

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

ANTRONE JONES # 286594
APPELLANT;

CASE NO. 2013-001069

v.

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPT. CORR.
RESPONDENT,

OCT, 17, 2013

RECEIVED
OCT 21 2013

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

SC Court of Appeals

ON, 10,17,2013, APPELLANT RECEIVED AN ORDER FROM THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS STATING THAT, " AFTER CAREFUL CONSIDERATION OF THE PETITION FOR REHEARING, THE COURT IS UNABLE TO DISCOVER THAT ANY MATERIAL FACT OR PRINCIPLE OF LAW HAS BEEN EITHER OVERLOOKED OR DISREGARDED, AND HENCE, THERE IS NO BASIS FOR GRANTING A REHEARING. ACCORDINGLY, THE PETITION FOR REHEARING IS DENIED."

PRESENTATION OF MATERIAL FACTS: WHEN APPELLANT FILED NOTICE OF APPEAL, SUBSEQUENTLY HE RECEIVED DOCUMENTATIONS FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS ON, 5,29,2013, WHICH CONFOUNDED THE APPELLANT AND LEFT HIM WITH A FALSE IMPRESSION THAT CHRISTOPHER D. FLORIAN WAS REPRESENTING HIM IN THE ABOVE CAPTION WHEN THE DOCUMENTS FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS STATED, " ANY FILINGS SUBMITTED BY COUNSEL ADMITTED IN SOUTH CAROLINA MUST INCLUDE COUNSEL'S BAR NUMBER."

APPELLANT HAS FORWARDED THE COURT A COPY OF THE SPECIFIC DOCUMENTATIONS AND UNDERLINE THE PART THAT HE MISINTERPRETED FROM THE LETTER DATED, 5,29,2013

THE TWO LETTERS FROM THE SUPREME COURT DATED: 8,15,2013 AND 9,13,2013 ARE ALSO A CLEAR INDICATION THAT THE APPELLANT APPARENTLY THOUGHT THAT CHRISTOPHER D. FLORIAN WAS HIS COUNSEL AS DOCUMENTATIONS PRESENTED REFLECT SUCH NOTION WHEN THE APPELLANT FILED COMPLAINTS AGAINST MR. FLORIAN TO THE OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL ONCE HE WAS ADVISED BY THE COURT OF APPEALS THAT CERTAIN ESSENTIAL DOCUMENTS HAS NOT BEEN FILED.

HOWEVER, IF THE APPELLANT WOULD HAVE KNOWN THAT MR. FLORIAN WAS NOT HIS COUNSEL AND THAT HE WAS TO PREPARE ALL DOCUMENTS REQUESTED BY THE COURTS PERSONALLY?. APPELLANT WOULD HAVE DONE SO IN A TIMELY AND ORDERLY MANNER ACCORDINGLY AS HE HAVE DONE SO PRO-SE LITIGANT.

APPELLANT WOULD LIKE FOR THE COURT TO MODESTLY RECONSIDER THE PETITION FOR REHEARING GIVEN THE FACT OF THE DOCUMENTATIONS PRESENTED BY APPELLANT WHICH ARE INDICATIVE THAT HE MISINTERPRETED COUNSEL REPRESENTATION.

IF THE COURT GRANTS PETITION FOR REHEARING, APPELLANT WOULD LIKE FOR THE THE COURT TO CONSIDER ALL OF THE ESSENTIAL DOCUMENTS THAT HE HAS FILED RECENTLY PERTAINING TO CASE NO. 2013-001069

⋮

#286594 Antione Jones

Sworn to and Subscribed Before Me,
This 17th Day of October, 2013
Signed: Elizabeth Woods
Notary Public of South Carolina
My Commission Expires My Commission Expires May 01, 2017



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1015 SUMTER STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

May 29, 2013

Antrone Maurice Jones, 286594
T.C.I. SB-282
P.O. Box 252
Turbeville SC 29162

Re: Antrone Jones v. SCDC
Appellate Case No. 2013-001069

RECEIVED

OCT 21 2013

SC Court of Appeals


Dear Counsel:

This Court has received your notice of appeal, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter.

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 267 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at www.sccourts.org/courtreg. Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/HTMLFiles/2007-08-13-02.htm. Please note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as required by this order rests with counsel and the parties. This office will *not* review filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.

