

RECEIVED**Jul 24 2025****SC Court of Appeals**

Re: Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro se V Hanahan Police Department
 C/A no. and Jurisdiction: 2022-CP-08-02508, Berkeley CP
 Appellate Case No.2023-001837

(Mayor Christie Rainwater)
 1255: Yeamans hall Rd.
 Hanahan Sc 29410

(Benjamin Crump attorney at Law)
Black Lives Matter
Court@bencrump.com

Richard Gebhardt <rgebhardt@cityofhanahan.com>

Via email South Carolina Court of Appeals
 1220 Senate Street, Columbia, SC 29201
 803-734-1890
 E-filing: ctappfilings@sccourts.org
 Catherine S Harrison, Chief Deputy Clerk
 The South Carolina Court of Appeal Post Office Box 11629

Cc[Via E-mail
 Ms. Ellore A Gains Esquire
 Hood law firm
 172 Meeting Street,
 Charleston, SC 29401
 Email: info@hoodlaw.com
 Mr. Evan M Sobocinski, Esquire

Dear: (Benjamin Crump attorney at Law) & **Richard Gebhardt** Chief of Hanahan police

I have filed a report with the United States Department of justice & I plan on sending Details on Hood Law Firms unethical behaviors proof that the City of Hanahan officials enables their Attorneys: (Hood Law firm who refuse to discuss the case because of my Skin Color & mental disabilities.)

I have submitted a Copy of Everything concerning **15-3-40**

Now I am getting Both the United **States Department of Justice**
 & The South Carolina Ethics Commission: involved & they
 Will receive a detail Complaint that I have already tried to resolve with the City of Hanahan
 But they feel unless I have a Powerful attorney like Mr. Crump Black Mentally Disabled lives don't
 matter I will attempt to set up a meeting With Hood law firm let this letter be the prove that I tried &
 that they refuse to meet with me because I am Black & Mentally Disabled. I will contact them by
 phone next week after I have completed the Complaints to Both departments I am Collecting evidence
 for a Class action Discrimination Law suit for Black mentally disabled Men & legal Malpractice by
 Hood Law firm that includes Conflict of interest using FALSE Statement & withholding Evidence of
 the officers Gross negligence that Cost her Badge being taken as well as offering \$1000 to settle while
 I was Mentally disabled in a mental hospital.

I am making sure other Black mentally Disabled Victims understand their Right to representing themselves Pro se because South Carolina attorneys are Working together with their corrupt judges

United States Department of Justice

642096-BDG

@ Catherine S Harrison, **HOOD Law firm & (The City of Hanahan Officials)**

I have attempted to resolve this Matter with the City of Hanahan but they stand behind Hood law firm that feels Mentally Disabled Black lives don't matter unless they have a Attorney.

My Civil rights have been violated; my freedom was taken away from me ,as well as my Home I am Struggling Mentally because of this case & I want Mr Crump to make sure I receive Payment for each day it takes to settle this case I am seeking \$1000,00 .

This is consolidated information that supports the Argument
(The Basic Theory of the Amended Brief)

Since Hanahan Police department has handled the officer's Gross negligence internally Compensation should not be a Discussion if I am forced to pay for legal representation I want the City of Hanahan ,Hood Law Firm & every official to be Held Accountable for their Gross Negligence as Well was Discrimination towards Black mentally Disabled Victims

I will attempt to Call Hood Law firm With in the Next 30 days while I take the Time to Edit my Complaint for the United States Department of Justice & The South Carolina ethic's Commission I am Thankful For Chief Richards help & I feel he Should Be The New Mayor of Hanahan as Well as Mr. Crump Should Be The New Governor of South Carolina I will use all my Connections with Every police officer & all Black lives Matter victims to Encourage Mr. Crump to be the Next Governor of South Carolina

Respectfully, Humbly Submitted Muhammad N

Wilson Pro se

8082 rivers Ave North Charleston SC 29406

creyolab@gmail.com 843-468-3432

Case No. 2022 CP-08-02508
 Appeal No. 2023-001837

Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro Se *plaintiff,*

Vs,

Hood law Firm, City of Hanahan, Berkeley County, & the South Carolina Court of appeal

Defendants,

For future Representation by Mr. Ben Crump official Complaint: **Universal Citation:**
 SC Code § 15-3-60 (2024)

When two or more disabilities shall coexist at the time the right of action accrues the limitation shall not attach until they all be removed.

