On August 29, 2024, Federico Castro, Appellant, brought this action alleging fraud against South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles Driver Records Manager, Respondent. The complaint consists of removing notices and paying for damages.

On Sep 11, 2024, Respondent filed a MOTION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE, Exhibit A dated Tue 10/8/2019 4:12 PM (4 attachments) and Exhibit B ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE dated 2019 Oct 10 11:48 AM.

On Dec 5, 2024, the motion to dismiss with prejudice was tried via WEBEX before Judge Thomas McGee Code 2786, from 11:08 AM to 11:30: AM. After hearing from counsel of record and reviewing all filings and other evidence properly before the Court, Defendant's Motion was taken under advisement by Judge McGee.

FC-COA-46

On Jan 24, 2025 1:21 PM in the mailbox, appellant found the Statement of Judgment E.F 2025 Jan 07 12:10 PM, Defendant's Motion is granted. Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order.

On Jan 14, 2014, Federico Castro, Appellant, served the Notice of Appeal on South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicle Driver Records Manager, Respondent.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

(1.a) On January 7, 2025 at 12:10 PM, the Statement Judgment corresponding to case No. 2024CP4005272 was electronically filed, in which the defense counsel is ordered to file a proposal order within the explicit term of 10 days and in the subtitle information order it marks the end of the order, defense counsel failed to comply with the rules and procedures of the court **RULE 5(b)(3)** by not filing the order and there is no reference to it, which in turn makes any legal procedure impossible and is detailed below:

"Defense counsel has 10 days in which to file a proposed order."

(b)(3) Service of Proposed Orders and Other Papers. Any party providing a proposed order, proposed findings of fact or conclusions of law, or proposed judgment or other paper to the court for its consideration in any pending matter shall serve the same on all counsel of record at the same time and by the same means.

Note to 1994 Amendment:

Rule 5(b)(3) clarifies the intent of **Rule 5(a)** and requires that proposed orders, findings of fact and conclusions of law and other materials provided to the court are to be served on all counsel of record. The material is to be provided to all other counsel at the same time and by the same means as they are provided to the court. Thus opposing counsel will have the opportunity to review and comment on the proposed order before it is signed. The rule does not require the court to delay entering any proposed order.

FC COA-47

**EXHIBIT
"BOA"-P.3**

(2.b) Without an order, it is legally impossible to determine the specifics of the respondent's motion, giving rise to irregularities, assumptions, conjectures, speculation, and everything else related to that endless imaginary rift. Consequently, there is no legal basis, constituting a violation of the appellant's civil and constitutional rights.

(3.c) RES JUDICATA DOCTRINE APPLY AGAINST South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles, Respondent. Complying with the requirements of the Doctrine 1. The parties are identical, [Federico Castro], 2. A valid judgment against the respondents in the former suit, [Motion to dismiss Without Prejudice], 3. The same matter that has been subject. [Motion to dismiss With Prejudice].

FACTS

Respondents failed to file the proposed order and consequently have lost the opportunity before the court of appeals because the default judgment is automatic.

Under Res Judicata Doctrine applies against respondent's and is FINAL.

ARGUMENTS

- I. BECAUSE NOT ORDER WAS FILED IT'S OVER.**
- II. RES JUDICATA DOCTRINE IT'S FINAL AGAINST RESPONDENT**
- III. FRIVOLOUS MOTION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE 15.30.10**

CONCLUSION

For the reason stated, this Court should reverse the judgment of the circuit court.

**Respectfully submitted
s/Federico Castro
04/22/2025**


Federico Castro 4/22/25

FC-COA - 48

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF RICHLAND

Federico Castro

PLAINTIFF

Vs.

Driver Records Manager of Scdmv

DEFENDANT'S

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Civil Action: 2024CP4005272

PROOF OF SERVICE

HONORABLE CLERK OF COURT: Jeanette W. McBride

I certify that I have served the **entry of default judgment** on Driver Records Manager of SCDMV, by depositing a copy of in the Unite State Mail via CERTIFICATE MAIL, on **May 19, 2025 10:34AM**, addressed to attorney of records, BRANDY A. DUNCAN, SC Bar #72052, CURTIS HUTCHINSON, SC Bar # 76634 , THOMAS H. ELLER III, SC Bar # 75802, JEANNINE MOORE, #—SCDMV Post Office Box 1498, Blythewood, South Carolina 29016.---

May 19, 2025



5.19.25

s/Federico Castro
90 Garrison Ct. Ridgeland, SC 29936
gchome19@gmail.com
(843) 683-5795

PROOF OF SERVICE / ENTRY OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT / AUTOMATIC ENTRY OF
DEFAULT JUDGMENT / AFFIDAVIT / DELETE FTPTT / PAGE 10 /

FC-COA - 49