

From: [O. T.](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Subject: LETTER filing a Supplemental Request to Appellant's Motion to Amend Designation, Appellate case No. 2024-001734
Date: Monday, July 28, 2025 2:07:53 PM
Attachments: [Page 22 of Teslenko v. Kocsis - AIB.pdf](#)

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. *******

LETTER TO THE APPELLATE COURT CLERK
FILING SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST TO APPELLANT'S MOTION TO AMEND
DESIGNATION

July 28th, 2025

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Email: ctappfilings@sccourts.org

RECEIVED
Jul 28 2025
SC Court of Appeals

RE: Teslenko v. Kocsis
Appellate Case No. 2024-001734

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

I respectfully submit this letter in support of my Motion to Amend Designation of Matter, filed and served today, July 28, 2025.

I write to request permission to supplement the proposed amendment with one additional page from the record: page 22 of my initial appellate brief, originally filed on June 16, 2025.

This page is relevant because it confirms that, as of that filing date, I remained unaware of the significance of the handwritten letter 'P' on the front page of the inspection report exhibit. My reliance on that exhibit—as properly admitted at trial—was based on the fact that the magistrate reviewed and admitted it without objection or instruction to correct. At no point was I informed that it had been marked 'proffer only,' nor did the magistrate's summary contain any limitation or clarification regarding its evidentiary status.

This brief page thus supports my good faith reliance and further demonstrates that the magistrate not only failed to notify me of any evidentiary restriction at trial, but affirmatively conducted the proceedings in a manner that conveyed full admission of the report—reviewing it without objection, incorporating its findings into open-court discussion, and giving no indication that its evidentiary value was contested or limited.

This page supports Argument I(C) and related sections of my amended brief.

A copy of this page is attached and titled: "Page 22 of Teslenko v. Kocsis – AIB".

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Dated: **July 28th, 2025**

/s/ Olga Teslenko

Olga Teslenko
100 Fountain Pointe Ln, # 103,
Myrtle Beach, S.C. 29579
Telephone: (510) 388-2780
Email: osenochen@gmail.com
Pro Se Appellant