The Court of appeal dismissed the appeal based on the Record of appeal Not being filed Proper, When I asked for Clarification & time to Process the information but they refuse to Acknowledge any Evidence I submitted because I am representing myself Pro Se

(Since The South Carolina Courts believe that Mentally Disabled Black Lives Don't Matter)

15-3-40

Since the Court of appeal won't acknowledge the new Evidence Submitted of the Inadmissible evidence, Brady Violations & Conflict of Interest

I would like to put everything on Record for Both the Supreme Court & District Court

Key points to remember:

- **Stare decisis:**

(c) While courts generally follow the doctrine of "stare decisis" (meaning to stand by things decided), which encourages adherence to precedent, this principle can be overcome in cases of serious judicial misconduct, where upholding the previous decision could undermine public confidence in the legal system.

- **Burden of proof:**

To overturn a decision based on judicial misconduct, the party seeking to do so must present compelling evidence demonstrating the misconduct and how it affected the outcome of the case.

- **Factors considered:**

(d) Courts will evaluate the severity of the alleged misconduct, whether it was intentional or negligent, and the potential impact on the fairness of the original proceedings when deciding whether to overturn a decision.

Examples of judicial misconduct that might lead to overturning a decision:

- **Bias or prejudice:** A judge exhibiting clear bias towards one party in the case.
- *Exhibit (a) Proof of Emotional Damages (see) Charleston County Mental Health DSM-IV Diagnosis 05/30/2023 Legacy spec popn: PSYCHIATRICALY DISABLED*
- 07/15/2024 DSM-IV Psych Diagnoses: 296.80-Unspecified bipolar and related disorder
- 300.00-Unspecified anxiety disorder
- 301.7-antisocial personality disorder
- 780.52 Insomnia disorder
- 298.9 Unspecified psychosis not due to a Substance or Known physiological condition
- 312.89-Conduct Disorder unspecified onset
- 296.34-Major Depressive Disorder, Recurrent, Severe with Psychotic features

-

- **Exhibit: (b)**

- : Hood Law Firm was engaging in private discussions with both Judge Young & Judge McCoy at Both Judgements Hood Law Firm was observed by the Plaintiff holding a private discussion after the plaintiff was leaving
-
- **(a) Ex parte communication:** A judge engaging in private discussions with one party without the knowledge of the other.
- **(b) Failure to disclose conflicts of interest:** Not disclosing a personal connection to a party in the case.

- **(c)Improper influence:** A judge being influenced by outside factors that could affect their decision.
- Is a Brady violation illegal?
- This duty is breached regardless of whether that information is withheld intentionally or unintentionally. If a Brady rule violation is discovered during trial, the court can either declare a mistrial or prohibit the prosecution from using unfavorable evidence which could be discredited by the withheld information.

A Brady violation, while typically associated with criminal cases, can also have implications for civil cases where government agencies or public entities are involved. Essentially, it's about the government's duty to disclose exculpatory evidence, meaning evidence that could be favorable to the opposing party, even if it weakens their case.

In addition, if the judge believes the withholding of evidence was particularly egregious, the prosecution may face charges of prosecutorial misconduct. If you suffered damages due to their actions, you might even be able to file suit for malicious prosecution

June 5 let the Record Show I just received the Information Hood Law Firm Failed to Submit The Former Police Lost Her Badge Due To Her False Statement
Exhibit (c)

Legal Requirements of a Brady Violation

To establish a Brady violation in court, **three key requirements must be met**. These requirements form the foundation for any Brady claim and help courts determine whether a defendant's due process rights have been violated. Let's examine each requirement in detail to understand what prosecutors must disclose and what defendants must prove.

1. Favorable Evidence to the Defendant

The prosecution must have withheld favorable evidence that is either

- **Exculpatory Evidence** – directly supports the innocence of the defendant
- **Impeaching** – challenges the credibility of the case or the key [witness of the prosecution](#)^[5].

For instance, if a witness statement or [DNA evidence](#)^[6] could have exonerated a defendant from wrongdoing, but the prosecution failed to disclose it, that would be Brady material

2. The Prosecution Withheld the Evidence

The prosecution must have omitted the evidence disclosure to the defense. This occurs either:

- **Intentionally:** The prosecution deliberately withholds evidence.
- **Unintentionally:** Evidence is missed or not presented because of carelessness.

Regardless of purpose, the concealment of evidence that may influence the outcome of the trial is a Brady right.

3. The Suppressed Evidence Caused Prejudice

The defendant has to prove that the **outcome of the trial would have been different if the evidence had been disclosed**.

