



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

July 08, 2025

Mr. Shawn Travis Pinkston, Esquire
856 Lowcountry Blvd, Ste 101
Mount Pleasant SC 29464

Mr. Stephen Lynwood Brown, Esquire
PO Box 993
Charleston SC 29402-0993

Mr. Donald Jay Davis, Jr., Esquire
PO Box 993
Charleston SC 29402

Mr. Matthew Oliver Riddle, Esquire
PO Box 993
Charleston SC 29402

Mr. Russell Grainger Hines, Esquire
PO Box 993
Charleston SC 29402-0993

Re: William Haynes v. Fundamental Administrative Services, LLC
Appellate Case No. 2025-000286

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is the order of the Court. Pursuant to Rules 208 and 209 of the South

Carolina Appellate Court Rules, the appellants' initial brief and designation of matter are due within thirty days of the date of the order, or this appeal will be dismissed.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Catherine Hamrick, deputy". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "C".

CLERK

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

William Haynes, as Personal Representative of the Estate
of Elizabeth Varner, Respondent,

v.

Fundamental Administrative Services, LLC,
Fundamental Clinical and Operational Services, LLC,
and Jerrolyn Montgomery-Small, Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2025-000286

ORDER

On February 18, 2025, Appellants filed a notice of appeal from a circuit court order denying their motion to stay and granting a rule to show cause. On March 7, 2025, Appellants filed an amended motion to enforce the automatic stay and jurisdictional limitation pending appeal. Appellants moved this court to require Respondent to withdraw a subpoena served on a non-party in Oklahoma. Respondent filed a return on March 14, 2025, requesting this court deny Appellants' motion to withdraw the subpoena. Respondent explained the subpoena was issued on February 1, 2025, and it was domesticated by an Oklahoma court on February 12, 2025. Respondent argued the circuit court had jurisdiction at the time the subpoena was issued and domesticated, and that due to the domestication of the subpoena, the Oklahoma courts now have jurisdiction over the subpoena. After careful consideration, we grant Appellants' motion to the extent that we confirm an automatic stay is in place. However, we do not require Respondent to withdraw the subpoena. *See* Okla. Stat. tit. 12 § 3555 (providing for Oklahoma courts to issue protective orders to enforce, quash, or modify subpoenas issued).

On April 11, 2025, Respondent filed a motion to dismiss the appeal, arguing it is interlocutory because it is "essentially" an appeal of the denial of their motion for summary judgment. Appellants filed a return on May 12, 2025, and Respondent filed a reply on May 15, 2025. After careful consideration, we deny the motion to

dismiss. However, this order merely allows the appeal to proceed at this time and does not finally determine whether the underlying order is subject to review.


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Shawn Travis Pinkston, Esquire
Stephen Lynwood Brown, Esquire
Donald Jay Davis, Jr., Esquire
Matthew Oliver Riddle, Esquire
Russell Grainger Hines, Esquire

FILED
Jul 08 2025