

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGE: \_\_\_\_\_ IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

J Perry Kimball

University Of South Carolina

Gerge M Lee III

University of South Carolina Gamecock Club

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: \_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for :  Plaintiff  Defendant or  Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Not Suit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  Other \_\_\_\_\_
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):  Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  Other \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order  ends  does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk : \_\_\_\_\_

INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled
		\$
		\$
		\$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order: \_\_\_\_\_

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

Circuit Court Judge: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge Code: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this 20 September 2013 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

J. Lewis Cromer

Robert E. Stepp

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

Court Reporter

Clerk of Court

RECEIVED

*Jeanette W. McBride*

SEP 25 2013

J. LEWIS CROMER & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Case No. 2012-CP-40-03924

Linda Rodarte, J. Perry Kimbell )  
George M. Lee, III, Mena H. Gardner, )  
and John Love, )

Plaintiffs, )

vs. )

The University of South Carolina )  
and The University of South )  
Carolina Gamecock Club, )

Defendants. )

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**


JEANE HITE W. McBRIDE  
P. & G.S.  
2013 SEP 17 PM 4:15  
RICHLAND COUNTY  
FILED

This matter comes before the Court by way of Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider pursuant to Rules 52 and 59, SCRPC. Specifically, Plaintiffs ask this Court to reconsider its Order granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment entered on August 27, 2013.

After careful consideration of the record in this case and the submissions of counsel, this Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or facts not appropriately considered. Accordingly, this Court hereby **DENIES** Plaintiffs' Motion pursuant to Rules 52 and 59(e) SCRPC to Alter or Amend Judgment of this Court's Order entered on or about August 27, 2013. Pursuant to Rule 59(f), the Court is of the opinion that oral argument is not necessary.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

September 17, 2013  
Columbia, South Carolina

  
G. Thomas Cooper, Jr.  
Judge, Fifth Judicial Circuit

**SCANNED**