§(A) Civil actions may only be commenced within the periods prescribed in this title after the cause of action has accrued, except when, in special cases, a different limitation is prescribed by statute. **36.105 Definition of “disability.”**

(a)

(1) *Disability* means, with respect to an individual:

(i) A physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of such individual; (ii) A record of such an impairment; or (iii) Being regarded as having such an impairment as described in paragraph (f) of this section.

(2) *Rules of construction.*

(i) The definition of “disability” shall be construed broadly in favor of expansive coverage, to the maximum extent permitted by the terms of the ADA. (ii) An individual may establish coverage under any one or more of the three prongs of the definition of disability in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, the “actual disability” prong in paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section, the “record of” prong in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section, or the “regarded as” prong in paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of this section. (iii) Where an individual is not challenging a public accommodation’s failure to provide reasonable modifications under § 36.302, it is generally unnecessary to proceed under the “actual disability” or “record of” prongs, which require a showing of an impairment that substantially limits a major life activity or a record of such an impairment. In these cases, the evaluation of coverage can be made solely under the “regarded as” prong of the definition of “disability,” which does not require a showing of an impairment that substantially limits a major life activity or a record of such an impairment. An individual may choose, however, to proceed under the “actual disability” or “record of” prong regardless of whether the individual is challenging a public accommodation’s failure to provide reasonable modifications.

(b)

(1) *Physical or mental impairment* means:

(i) Any physiological disorder or condition, cosmetic disfigurement, or anatomical loss affecting one or more body systems, such as: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, respiratory (including speech organs), cardiovascular, reproductive, digestive, genitourinary, immune, circulatory, hemic, lymphatic, skin, and endocrine; or (ii) Any mental or psychological disorder such as intellectual disability, organic brain syndrome, emotional or mental illness, and specific learning disability.

The "fruit of the poisonous tree" doctrine is a legal principle that extends the [exclusionary rule](#), meaning that evidence obtained through illegal or unconstitutional means is inadmissible in court. Not only is the illegally obtained

evidence itself excluded, but also any evidence derived from it (the "fruit"). This doctrine aims to deter police misconduct and uphold constitutional rights.

It is Unfortunate that The State Of South Carolina Won't Accept That Black lives matter

Since I am but 1 of many cases of Mentally Disabled Black Men Falsely Arrested & never compensated for the Former Hanahan police I am Attempting to Get Black Lives Matter involved

Due to the City of Hanahan Gross Negligence they allowed the Attorneys to Use Conflict of Interests & Judicial Misconducts to Cover up the Brady Violations Rule 37.

I request under Procedural Fairness & Procedural Law that the City of Hanahan please Schedule a time & Date to discuss a settlement offer

I request the city uses their Mandatory authority Mandated to avoid wasting the Hierarchy of Authority court time I do not wish to Appeal the Court of appeal based on the Final brief That includes information that (Black Lives Matter) would assume the Police department is responsible when they clearly did their Job internally

Please Let the court record Show that all Parties are Aware of my Mental health disabilities,

Subsequently only the Respondent Hanahan Police Department Chief has displayed Honor by Disclosing the Evidence that Hood law Firm has been Hiding from all the Courts that the Officer lost her Badge because of Her false arrest made on me.

Question presented will the Court of appeal Ignore the Brady Violations? In 3 Separate Courts The Federal Court, Berkeley County & The Court of appeal was not aware that the Officer lost her Badge & the Statement Hood law Firm was using was illegal & Inadmissible in Court (Conflict of interest & judicial Misconducts) (not to mention) this Entire Case is Built off the Former Police officer false Statement DEEMED Inadmissible in Court.

What Hood Law Firm Don't Know is what I know & the Chief of Hanahan Police Knows the Child has already Corroborated my statement of Abuse by Her mother Not Only Did Hood Law firm use inadmissible Evidence; it was also illegal Evidence subsequently the Officer statement was Part of the reason for her losing her Badge.

Based on the Forgoing Partnership With the respondent's Chief I am only seeking Compensatory damages from the City of Hanahan for Their City Gross Negligence. I do not wish to seek any sanctions unless they continue to allow their Attorneys to Use unethical tactics to delay the inevitable

Subsequently Hood law Firm don't represent Mayor rainwater best interest & they don't reflect on the city based on meeting With Someone representing Your City Counsel who was really pleasant & show me not to Judge Mayor rainwater I pray your city accept that falsely arrested Black Mentally disabled lives matter, Hood Law firm Knows That South Carolina Attorneys Only like to Make Money off Blacks if we are breaking the Law.