Very truly yours,


CLERK

cc: Christopher D. Florian
Jana E. Shealy



The Supreme Court of South Carolina
OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Lesley M. Coggiola
Disciplinary Counsel

Tiffany N. Richardson
Staff Attorney

Post Office Box 12159
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Telephone: (803) 734-2038
Fax: (803) 734-1964

August 15, 2013

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Antrone Maurice Jones #286594
Manning Correctional Institution
502 Beckman Drive
Columbia, SC 29203

RE: Lawyer: Christopher D. Florian, Esquire
Matter Number: 13-DE-L-1133

Dear Mr. Jones:

We have received your complaint against Christopher D. Florian, Esquire. We will conduct an investigation into the matters you have reported. You will not necessarily be contacted prior to a decision regarding your complaint; therefore, you should submit any additional information or documentation to support your allegations at this time.


The authority of this office and the jurisdiction of the Commission on Lawyer Conduct are limited to issues of whether a lawyer is subject to discipline pursuant to the Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement, Rule 413, SCACR. Where misconduct is found, sanctions may be imposed ranging from a confidential letter of caution or admonition, public reprimand, suspension, or disbarment. If our investigation does not reveal evidence of lawyer misconduct, your complaint will be dismissed.

We take this opportunity to advise you of the limited role of this office and the Commission so that you will be aware that we cannot provide you with assistance or advice. You will be notified of the final disposition of your complaint. It is often many months after receipt of a complaint before a final decision is made. Feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Richardson
Tiffany N. Richardson

TNR/clg



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Lesley M. Coggiola
Disciplinary Counsel

William C. Campbell
Assistant Disciplinary Counsel

Post Office Box 12159
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Telephone: (803) 734-2038
Fax: (803) 734-1964

September 13, 2013

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

Antrone Maurice Jones #286594
Manning Correctional Institution
502 Beckman Drive
Columbia, SC 29203

Re: Lawyer: Christopher D. Florian, Esquire
Case Number: 13-DE-L-1133

Dear Mr. Jones:

This office has conducted an investigation concerning the allegations of lawyer misconduct raised in the complaint you filed in connection with the above-referenced matter. This investigation focused on those grounds for misconduct set out in the Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement (RLDE), Rule 413, SCACR, adopted by the Supreme Court of South Carolina.

This office is not authorized to give advice concerning a legal dispute or dealings with a lawyer; nor is it empowered to intervene in a case. We cannot seek to cause things to be done by a lawyer on behalf of a complainant; nor can we seek to change the outcome of a case. Instead, this office deals solely with issues of misconduct or incapacity related to lawyers under these rules. We do so to preserve institutional values of the legal system in South Carolina for the benefit of the public as a whole, not to obtain individual benefit for a complainant.

The provisions of RLDE do not apply to legal matters related to whether or not the outcome of a dispute was fair or to errors of law or judgment that might have been made by a lawyer or judge. These are legal matters, which can only be addressed at trial or on appeal using appropriate procedures.


Antrone Maurice Jones
September 13, 2013
Page Two

In your letter of complaint, you allege that Christopher D. Florian, Esquire failed to diligently represent you and failed to expedite your appeal. As a result of your letter, this office conducted an investigation to ascertain if Mr. Florian's conduct violated the Rules of Professional Conduct and the RLDE.

From our investigation, this office has determined that there is no evidence of any such lawyer misconduct on the part of Mr. Florian arising out of the events mentioned in your complaint and that further investigation would not likely reveal any such evidence. Mr. Florian did not represent you nor could he as he is counsel for the South Carolina Department of Corrections. Mr. Florian is routinely copied on correspondence concerning appeals that involve the South Carolina Department of Corrections such as the correspondence regarding your appeal.

Accordingly, you are hereby notified of the intent of this office to dismiss your complaint pursuant to the provisions of Rule 19(d)(1) of RLDE. You may seek a review of this decision by an investigative panel of the Commission on Lawyer Conduct by filing a written request, which must be received in this office no later than October 16, 2013. If you request a panel review, the lawyer will be given an opportunity to respond. Your request and the lawyer's response, if any, will be considered at the next investigative panel meeting. You will then be notified of the panel's decision. Feel free to contact me if you have any questions regarding this process.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'William C. Campbell', is written over the typed name.

William C. Campbell

WCC/

cc: Christopher D. Florian, Esquire