Hood Law Firm has no Sympathy & believe because I am representing myself Pro se they will use my Mistakes to get away with Not paying me, just like So many other Victims that

are Black & Mentally Disabled it is unfair that the mayor pays Hood law Firm using the City of Hanahan Funding.

This case has destroyed My life & I do not wish to Fight any more I do Not Wish to File at the district Court Or allow this case to go to the Supreme Court

I only wish that the City acknowledge their mistakes also give me a Fair offer enough for my Pain, suffering Court fees also to relocate & invest in My musical Endeavors with the Police departments

(List of Everything Hood Law Firm Has Done to delay Payment & all Courts allowed)

#1 using inadmissible evidence to violate the Right to trial

#2 Multiple Brady Violations

#3 Conflict Of interest

#4 Bad misrepresentations Of Their Clients

#5 legal Malpractice & OPENLY discrimination towards Blacks with mental disability

Question Presented Is Hood Law Firm & the City of Hanahan above the Law?

Do you feel falsely arrested Black Lives Matter if they have mental Disability's?

Do you feel that the Higher Courts should Get Involved?

Positive incentives: I will allocate funds through the Tri County Positive Police Outreach Program to Begin Providing Musical opportunities to redirect the Youth Future Criminal Career Paths By using Entertainment to Push the Message & bring the Parents, teachers & local law enforcement on 1 accord.

Creyola united Illuminati Non-Profit Music outreach 10% of the Settlement Will be used for the various police departments listed Police outreach Programs

Our Moto Thank God Blue lives save Our lives United We Stand Divided We Fall

Respectfully humbly submitted Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro se

8082 Rivers Ave North Charleston SC 29406

Intown suite 309

843-468-3432 creyolab@gmail.com

Settlement Debt: Eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine

Appellant/Plaintiff based this amount off the number of hours held for the ransom of (\$75,000 inside of Berkeley County Jail) the Conflict-of-interest element is not only did Berkely county profit off Hanahan Police Departments False arrest they provided ineffective incompetent counsel though Berkeley County public defender office exhibits will prove my argument

Respondents Hanahan Police Department Initially Falsely Imprisoned Appellant/Plaintiff is seeking relief for \$ 1000,00 per each hour the debt begins with the first 5 Hours held knowing they had no probable cause then it

ends the date Appellant/Plaintiff paid Berkeley County the Ransom a total of 8789 hours

Plus (\$25,000 paid for amount for Berkley County Ransom)

Hood Law Firm Currently Represents Both Parties: Hanahan police Department & Berkeley County.

*Hood Law firm has been aware that the Appellant/Plaintiff is Mentally disabled because of the Records & Notifications of being placed in a Mental Hospital Faculty during Mediation Hood Law Firm offered \$1000,00 for **Settlement Debt.** eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine because Hood Law Firm knew of the Conflict of interest & other Attorneys Would discriminate against Appellant/Plaintiff but still used unethical behavior to take advantage of the Appellant/Plaintiff inability to receive Legal representation Hood Law firms Misrepresentation of Hanahan police Department & Berkeley County.*

Has created an increase in Appellant/Plaintiff Emotional Distress

Because of the Symptoms created because of this case Emotional Distress the Damages have increased including loss of housing & Government Health Benefits that allowed the Appellant/Plaintiff to receive mental health treatment for the severe trauma from being false arrested 5 years 7 months or 2,058 days Appellant/Plaintiff relief option is \$1000,00 per Day not Hour total amount \$3,500,000 plus punitive damages

Punitive Damages: Court fees & Appellant/Plaintiff pays \$400,00 per week currently at intown suites per week

52 weeks total amount \$20,800,00 total spent on housing because of this case

Not Included is Appellant/Plaintiff Requirement For long term Treatment the Settlement amount will be used to repair & replace Everything Appellant/Plaintiff Lost Because of This Precedented case

(Hanahan police Settlement Debt. eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine)

Wherefore, based on the foregoing, new evidence submitted by

15-3-40 Exceptions as to persons under disability

The appellant has consolidated indisputable evidence that is impossible to challenge in any court of law

The amended final brief is confusing because of all of the conflict of interest & misrepresentation of Hood law firm.

. **Section 15-3-40:** Exceptions as to persons under disability.

(B). Rules 38 - Jury Trial of Right, S.C. R. Civ. P. 38

The Court of appeal has shown that the state of South Carolina does not feel Black Lives Matter based on mistakes Made by Hood Law firm

If Hood Law Firm would not have used inadmissible Evidence what case would the respondents have?

#2 (Conflict of interest & Judicial misconduct)

Berkeley County allowed Hood law firm to get away with Conflict Interest.

If the Statue of limitation is suspended until I am seen by a doctor what is the argument about?

#3 do The City of Hanahan &

(Other Law Enforcement Officials believe that all Black lives matter)

If Hood Law Firm Knows the officer made a False arrest, why do they not understand Mentally disabled Black lives.

I am trying to avoid working with (Black lives Matter) activists in order to protect the integrity of my Allies in Law Enforcement & the Court thanks to Charleston County Judge warring I have More respect for Judges.

Will the Court of appeal ignore them hiding Evidence that Supports a Settlement?

#4 Compensation

I am willing to allow the City of Hanahan Finance department a Chance to offer me a settlement for the 8786 hours held in Berkeley County jail
For a total of 366 days.

#5 emotional distress issue

This case was supposed to go to trial for the original amount of \$1000,00 per hour plus punitive damages see proof of Emotional damages Charleston County mental health

Hood Law Firm believes Black Mentally Disabled Men Should Not receive Compensation Because no Attorney is representing them if that's not Racist, I don't Know what is.

#6 (Validated Gross Negligence & Discrimination by Hood Law firm)

If the Appellant was White & the Victim was White, I suspect Hood Law firm would have No problem Paying any Settlement.

I used the state vs Susan Smith to show how important kid's lives are of every color
Do the Court of appeal feel the City of Hanahan Mayor Rain Water Should be Complicit with not disclosing valuable Evidence to every Court just to avoid a impartial non bias Jury Hood Law firm is Full of Cowards who hide behind litigation when they are afraid of a trial that will expose their Corruption

#7 (Protecting the Public Perception of the Law)

(Due to the Chief of Hanahan police Rick Gebhardt corporation)

By Disclosing the information about the Former Hanahan Police officer Ellen lanphere

losing her badge (due to the false statement & Victim testimony)

Exhibit:(a) The "fruit of the poisonous tree" doctrine, a legal principle, dictates that evidence obtained indirectly from illegal searches or seizures is inadmissible in court, just as the original tainted evidence (the "poisonous tree") is. This rule is an extension of the [exclusionary rule](#), which prohibits the use of illegally obtained evidence. The core idea is that if the initial evidence is tainted, any evidence derived from it is also tainted.

(Based on the foregoing there is no Evidence that Challenges any settlement)

How do the Court of appeal & Mayor rain water Expect the Citizens to obey & respect the law when the *Other Law Enforcement Officials don't enforce their own laws?*

- (a) SC currently ranks 6th in the nation for the number of women killed by men and are consistently in the top ten in the nation. (Violence Policy Institute When Men Murder Women report 2018)
- In South Carolina, 39 intimate partners were murdered as a result of domestic violence in 2020. (South Carolina Attorney General's "Silent Witness" 2020.)
- The cost of domestic violence in the state of South Carolina in 2020 was nearly \$358.4 million dollars according to a report conducted by Dr. Joseph C. von Nessen and sponsored by the Jamie Kimble Foundation for Courage
- Each reported incident of domestic violence costs the state of South Carolina an average of \$4,350 (The Economic Impact of Domestic Violence in South Carolina, 2020- Von Nessen)
- 42% of SC females and 29% males will experience domestic violence during their lifetime (The Economic Impact of Domestic Violence in South Carolina, 2020- Von Nessen)
- The annual number of domestic violence victims in South Carolina is estimated to be 82,379. (The Economic Impact of Domestic Violence in South Carolina, 2020- Von Nessen) Eight in ten (80%) child fatalities in 2020 were caused by one or more parents; 29% were perpetrated by the mother acting alone. Nearly three-fourths (74%) of... casualties

•

Respondent (Rick Gebhardt) (Chief of Hanahan; Showed the Plaintiff/Appellate Compassion for the Emotional Distress, by offering me some Relief that the Officer don't have her badge;

He is an Honorable Man I personally feel who do not Stand for Corruption: Thankfully God has placed a real Man of God as the Chief.

The City of Hanahan Finance department is in charge of The South Carolina Reserves Fund if the City Offer me a Large enough Settlement, I am willing to Guarantee in their agreement to Allocate Funds through There Schools & various Police department listed

I am Trying to receive treatment at a Mental health Hospital subsequently because of this case & Hood Law Firms Legal Malpractice, Gross Negligence & hate towards Mentally Disabled Black witnesses I can't afford treatment so let the Record Show I have Been Homeless since this Case & the Court fees are Money, I should be using to get a place to Stay & I am Suffering I Require Housing & relocation away from everything

(3) **Hanahan police Settlement Debt.** eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine

- (a) *Appellant /Plaintiff based this amount off the number of hours held for the ransom of (\$75,000 inside of Berkeley County Jail)*
- (b) *Conflict of interest created BY (Hood Law Firm) forcing me to represent myself in this case in spite of Severe Emotional Trauma*
- (c) *Based on a (Former Hanahan Police) False arrest & subsequently*
- (d) *(Berkeley County) provided ineffective incompetent counsel & Both Judges failed to Recuse themselves & ignored the ADA*

- (e) The Creditor agrees as part of this Agreement they shall be accepting the last payment for the satisfaction of the Present Debt, hereinafter known as the "Settlement Debt". This Settlement Debt shall be in the amount of \$_three million five hundred thousand or \$3,500,000.

(f) ADA Title II: State and Local Government Activities

Title II covers all activities of State and local governments regardless of the government entity's size or receipt of Federal funding
To ignore the ADA thinking its okay because I am representing myself Black & Mentally Disabled only supports discrimination.

The Consolidated Evidence Proves Gross Negligence & discrimination Based on the Brady Violations that the Chief Disclosed he will be the Star Witness at Trial & I will be Requesting that Mayor Rain water be asked to testify to allowing the Brady violations, Conflict of interest as well as using inadmissible evidence

Hood law Firm has hypocritically complained about the only reason for not paying a Black mentally disabled witness to a 9-year-old child being abused mentally Physically & Suspected Sexually

1# a mentally disabled black witness who lacks the legal compacity improper filing of the record on appeal

Valid Information that Challenges Judge Mc Coy & Judge Young Order To dismiss & violate the Right to trial

- **Judicial Misconduct Exhibit (a)Recusal Requirements**
- An official with a disqualifying conflict of interest may not make, participate in making, or use his or her position to influence a governmental decision.
When appearing before his or her own agency or an agency subject to the authority
 - (a) *is Unbarred & exempt From Statue of Limitations & has proven multiple forms of Gross Negligence, conflict of interest judicial Misconduct: all 4 Parties involved have liability claim in the Emotional*

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of
Appeals
FROM Berkeley
County
Judge Jennifer B McCoy

Case No. 2022 CP-08-02508

Appeal No. 2023-001837

Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro Se

Appellant,

V.

Hanahan Police Department & Other Law Enforcement Officials

Respondent,

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the City of Hanahan a Copy of the proof of Gross Negligence & discrimination for the Appellant **(OFFICIAL REQUEST TO SETTLE WITH OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS** (Mayor Christie Rainwater)

for Hanahan police Settlement Debt. eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine

by Hand In person, on June,12, 2025 addressed to the attorney of record, Hood Law Firm attorney of record,

Mayor Christie Rainwater
1255 Yeamans Hall Rd, Hanahan, SC 29410

[\(843\) 554-4221](tel:8435544221) 29401 on June 12, 2025

Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson in Town Suites 8082 Rivers Ave, Room 309
North Charleston SC 29406 creyolab@gmail.com 843-468-3432

Judge Jennifer B McCoy

Case No. 2022 CP-08-02508

Appeal No. 2023-001837

Muhammad Nathaniel Wilson Pro Se

Appellant,

V.

Hanahan Police Department & Other Law Enforcement Officials

Respondent,

Certificate of service

*The undersigned certifies that on this day 5th day of June 2025 Muhammad N Wilson Pro se that I have served Personally by hand Appellant **(OFFICIAL REQUEST TO SETTLE WITH OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS** (Mayor Christie Rainwater)*

***for Hanahan police Settlement Debt.** eight million, seven hundred eighty-nine*

in an attempt to settle the City's Gross Negligence & Discrimination allegation

on June ,5, 2025

(Mayor Christie Rainwater)

1255: Yeamans hall Rd.

Hanahan Sc 29410

Muhammad N Wilson Pro se

In Town Suites 8082 Rivers Ave, Room 309

North Charleston SC 29406

(843)-468-3432 creyolab@gmail.com